



LUND UNIVERSITY

Sociology of Islamic public administration

Ethnographic and socio-legal perspectives

Urinboyev, Rustam

Published in:
Islamic Public Value

DOI:
[10.4337/9781035333646.00010](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035333646.00010)

2025

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Urinboyev, R. (2025). Sociology of Islamic public administration: Ethnographic and socio-legal perspectives. In W. Drechsler, S. Chafik, & R. Kattel (Eds.), *Islamic Public Value : Theory, Practice, and Administration of Indigenous Cooperative Institutions* (pp. 38-53). (Policy, Administrative and Institutional Change). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035333646.00010>

Total number of authors:
1

Creative Commons License:
CC BY-ND

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

3. Sociology of Islamic public administration: ethnographic and socio-legal perspectives

Rustamjon Urinboyev

INTRODUCTION

The collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 led to a proliferation of Western-backed public administration (PA) reform initiatives in developing countries, especially in post-communist societies in Central and Eastern Europe and Eurasia (Raadschelders 2003; Pollitt 2015). In the 1990s, it was commonly believed that implementing a Western-style public administration system (i.e., Anglo-American PA) would be crucial for advancing the rule of law, market economies, and democratisation in non-Western societies (Alkon 2002; Carothers 2002; Lindsey 2007; Krygier 2019). These processes were particularly evident in how the new public management (NPM) discourse dominated the public administration reform agenda globally, being viewed as a panacea for market openness and governance improvement in developing countries (McCourt 2002; McCourt and Minogue 2001; den Heyer 2011; Dan 2014; Dan and Pollitt 2015). This rested on the assumption that public administration reform was primarily a technical and administrative task, and that transplanting Western-style models and institutions into the “fertile grounds” of non-Western societies would lead to good governance, the rule of law, and economic development (Carothers 1998, 2002; Otto 2008). As a result, countries that did not adhere to or diverged from global Western standards were often seen as laggards in a transition process, with the expectation that they would eventually adopt Western-style public administration (Drechsler 2014). Over the past 30 years, Western governments, development agencies, and international organisations have devised and financed countless strategies and approaches to reform and modernise (i.e., Westernise) the governance and legal institutions of non-Western societies (Lindsey 2007; Beal and Graham 2014; Krygier 2019).

Despite the sustained efforts of international development agencies and the substantial financial investments directed towards the rule of law and good governance reforms, establishing Western-style administrative frameworks in non-Western societies has consistently proven to be extraordinarily challenging. The initial optimism that fuelled the spread of Western public administration models in the 1990s has been tempered by the rise of authoritarian regimes globally (Lührmann and Lindberg 2019). An analysis of global political developments over the last three decades reveals an ever-growing number of non-democratic regimes worldwide, a trend commonly described as a “crisis of democracy” (Repucci and Slipowitz 2022) or “authoritarianism goes global” (Diamond et al. 2016). Post-communist states in Central and Eastern Europe, such as Hungary and Poland—once hailed as “arguably the two most likely success stories, vanguard states, of ‘transition’ and liberal constitutional democratic reform of the ’90s”—have experienced significant democratic backsliding and reversals in the rule of law (Krygier 2019). In many post-Soviet countries, Western-backed public administration reform initiatives have, paradoxically, strengthened and reinforced authoritarian governance (Alkon 2002; Sannerholm and Bergquist 2015). As a result, the current era is characterised by a rise in authoritarian leaders within democracies who use legal channels and economic regulations to coerce the population into adopting specific lifestyles and values. These leaders employ sophisticated tools, such as disinformation campaigns and continuous attacks on democratic institutions, to achieve their goals. At the same time, authoritarian regimes have embedded themselves in the global economy and become highly adept at managing their image and branding. They increasingly dismiss the significance of democratic norms, institutions, and multicultural values, which has facilitated their consolidation of power and bolstered their legitimacy.

Notwithstanding these outcomes, the mainstream PA reform agenda—dominated by Western-centric and neoliberal perspectives—continues to endorse a “one-size-fits-all” approach while disregarding the role of alternative (non-Western) public administration institutions and norms (Drechsler et al. 2023). Instead of exploring the strengths and functionality of existing non-Western traditional legal and governance mechanisms, Western-backed rule of law and good governance initiatives often proceed from the assumption that these institutions and norms must be disregarded due to their perceived failure to adhere to principles of human rights, democracy, and market economy. Some scholars view this approach as a new form of imperialism that imposes legal and institutional uniformity, thus structuring and regulating political, social, and economic relations (Newton 2006; Ikejiaku 2014).

In light of these tendencies, there has been a growing call to reassess current approaches, especially within a growing body of literature on Islamic public administration, which argues that public administration reform in non-Western

contexts should reckon with the underlying cultural norms, religious values, and traditional or Indigenous legal and governance structures (Drechsler 2014, 2015; Urinboyev 2014; Hummel 2019; Chafik and Drechsler 2022; Drechsler et al. 2023). This rests on the understanding that Western-backed PA reform initiatives often fail to recognise that legal and governance institutions do not operate in isolation; their effectiveness is shaped by historical legacies and surrounding social forces that endorse alternative forms of social behaviour distinct from state law (Tamanaha 2011; Tutumlu 2016; Urinboyev 2023). Consequently, externally imposed legal and institutional frameworks often lack local legitimacy and authority in non-Western societies and are frequently manipulated, adapted, or rejected by local actors (Ahmed 2007; Otto 2008; Yilmaz 2016).

Accordingly, there is no single global (i.e., Western/Anglo-American) best practice in public administration; rather, there are various governance and public administration paradigms that can be effective and ethical according to their own normative standards. This alternative approach highlights the importance of recognising Indigenous institutions, everyday religious practices, micro-level orders, and informal community-based welfare and service provision, which can compensate for the state's shortcomings. Studies have demonstrated that local conditions and norms are critical factors in determining the most suitable path for legal and institutional reform. They argue that laws and institutions developed indigenously are less susceptible to corruption compared to transplanted foreign legal models (Grajzl and Dimitrova-Grajzl 2009; Urinboyev and Eraliev 2022). Ethnographically exploring key Indigenous service-providing institutions in Morocco known as *zawāyā*, Chafik and Drechsler (2022) demonstrated the existence of an alternative public administration institution that is functional in its operation, delivers on its goals, and is markedly different from the global-Western mainstream on both counts. Hence, a lack of Western-style institutions does not necessarily imply an absence of alternative Indigenous and traditional institutions and norms that can more effectively and creatively regulate everyday life.

Despite the growing calls for recognising non-Western governance and legal institutions, there has been relatively little empirical investigation of alternative, non-Western public administration institutions. This is especially true for the empirical study of the legacy, context, and contemporary practice of Islamic public administration institutions. As Drechsler (2014) notes, if Islamic PA is mentioned in mainstream PA research, scholars usually refer to medieval Islamic times and institutions or discuss it as an obstacle to modern PA and to Europeanisation, seeing it as a stumbling block on the way to good PA. With the exception of a few empirically oriented papers focusing on Islamic public administration institutions and practices in Uzbekistan, Morocco, Turkey, and Malaysia (Deligöz 2014; Noh et al. 2014; Urinboyev 2014, 2023; Müller 2018;

Chafik 2022a; Chafik and Drechsler 2022), most research on Islamic public administration discusses historical trajectories (Kalantari 1998, 2005; Samier 2017; Hummel 2019) or critically reviews the state of the art while highlighting the need for a new research agenda¹ (Drechsler 2013, 2014, 2015; Drechsler et al. 2023). The paucity of empirical research on Islamic public administration can partly be explained by the limited presence of ethnographic and socio-legal perspectives in administrative sciences. The ethnographic approach is rarely used within mainstream public administration research, which tends to focus on macro-level topics and state-centred approaches. While the ethnographic method is not new to public administration scholars (Rhodes 2005; Huby et al. 2011; Bierschenk and Olivier de Sardan 2019), it has not been widely applied to the study of Islamic public administration, a relatively new research field which continues to remain empirically and methodologically underdeveloped.

The above considerations have informed my position in this chapter, which aims to provide methodological tools and analytical insights for the empirical study of Islamic PA. To this end, I present some of the most common theoretical and analytical approaches in ethnography and describe their innovative contributions to Islamic public administration research. Specifically, I will demonstrate how to (a) conduct ethnographic fieldwork on Islamic public administration institutions and (b) analyse, conceptualise, and write about this type of ethnographic fieldwork in PA research. With that said, my aim is not to provide an exhaustive review of ethnographic approaches in relation to PA literature but rather offer the essential aspects of socio-legal ethnographic approaches that can serve as a methodological tool for scholars seeking to conduct ethnographic fieldwork on Islamic PA institutions. Thus, this chapter sheds light on some of the theoretical and methodological aspects of ethnographic fieldwork, which will hopefully inspire new empirically oriented studies on Islamic PA.

The remainder of this chapter is organised as follows. The next section will provide a brief discussion on Islamic PA, depicting it as a living tradition. After that, I will develop a conceptual framework by engaging with relevant socio-legal theories, which will serve as a theoretical lens for analysing living Islamic administrative traditions within local communities. Following the theoretical foundations, I will discuss the role of ethnographic and socio-legal methods in studying Islamic PA, emphasising the importance of on-the-ground

¹ The Islamic Public Value project, based at University College London, is a promising endeavour focused on developing empirically grounded case studies of Islamic public administration institutions and practices across diverse regions, including the Balkans, Central Asia, South Asia, the Gulf States, South East Asia, the Middle East, and North Africa.

research for uncovering covert mundane administrative traditions in Muslim contexts. Finally, the concluding section will outline the implications of this chapter for broader methodological and empirical debates within Islamic PA research, highlighting key contributions and suggesting avenues for future exploration in this field.

UNDERSTANDING ISLAMIC PA AS A LIVING TRADITION

Before discussing how to conduct ethnographic fieldwork on Islamic PA institutions, it is necessary to provide a brief overview of family and community life, state–society relations, and administration in Islam. In this regard, the statement below by Al-Farabi (870–950 CE), the preeminent political philosopher of the Islamic Golden Age, captures the basic features of administrative and communal values in Islam:

By nature, every person's life is organised in such a way that for his own existence and achievement of the highest perfection, he needs many things that he cannot provide for himself alone. He needs a certain community that can provide each individual with things from the common good. That is why it is only through associations of many people helping each other, where each provides another with some share of what is necessary for existence, that a person achieves that level of perfection to which he is destined by nature. The activities of all members of such a community collectively provide each of them with everything that they need to exist and achieve perfection. (Al-Farabi 1972, 303)²

Al-Farabi's assertion reflects the Islamic administrative ethos prioritising collectivism, mutual support, and communal/social responsibility, which are observable across various Muslim societies. His statement also aligns with the concept of *Tawhid* (Oneness), a fundamental Islamic paradigm positing a holistic view of existence (Shariati 1980). Within this paradigm, society is perceived as an intricate network of interconnected social structures, institutions, family, politics, culture, economy, and individual and communal ethics (Shariati 1980). Although Islam has been highly adaptive and flexible to local cultures and social circumstances across various Muslim societies (Hummel 2019), its holistic nature has cultivated similar political and administrative practices rooted in collectivist and religious orientations (Samier 2017). This interconnectedness suggests that material and spiritual pursuits are intrinsically linked, with all actions carrying religious significance (Mahmood 2006). Active engagement, ethical conduct, and social responsibility in all life spheres, including public administration, are thus seen as religious acts

² Author's own translation and interpretation from Russian into English.

and enactments of faith (Ali 1998). Consequently, Islam emphasises ethical conduct and social responsibility within public administration and business (Halstead 2007). Research has shown that Islamic values serve as a “unifying force” (Weir 2003), an “integrating framework” (Weir 2003), or a “moral filter” (Rice 1999), thereby shaping organisational and management practices.

Accordingly, the values, attitudes, standards, and behaviours of people within Muslim societies, across both formal and informal domains, are predominantly rooted in collectivist and religious tenets. While Western societies often prioritise individual autonomy and nuclear family structures (Hofstede 2011), Muslim cultures exhibit collectivist tendencies, characterised by communal values of neighbourliness, in-group loyalty, interdependence, mutual support, and reciprocal obligations. Individuals within these collectivist contexts can expect support from extended family or the wider community in exchange for adherence to religious and social norms. Resting on this understanding, as Kalantari (2005) observes, many societal problems in Muslim societies are attributed to the prioritisation of individual desires over collective well-being, resulting in a disregard for the rights of others. Islam cautions against such self-centredness, as it distorts judgement and fosters corruption within governance. Thus, Islam provides a holistic framework and general way of life, encompassing spiritual beliefs, cultural values, and ethical guidelines applicable to governance and administration (Kalantari 2005; Egel and Fry 2017).

The preceding analysis suggests that Islamic public administration (PA) can be viewed as a living tradition and a general way of life in Muslim societies, observable through people’s reasoning, moral judgement, choices, decisions, and behaviour within the flow of everyday life and social relations. However, these processes are not easily discernible when analysing the operation of formal PA institutions at the macro level. Given the dominance of Western PA paradigms, traditional Islamic PA institutions and practices often operate at the grassroots level, coexisting with the larger state apparatus in meso- and micro-level arenas. These institutions frequently deliver co-produced services (Chafik 2022a; Chafik and Drechsler 2022) or serve as an alternative welfare structure when the state fails to meet the basic needs of its citizens (Urinboyev 2014; Mumtaz and Whiteford 2021). Building on Peters’ (2019) notion of “governing in the shadows”, which suggests that governance and public administration extend beyond the state to include alternatives such as markets, experts, social actors, and institutions, Chafik and Drechsler (2022) advocate for recognising the presence of alternative and context-specific public administration paradigms operating alongside or beneath the dominant global-Western PA models.

Based on the above considerations, this chapter argues for the necessity of moving beyond the conventional state-centric understanding of PA, which

largely confines it to formal governmental institutions. Instead, I propose an ethnographic and socio-legal lens that encompasses traditional and non-state entities, norms, and practices operating at the meso and micro levels of society. These structures, often characterised by hierarchical order, routinised procedures, and decision-making processes, may function informally yet significantly influence daily life and contribute to social order. To effectively conceptualise and analyse Islamic PA, we must adopt socio-legal and ethnographic approaches that delve into everyday life and social relations beyond formal state structures. This requires a meticulous examination of power dynamics, the formation of normative patterns and social meanings, the specific local discourses shaping these elements, and their interaction with broader societal changes.

CONCEPTUALISING ISLAMIC PA THROUGH SOCIO-LEGAL THEORIES

As mentioned in the previous section, Islamic PA should be viewed as a living tradition deeply embedded in social and cultural practices, observable through everyday life and social relations in Muslim societies. This perspective suggests that PA should not only be seen as “an aspect of the modern state that makes state functioning possible” but also as a key arena for “social life and political action” (Bernstein and Mertz 2011, 7). This approach challenges classical assumptions about PA developed by Weber (1922), who regarded bureaucracy as the representation of impersonal rationality and rule by impartial bureaucrats. Instead, aligning with Müller’s (2018) anthropological work on the bureaucratisation of Islam in contemporary South East Asia, I emphasise the importance of daily interpersonal relations and the collective efforts of Muslim groups and how these interactions produce informal administrative practices and bottom-up law-and-order-making. A relevant example of this could be a case study on how people in Muslim societies, particularly those with weak state capacity, establish and maintain social order, cope with risks, economic uncertainties, challenges, and conflicts in everyday situations, and the role of Islamic knowledge, meaning-production, and values in regulating these processes. Another pertinent example could be a case study on how Islamic values and norms trigger and facilitate alternative service delivery and welfare provision initiatives in meso- and micro-level social arenas, especially when the state fails to secure the basic needs of its citizens.

Accordingly, the scholarly treatment of Islamic PA as a living tradition necessitates a shift from a narrow focus on formal institutions, policies, and laws. Instead, we should also place emphasis on informal and multiple forms of normative ordering that produce routinised practices, determine the main parameters of social behaviour, and ensure order and stability in everyday life

vis-à-vis or alongside the formal state institutions. This means that a social practice or normative pattern should be defined as an institution not by its official recognition or legal codification, but by its significance, legitimacy, and functional role within society. In this sense, PA is a “social phenomenon” (Herzfeld 1992) that may take on different social and symbolic meanings and forms depending on the context.

Eugen Ehrlich was one of the first scholars to fully recognise the plurality of normative orders, and his living law theory remains a useful tool for studying traditional and unofficial governance institutions inherent in different social settings. In “Fundamental Principles of the Sociology of Law”, Ehrlich (1912) distinguishes between law created by the state (juristic law and statutes) and informal norms produced by non-state social associations (living law). Ehrlich claims that a “living law” is not directly linked to the state or its legal system, but to the inner order of various social associations. By “the inner order of social associations”, Ehrlich means society’s reflexive web of expectations that grant power and meaning to norms. Thus, for Ehrlich, it is not state law, but the “living law” of various social associations that dominates everyday life itself even if it is not codified or formally recognised/endorsed by the state (Banakar 2008; Urinboyev 2013). In this way, Ehrlich designates the entirety of law dominating everyday life and social relations as the living law, whereas he refers to a law created/codified by a state as state law or juristic law. He advises us that if we seek to better understand the coexistence of and clashes between different normative orders, we should attentively observe everyday life, the relations of domination, and actual habits of people, and enquire into people’s thoughts regarding the opinions of those relevant to them in their surrounding environment and related to proper social behaviours. Thus, Ehrlich’s emphasis on the law as it is lived and practised in various non-state social associations, rather than merely codified by the formal legal system, provides a valuable framework for the plural forms of governance beyond formal state structures.

Ehrlich’s idea that state law is not the only regulator of social, economic, and political life is also reflected in the scholarly literature on legal pluralism (Moore 1973; Griffiths 1986, 2003; Merry 1988; Tamanaha 2000; von Benda-Beckmann 2002). Legal pluralism emphasises the coexistence of and clash between multiple sets of rules or “legal orders” moulding people’s social behaviours: the law of the nation-state, Indigenous customary rules, religious decrees, moral codes, and the practical norms of social life. From this perspective, law may consist of any rules (written or unwritten) that influence social behaviours and are acted upon by certain groups as binding obligations. In other words, law should be defined by its function and social recognition, not by its form. Thus, state law is merely one amongst many other legal orders within a society. As such, a society is a place of legal and institutional pluralism where a wide range of normative orders, including both official laws and

informal norms, coexist and/or clash. Classic legal anthropology studies and the more recent legal pluralism scholarship have described the emergence of “semi-autonomous social fields” or “non-state forms of normative ordering” with their own forms of regulation and informal norms, many of which contradict state law (Moore 1973; Tamanaha 2000; Roberts 2005; Pirie 2006). Seen through the legally pluralistic lens, Islamic PA institutions can be said to exist and operate alongside formal PA institutions, offering context-specific solutions to daily challenges and uncertainties in Muslim societies.

There is strong support for the legal pluralism framework in the field of law and economics, where Robert C. Ellickson (1991) argued that large segments of social life are located and shaped beyond the reach of the state and its legal system, and that his concept of “order without law” provides useful insights for understanding how and why people cooperate, establish order, and regulate daily social and economic relations in the absence of or without resorting to state law. Ellickson contends the commonly held view that the state and its legal system are the only sources of law and order. Rather, order arises spontaneously, and one may observe in everyday life many patterns of non-hierarchical and informal processes of law-and-order-making. Based on his empirical observations of how neighbours in Shasta County, California, apply informal norms, rather than formal legal rules, to resolve most of the issues that arise among them, Ellickson argues that there is a multitude of informal norms and informal social-control mechanisms in society that enable people to achieve order and stability without law. Ellickson, in this sense, emphasises the importance of considering informal forms of normative ordering, a postulate that is highly relevant to examining Islamic public administration (PA) as a living tradition.

RESEARCHING ISLAMIC PA THROUGH ETHNOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUES

In this section, I will explore ethnography’s essential features and how they align with the conceptual framework presented earlier. More specifically, I will demonstrate how ethnography can uncover the everyday practices and institutionalised routines (i.e., everyday law-and-order-making) that are regulated and sustained by Islamic principles, concepts, values, and references.

Ethnography explores how daily activities in a society are conditioned by various rules, habits, and norms (Sjöberg 2011). It is a naturalistic method that relies on material gathered from a fieldworker’s first-hand experience within a particular setting. It must be carried out using the expressions and categorisations of the people and groups studied. Those who are being studied are considered actors rather than passive objects. The ethnographer views the activities she or he observes as social actions embedded within a socially organised

context and carried out through the daily practices of participants (Atkinson et al. 2001). This requires the ethnographer to depict life as perceived and understood by those who inhabit the environment being studied. The most central aspect of ethnography is “thick description”—a term popularised by Geertz (1973). “Culture”, Geertz describes, “is not a power, something to which social events, behaviours, institutions, or processes can be causally attributed; it is a context, something with which they can be intelligibly – that is, *thickly* – described” (1973, 14). As Merriam (2009, 28) writes:

Immersion in the site as a participant observer is the primary method of data collection. Interviews, formal and informal, and the analysis of documents, records, and artefacts also constitute the data set along with a fieldworker's diary of each day's happenings, personal feelings, ideas, impressions, or insights with regard to those events.

In this connection, participant observation involves going out and living in the field with an open mindset and participating in mundane activities at the field site (Bernard 2002). Informal interviews (talks) are a natural extension of participant observations since they frequently occur as part of ongoing participant observation fieldwork and rely on spontaneous social interactions between the researcher and informants (Patton 2002). By being in the field site and directly observing everyday activities, the participant observer can come closer to understanding what activities mean to the people who carry them out (Geertz 1973).

When doing ethnographic studies, the researcher should be “open-minded” and flexible to various “discoveries” she/he might encounter during fieldwork; as in David Silverman's words, the researcher sees “the remarkable in the mundane” (2007, 13). Therefore, in many situations, the primary challenge lies in grasping unfamiliar complexities and mundane activities, where individuals collaborate in informal and unexpected ways and where social and interpersonal dynamics play a crucial role. Good ethnographers are known for detecting such subtle social and interactive cues, often leading to valuable findings and discoveries (Hammersley and Atkinson 2019). Sjöberg (2011, 164) maintains that the field is not an absolute concept but rather is constructed by the ethnographer as the study process proceeds. As research progresses, the specific focus and questions are likely to evolve. While initial inquiries provide a starting point, researchers should anticipate refining their research direction as they delve deeper into the subject matter. Thus, ethnography is both a process and a product (Merriam 2009).

During fieldwork, researchers may employ various data collection techniques, including (1) developing an understanding of how information flows within the setting. This involves uncovering the types of information

exchanged, the channels through which it is communicated, and the coordination techniques actually in use; (2) exploring what the individuals and groups being studied believe the researcher needs to know about their daily lives in order to fully comprehend them; and (3) comparing what the individuals and community members think they do or aspire to do with what is actually observed in practice. By juxtaposing the researcher's observations with the participants' own perceptions of their daily lives and social interactions, a more comprehensive understanding is formed.

Additionally, researchers may utilise different field strategies, such as (a) following/going along with informants during their mundane activities or when completing tasks of specific interest (Kusenbach 2003); (b) "hanging around" at different places in the field at different points of time; (c) identifying and following the rumours, gossips and conflicts centred around the "people of influence/power" in the fieldwork setting (ethnography is well placed to capture the "slices, glimpses and specimens" of such daily micro-political operations that other methodologies cannot); and (d) identifying the "war" stories that people are eager to share. These narratives shed light on the issues that are deemed important and problematic by the individuals being studied. These stories offer insights into the prevailing politics and culture within the field site.

Building on Peters' (2019) notion of "governing in the shadows", I argue for a shift away from the conventional state-centric view of PA. By employing ethnographic methods, we can empirically examine the informal, grassroots, and non-state dimensions of Islamic PA institutions that often operate outside of official structures (Chafik 2022b). In so doing, I propose an analytical heuristic—"living law-informed ethnography"—to attend to the subtle and intricate ways people and communities in Muslim contexts establish and maintain social order, cope with risks, economic uncertainties, challenges, and conflicts in everyday situations and how they use Islamic frames and values to regulate these processes. Deploying "living law-informed ethnography" allows us to see these micro-level processes not just as haphazard efforts of people in informal ad hoc groupings but as highly coordinated, routinised, and institutionalised practices, with established power geometries and enforcement infrastructure predicated on Islamic values and codes of conduct.

Thus, when conducting "living law-informed ethnography" in Muslim contexts, it is essential to focus on local meso- and micro-level institutions, norms, actors, practices, and dynamics. Emphasis should be placed on exploring how ordinary people navigate between ethics, legality, religion, and other evaluative frameworks when making judgements about their own and others' actions. By examining these interactions, we gain a deeper understanding of how social norms, religious values, gender identities, and ethical reasoning shape the logic and meaning of everyday life and social relations. This approach requires close attention to the local socio-legal context and cultural

repertoires—daily interactions, meanings, cultural values, social norms, religious discourses, and networks of reciprocity and exchange—that contribute to constructing a locally legitimate governance order. These meso- and micro-level orders, distinct from the formal laws, regulations, and policies of state institutions, provide stability and order in everyday life. This form of “living law” often governs daily interactions in the absence of or as a substitute for state law.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

This chapter has sought to address a significant gap in the field of public administration by focusing on the empirical study of Islamic PA institutions through the lens of ethnographic and socio-legal perspectives. Despite the increasing recognition of non-Western public administration paradigms, empirical research into Islamic PA remains sparse and underdeveloped, largely confined to historical and theoretical discussions rather than contemporary, empirically oriented studies.

The limited empirical investigations, primarily concentrated in a few countries, underscore the need for a broader and more nuanced understanding of Islamic PA institutions today. The ethnographic approach, while established in some areas of public administration research, has been underutilised in the study of Islamic PA. By conceptualising Islamic PA as a living tradition, this chapter has illustrated how ethnographic approaches (e.g., living-law-informed-ethnography) are well positioned to produce valuable insights into the lived experiences of Islamic PA in Muslim contexts. Hence, I aimed to illustrate how researchers, by integrating socio-legal and ethnographic approaches, can uncover covert and mundane administrative practices that traditional macro-level PA studies may overlook.

In conclusion, addressing the empirical and methodological shortcomings in Islamic public administration (PA) research is essential for advancing a more nuanced and pluralistic understanding of governance and public administration across diverse cultural and religious contexts. It is my hope that this chapter’s exploration of ethnographic and socio-legal approaches will inspire future research, opening new avenues for inquiry that deepen our understanding of Islamic PA institutions and their roles within the broader global landscape of public administration.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, Faiz. 2007. "Shari'a, Custom, and Statutory Law: Comparing State Approaches to Islamic Jurisprudence, Tribal Autonomy, and Legal Development in Afghanistan and Pakistan". *Global Jurist* 7(1), article 5.
- Al-Farabi, Abu Nasr. 1972. *Filosofskie traktaty (Philosophical Treatise)*. Almaty: Nauka Kazakhskoi SSR.
- Ali, Abdullah Yusuf. 1998. *The Meaning of the Holy Quran*. 9th edn. Beltsville, MD: Amana.
- Alkon, Cynthia. 2002. "The Cookie Cutter Syndrome: Legal Reform Assistance under Post-Communist Democratization Programs". *Journal of Dispute Resolution* (2), 327–366.
- Atkinson, Paul, Amanda Coffey, Sara Delamont, John Lofland and Lyn Lofland (eds). 2001. *Handbook of Ethnography*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Banakar, Reza. 2008. "The Politics of Legal Cultures". *Scandinavian Studies in Law* 4(23), 151–175.
- Beal, Amanda and Leah Graham. 2014. "Foundations for Change: Rule of Law, Development, and Democratization". *Politics & Policy* 42(3), 311–345.
- Bernard, Russel. 2002. *Research Methods in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Methods*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- Bernstein, Anya and Elizabeth Mertz. 2011. "Bureaucracy: Ethnography of the State in Everyday Life". *POLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review* 34(1), 6–10.
- Bierschenk, Thomas and Jean-Pierre Olivier de Sardan. 2019. "How to Study Bureaucracies Ethnographically?". *Critique of Anthropology* 39(2), 243–257.
- Carothers, Thomas. 1998. "The Rule of Law Revival Essay". *Foreign Affairs* 77(2), 95–106.
- Carothers, Thomas. 2002. "The End of the Transition Paradigm". *Journal of Democracy* 13(1), 5–21.
- Chafik, Salah. 2022a. "Plan Bee: The Case of an Islamic Honey Cooperative in Morocco". *Halduskultuur* 23(1), 4–26.
- Chafik, Salah. 2022b. "Non-Western Public Administration in Context: Contemporary Islamic Cases from Morocco". Thesis. Tallinn: Tallinn University of Technology, School of Business and Governance, Ragnar Nurkse Department of Innovation and Governance.
- Chafik, Salah and Wolfgang Drechsler. 2022. "In the Semi-Shadow of the Global West: Moroccan zawāyā as Good Public Administration". *Public Administration Review* 82(4), 747–755.
- Dan, Sorin. 2014. "The New Public Management is Not That Bad After All". *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences* 44(1), 1–24.
- Dan, Sorin and Christopher Pollitt. 2015. "NPM Can Work: An Optimistic Review of the Impact of New Public Management Reforms in Central and Eastern Europe". *Public Management Review* 17(9), 1305–1332.
- Deligöz, Halil. 2014. "The Legacy of Vakif Institutions and the Management of Social Policy in Turkey". *Administrative Culture* 15(2), 179–203.
- den Heyer, Garth. 2011. "New Public Management: A Strategy for Democratic Police Reform in Transitioning and Developing Countries". *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management* 34(3), 419–433.

- Diamond, Larry, Marc Plattner and Christopher Walker (eds). 2016. *Authoritarianism Goes Global: The Challenge to Democracy*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Drechsler, Wolfgang. 2013. "'Islamic' Public Administration: The Missing Dimension in NISPAcee Public Administration Research?". In Mirko Vintar, Allan Rosenbaum, György Jenei and Wolfgang Drechsler (eds). *The Past, Present and Future of Public Administration in Central and Eastern Europe*. Bratislava: NISPAcee Press, 57–76.
- Drechsler, Wolfgang. 2014. "What is Islamic Public Administration and Why Should We Study it in the 'Second World'?" *Administrative Culture* 15(2), 123–142.
- Drechsler, Wolfgang. 2015. "Debate: Islamic PA—Does it Exist, What is it, and Why and How Should We Study it?" *Public Money & Management* 35(1), 63–64.
- Drechsler, Wolfgang, Rainer Kattel and Salah Chafik. 2023. "Islamic Public Administration and Islamic Public Value: Towards a Research Agenda". *Public Policy and Administration* 39(4), 608–624.
- Egel, Eleftheria and Louis Fry. 2017. "Spiritual Leadership as a Model for Islamic Leadership". *Public Integrity* 19(1), 77–95.
- Ehrlich, Eugen. 1912. "Das lebende Recht der Völker der Bukowina". In Manfred Rehbinder (ed.). *Eugen Ehrlich, Recht und Leben*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 43–60.
- Ellickson, Robert C. 1991. *Order Without Law: How Neighbors Settle Disputes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Geertz, Clifford. 1973. *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books.
- Grajzl, Peter and Valentina Dimitrova-Grajzl. 2009. "The Choice in the Lawmaking Process: Legal Transplants vs. Indigenous Law". *Review of Law & Economics* 5(1), 615–660.
- Griffiths, John. 1986. "What is Legal Pluralism?". *Journal of Legal Pluralism & Unofficial Law* 18(24), 1–55.
- Griffiths, John. 2003. "The Social Working of Legal Rules". *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law* 35(48), 1–84.
- Halstead, Mark. 2007. "Islamic Values: A Distinctive Framework for Moral Education?" *Journal of Moral Education* 36(3), 283–296.
- Hammersley, Martyn and Paul Atkinson. 2019. *Ethnography: Principles in Practice*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Herzfeld, Michael. 1992. *The Social Production of Indifference: Exploring the Symbolic Roots of Western Bureaucracy*. New York: Berg.
- Hofstede, Geert. 2011. "Dimensionalizing Cultures: The Hofstede Model in Context". *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture* 2(1), 1–26.
- Huby, Guro, John Harries and Suzanne Grant. 2011. "Contributions of Ethnography to the Study of Public Services Management: Past and Present Realities". *Public Management Review* 13(2), 209–225.
- Hummel, Daniel. 2019. "Public Administration in the Islamic World: Considering the Importance of Religion, its Values and Culture". *Halduskultuur* 20(2), 23–38.
- Ikejiaku, Brian-Vincent. 2014. "International Law, the International Development Legal Regime and Developing Countries". *Law and Development Review* 7(1), 131–163.
- Kalantari, Behrooz. 1998. "In Search of a Public Administration Paradigm: Is There Anything to be Learned from Islamic Public Administration?". *International Journal of Public Administration* 21(12), 1821–1861.
- Kalantari, Behrooz. 2005. "Middle Eastern Public Management: A Cultural Approach to Developmental Administration". *Public Organization Review* 5(2), 125–138.

- Krygier, Martin. 2019. "The Challenge of Institutionalisation: Post-Communist 'Transitions', Populism, and the Rule of Law". *European Constitutional Law Review* 15(3), 544–573.
- Kusenbach, Margarethe. 2003. "Street Phenomenology: The Go-along as Ethnographic Research Tool". *Ethnography* 4(3), 455–485.
- Lindsey, Timothy (ed.). 2007. *Law Reform in Developing and Transitional States*. London: Routledge.
- Lührmann, Anna and Staffan Lindberg. 2019. "A Third Wave of Autocratization is Here: What is New About it?". *Democratization* 26(7), 1095–1113.
- Mahmood, Amina. 2006. "Psychology and Shia Muslims". In Thomas E. Dowd and S.L. Nielsen (eds). *The Psychologies in Religion: Working with the Religious Client*. New York: Springer, 237–252.
- McCourt, Willy. 2002. "New Public Management in Developing Countries". In K. McLaughlin, E. Ferlie, and S.P. Osborne (eds). *New Public Management*. 2nd edn. London: Routledge, 227–242.
- McCourt, Willy and Martin Minogue (eds). 2001. *The Internationalization of Public Management: Reinventing the Third World State*. Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Merriam, Sharan. 2009. *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley and Sons.
- Merry, Sally Engle. 1988. "Legal Pluralism". *Law & Society Review* 22(5), 869–896.
- Moore, Sally Falk. 1973. "Law and Social Change: The Semi-Autonomous Social Field as an Appropriate Subject of Study". *Law & Society Review* 7(4), 719–746.
- Müller, Dominik. 2018. "Bureaucratic Islam Compared: Classificatory Power and State-Ified Religious Meaning-Making in Brunei and Singapore". *Journal of Law and Religion* 33(2), 212–247.
- Mumtaz, Zahid and Peter Whiteford. 2021. "Comparing Formal and Informal Social Protection: A Case Study Exploring the Usefulness of Informal Social Protection in Pakistan". *Journal of International and Comparative Social Policy* 37(3), 243–272.
- Newton, Scott. 2006. "Constitutionalism and Imperialism Sub Specie Spinozae". *Law and Critique* 17(3), 325–355.
- Noh, Abdillah, Wolfgang Drechsler and Rustamjon Urinboyev. 2014. "Islamic Public Administration: First Explorations in the Former Second World (and Other Regions)". *Administrative Culture* 15(2), 120–122.
- Otto, Jan Michiel. 2008. *Sharia and National Law in Muslim Countries. Tensions and Opportunities for Dutch and EU Foreign Policy*. Leiden University Press.
- Patton, Michael Quinn. 2002. *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Peters, Guy. 2019. "Governing in the Shadows". *Journal of Chinese Governance* 4(2), 108–122.
- Pirie, Fernanda. 2006. "Legal Complexity on the Tibetan Plateau". *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law* 38(53–54), 77–99.
- Pollitt, Christopher. 2015. "Towards a New World: Some Inconvenient Truths for Anglosphere Public Administration: The IAS Braibant Lecture 2014". *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 81(1), 3–17.
- Raadschelders, Jos C.N. 2003. *Government: A Public Administration Perspective*. Armonk, NY: ME Sharpe.
- Repucci, Sarah and Amy Slipowitz. 2022. *The Global Expansion of Authoritarian Rule*. Washington: Freedom House.

- Rhodes, Roderick. 2005. "Everyday Life in a Ministry: Public Administration as Anthropology". *The American Review of Public Administration* 35(1), 3–25.
- Rice, Gillian. 1999. "Islamic Ethics and the Implications for Business". *Journal of Business Ethics* 18(4), 345–358.
- Roberts, Simon. 2005. "After Government? On Representing Law Without the State". *Modern Law Review* 68(1), 1–24.
- Samier, Eugenie. 2017. "Islamic Public Administration Tradition: Historical, Theoretical and Practical Dimensions". *Halduskultuur* 18(1), 53–71.
- Sannerholm, Richard and Lisen Bergquist. 2015. "Norms, Legitimacy and Power: Rule of Law Reform in Authoritarian Countries". *Scandinavian Studies in Law* 60, 33–54.
- Shariati, Ali. 1980. *From Where Shall We Begin*. Houston: Free Islamic Literature.
- Silverman, David. 2007. *A Very Short, Fairly Interesting and Reasonably Cheap Book About Qualitative Research*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Sjöberg, Katarina. 2011. *The Ethnographic Encounter*. Lund: Sekel förlag.
- Tamanaha, Brian. 2000. "A Non-Essentialist Version of Legal Pluralism". *Journal of Law & Society* 27(2), 296–321.
- Tamanaha, Brian. 2011. "The Primacy of Society and the Failures of Law and Development". *Cornell International Law Journal* 44(2), 209–248.
- Tutumlu, Assel. 2016. "The Rule by Law. Negotiating Stability in Kazakhstan". In Marlene Laruelle (ed.). *Kazakhstan in the Making: Legitimacy, Symbols, and Social Changes*. London: Lexington Books, 3–27.
- Urinboyev, Rustamjon. 2013. "Living Law and Political Stability in Post-Soviet Central Asia. A Case Study of the Ferghana Valley in Uzbekistan". PhD Dissertation. Lund Studies in Sociology of Law. Lund: Lund University.
- Urinboyev, Rustamjon. 2014. "Is There an Islamic Public Administration Legacy in Post-Soviet Central Asia? An Ethnographic Study of Everyday Mahalla Life in Rural Ferghana, Uzbekistan". *Administrative Culture* 15(2), 35–57.
- Urinboyev, Rustamjon. 2023. "Islamic Legal Culture in Uzbekistan". *Legal Pluralism and Critical Social Analysis* 55(3), 402–429.
- Urinboyev, Rustamjon and Sherzod Eraliev. 2022. "Informal Civil Society Initiatives in Non-Western Societies: Mahallas in Uzbekistan". *Central Asian Survey* 41(3), 477–497.
- von Benda-Beckmann, Fanz. 2002. "Who's Afraid of Legal Pluralism?". *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law* 34(47), 37–82.
- Weber, Max. 1922. *Grundriß der Sozialökonomie 3: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Weir, David. 2003. "Human Resource Development in the Arab Middle East: A 'Fourth Paradigm'". In M. Lee (ed.). *Human Resource Development in a Complex World*. London: Routledge, 69–82.
- Yılmaz, Ihsan. 2016. *Muslim Laws, Politics and Society in Modern Nation States: Dynamic Legal Pluralisms in England, Turkey and Pakistan*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.