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Using nanoparticles to detect emphysema
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Introduction: Spirometry, the standard method to diagnose COPD, has poor sensitivity for early emphysema. This may delay diagnosis and lead to a poorer prognosis. Nanoparticles are deposited in the lungs by diffusion only, which makes their deposition depend on time and diffusion distance in distal airspaces. Aim: To determine whether emphysema can be identified and graded according to nanoparticle deposition after a single inhalation. Emphysema patients are expected to have reduced deposition relative to controls, due to a larger diffusion distance in enlarged distal airspaces. Further, we expect a correlation between disease severity and nanoparticle deposition. Methods: 22 patients with COPD and 15 normal subjects underwent Airspace Dimension Test (ADT) and spirometry after bronchodilation. ADT is performed as a single, maximal inhalation of nanoaerosol. Particle concentration is measured in the inhaled aerosol and an alveolar sample exhaled after a standardised breath-hold. The difference in particle concentration reflects deposition. CT was performed in the patient group. The images were reconstructed and processed with syngo.via Pulmo3D software to perform CT densitometric analysis. Low attenuation regions were quantified as the 15th percentile point (PD15). Results: All COPD patients fulfilled the GOLD criteria, while all healthy subjects had normal spirometry. There was highly significant difference in deposition of nanoparticles between the two groups (p<0.0001). In the patients, we found a significant correlation between the deposition of nanoparticles and PD15 (r=-0.64, p<0.01). Conclusion: The ADT can identify emphysema in patients with COPD. The results show a correlation to the extent of emphysema as measured by CT densitometry.