



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Airborne SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare settings

### when, where and how to prevent it?

Thuresson, Sara; Alsved, Malin; Medstrand, Patrik; Fraenkel, Carl-Johan; Löndahl, Jakob

2025

#### Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

#### Citation for published version (APA):

Thuresson, S., Alsved, M., Medstrand, P., Fraenkel, C.-J., & Löndahl, J. (2025). *Airborne SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare settings: when, where and how to prevent it?*. 43-43. Abstract from 6th Workplace and Indoor Aerosols Conference (WIAC 2025), GAETA, Italy.

#### Total number of authors:

5

#### Creative Commons License:

Unspecified

#### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

# **WIAC2025 - 6th Workplace and Indoor Aerosols Conference**

Tuesday 6 May 2025 - Thursday 8 May 2025

Gaeta, Italy



## **Book of Abstracts**



# Contents

Opening remarks: Giorgio Buonanno (University of Cassino and Southern Lazio), Maria Neira (WHO), Maria Van Kerkhove (WHO), Enrica Porcari (CERN) . . . . .	1
Molecular parameter prediction tools for calculating the partitioning of organic compounds between gas and particle phase indoors . . . . .	1
Optimizing the Use of Room Air Purifiers in Combination with HVAC Filters for IAQ and Energy Efficiency for both PM 2.5 and UFP particles . . . . .	2
The effects of cleaning chemicals on indoor air quality and means to reduce harmful exposure in educational buildings . . . . .	3
FAR-UV Technology and Germicidal Ultraviolet (GUV): A Policy and Research Review for Indoor Air Quality and Disease Transmission Control . . . . .	4
Multi-scale fluid dynamic analysis of indoor infection transmission risk by respiratory droplets . . . . .	5
Study of Reactions Between Ozone and VOCs Present in Work Atmospheres . . . . .	6
Challenges and Advancements in Environmental Monitoring at the Controlled Air Ventilation Environment (CAVE) Laboratory . . . . .	7
Use of Fluorescence Probe Spectroscopy to Characterize RH-Dependent Phase Transitions and Physicochemical Properties of Respiratory Aerosols . . . . .	8
Respirable Crystalline Silica exposure during ceramic tile processing . . . . .	8
A machine learning- based approach for PM source apportionment inside residences. . .	9
Is the usual social distance sufficient to avoid airborne infection of expiratory droplets in indoor environments? . . . . .	10
Evaluation of urban particulate matter atmospheric pollution influence on school classrooms' indoor air quality in Uruguay . . . . .	11
Mitigating airborne pathogen transmission in indoor environments: Inactivation effects of environmental factors . . . . .	12
Commensal respiratory bacteria stabilize influenza A virus in exhaled droplets and aerosol particles . . . . .	13
Indoor Airborne Pathogen Transmission and Mitigation, a Multidisciplinary Review, Remaining Gaps and Actionable Improvements . . . . .	14

Crowd-Data Collection of Indoor CO <sub>2</sub> -Levels using Portable CO <sub>2</sub> -Sensors and a Mobile App . . . . .	15
Carbon Dioxide Measurements at Multiple Points in an Elementary School Classroom And Risk Estimation of Airborne Transmission: A Two-Month Field Campaign . . . . .	16
Aerosol Sampling and Cytotoxicity Assessment on a Single Filter: „Cells on Particles“Plat- form . . . . .	17
Exploring the protective effect of salt against pH-mediated inactivation of Influenza A virus . . . . .	18
Performance of ventilation mechanical filters for particles smaller than 300 nm MPPS . .	19
Quantifying surrogate respiratory pathogen inactivation in respiratory droplets . . . . .	20
Evaluation of the risk of airborne transmission in an elementary school classroom under intermittent ventilation through large eddy simulation . . . . .	21
Indoor air quality assessment through relationships between fungal and bacterial loads and physical parameters in primary school classrooms in Montevideo, Uruguay . . . . .	22
Biophysical Respiratory Aerosol Model (ResAM): composition and pH of exhaled aerosol and application of airborne virus inactivation . . . . .	23
What role do acidic and alkaline pH levels in exhaled aerosol particles play in Influenza A Virus infectivity? . . . . .	25
A Blueprint for Far-UVC Use in Sustainable Air Disinfection . . . . .	26
Airborne Microbial Burden in School Classrooms: A Multidisciplinary Study of Indoor Air Quality and Health Implications . . . . .	27
Multidisciplinary Study of Air Quality in Schools: multiple approaches to a single problem . . . . .	28
Investigation of collection methods of At-211 used for targeted alpha therapy from the viewpoint of radiation management . . . . .	29
A machine learning practice of predicting CO <sub>2</sub> levels with the measurement data from university departmental offices . . . . .	30
Performance evaluation of two real-time fluorescent particle monitors in an office environ- ment: measurements, comparisons and main evidence . . . . .	31
Indoor Air Quality of Offices: Beyond CO <sub>2</sub> to Chemical Pollutants . . . . .	33
Bioaerosol Inactivation in Various Air Ionization Stages of an Air Cleaning Device . . .	33
Unobtrusive UFP sensors for indoor applications . . . . .	34
Inactivation of overlooked respiratory viruses in human saliva droplets at variable relative humidity . . . . .	35
Infectivity of exhaled SARS-CoV-2 aerosols is sufficient to transmit covid-19 within minutes . . . . .	36

## References

Haddrell, A. et al. (2023) Differences in airborne stability of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern is impacted by alkalinity of surrogates of respiratory aerosol. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 20, 20230062.

Luo, B. et al. (2023) Expiratory Aerosol pH: The Overlooked Driver of Airborne Virus Inactivation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 57, 486–497.

79

## Comparison of airborne SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and pre-Delta variants around infected patients

**Author:** Carl-Johan Fraenkel<sup>1</sup>

**Co-authors:** Jakob Löndahl<sup>2</sup>; Malin Alsved<sup>3</sup>; Patrik Medstrand<sup>3</sup>; Sara Thuresson<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 1. Division of Infection Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Dep Design Sciences, LTH, Lund University

<sup>3</sup> Lund university

**Corresponding Authors:** jakob.londahl@design.lth.se, carl-johan.fraenkel@med.lu.se

### Objectives

Transmissibility has increased during the evolution of SARS-CoV-2, possibly by improved airborne transmission. An increased transmission was noted also in many hospitals. We analyzed SARS-CoV-2 in room air of hospitalized Omicron infected patients and compared results with previous findings with pre-Delta variants to study if SARS-CoV-2 was more prevalent in patient rooms after the introduction of Omicron.

### Methods

Air samples were collected March 2020 to 9 April 2021, with pre-Delta virus variants, was compared with samples collected January 2022 to May 2022, when Omicron BA.2 was the dominating variant. A liquid cyclone was used to sample 2 m<sup>3</sup> of air collected 1-4 meters from COVID-19 patients, within patient rooms at five regular hospital wards. Standard ventilation was 3-4 air changes per hour (ACH), but some rooms had enhanced ventilation. Data on recent viral load in respiratory samples and patient characteristics was registered. Laboratory analysis with RT-PCR after Amicon centrifugal filter concentration and RNA extraction was performed.

### Results

Only 4 of 75 (5 %) air samples, from 3 of 43 included patients, were positive during the early Omicron wave, compared to 14/120 (12 %), from 10 of 60 included patients during the initial wave. No certain statistical difference between virus variants could be established, but the tendency was a lower occurrence at Omicron infected patients, also when adjusting for relevant confounders.

### Conclusion

These findings do not support the initial hypothesis that patients with diagnosed Omicron infection would pose a higher risk of hospital transmission compared to pre-Delta variants. The data suggest that any increased transmission within hospitals during the Omicron more likely emanated from other sources, and possibly supporting an altered shedding dynamic in Omicron infections compared to pre-Delta variants. But it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions since the patient population, including immunization status, differ significantly between the two studied periods.

82

## Infectivity Of Airborne Influenza A Virus: The Effect Of Matrix And Air Composition

**Author:** Ghislain Motos<sup>1</sup>