



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Comment on "Clinical trials update from the European Society of Cardiology meeting 2005: CIBIS-III, by JGF Cleland and others".

Willenheimer, Ronnie; Krum, Henry; van Veldhuisen, Dirk J; Funck-Brentano, Christian; Erdmann, Erland; Meyer, Wilfried R

*Published in:*  
European Journal of Heart Failure

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.ejheart.2006.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejheart.2006.01.006)

2006

[Link to publication](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Willenheimer, R., Krum, H., van Veldhuisen, D. J., Funck-Brentano, C., Erdmann, E., & Meyer, W. R. (2006). Comment on "Clinical trials update from the European Society of Cardiology meeting 2005: CIBIS-III, by JGF Cleland and others". *European Journal of Heart Failure*, 8(2), 219-220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejheart.2006.01.006>

*Total number of authors:*  
6

### **General rights**

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:  
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

This is an author produced version of a paper published in European Journal of Heart Failure. This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Citation for the published paper:

Willenheimer, Ronnie and Krum, Henry and van Veldhuisen, Dirk J and Funck-Brentano, Christian and Erdmann, Erland and Meyer, Wilfried R.

"Comment on "Clinical trials update from the European Society of Cardiology meeting 2005: CIBIS-III, by JGF Cleland and others"."

European Journal of Heart Failure, 2006, Vol: 8, Issue: 2, pp. 219-20.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejheart.2006.01.006>

Access to the published version may require journal subscription.

Published with permission from: Elsevier

**Comment on “Clinical trials update from the European Society of  
Cardiology meeting 2005: CIBIS-III, by JGF Cleland and others.**

Ronnie Willenheimer, Henry Krum, Dirk J van Veldhuisen, Christian Funck-Brentano,  
Erland Erdmann, Wilfried R Meyer, for the CIBIS III Steering Committee and Investigators

Address for correspondence: Dr. Ronnie Willenheimer, Dept of Cardiology, University  
Hospital, S-205 02 Malmö, Sweden

Fax: +46 40 33 62 09

e-mail: [ronnie.willenheimer@med.lu.se](mailto:ronnie.willenheimer@med.lu.se)

Phone: +46 40 33 10 00

We read with interest the summary of and comment on the Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS) III presentation at the 2005 European Society of Cardiology meeting in September (1). We would like to correct one factual error and express some different points of view from those put forward by Cleland et al in their article.

Firstly, CIBIS III did not show a greater propensity for hospitalisation with worsening heart failure in the bisoprolol-first group. There was, however, a non-significant increased rate of worsening heart failure occurring *either* as a primary cause for hospitalisation *or* as an event during hospitalisation for another cause (2). We have not as yet analysed if there actually was an increased hospitalisation rate due to worsening of heart failure.

Secondly, the rules for the futility analysis were not changed during the study. In the paper presenting and discussing the rationale and design of CIBIS III (3), we state that non-inferiority for bisoprolol-first versus enalapril-first is considered proven if the entire 95% confidence interval for bisoprolol-first versus enalapril-first is below *relative risk* (RR) 1.125. This is also clearly depicted in Figure 2 of that paper (3). In the setting of CIBIS III, RR 1.125 corresponds to *hazard ratio* (HR) 1.17. Both correspond to an *absolute risk* increase of 5%. However, there is a misspelling in the text (3), indicating that the HR should be less than 1.125. This number actually refers to the RR. The correct HR should be 1.17. This misspelling might have caused some confusion.

Thirdly, Cleland et al claim that the study design is not compatible with current guidelines or clinical practice. A clinical trial does not have to be. On the contrary, a clinical trial is usually intended to challenge and, if possible, to advance current clinical practice as well as guidelines. Furthermore, Cleland et al claim that a common practice is to start both an ACEI

and a beta-blocker simultaneously. This is not evidence-based practice. There are no guideline recommendations to start both an ACEI and a beta-blocker simultaneously. Quite the opposite, the European guidelines (4) recommend to start with an ACEI and to add a beta-blocker on top of the target dose of the ACEI.

As regards the time interval between initiating the two agents, we have no knowledge about the optimum time interval between starting an ACEI and initiating a beta-blocker, or the opposite, in patients with chronic heart failure. In CIBIS III, the monotherapy phase was six months. Thus, a patient started on bisoprolol received enalapril approximately 3.5 months after reaching the target dose of bisoprolol, which was up-titrated during 2.5 months. Patients who were started on enalapril received the first dose of bisoprolol around five months after reaching the target dose of enalapril, which was up-titrated during one month. However, CIBIS III was not designed to assess the optimum time interval between starting the respective drugs and does not allow for any conclusions in this regard. When designing CIBIS III, on the one hand, we wanted a long period of monotherapy to increase the chance of finding any differences between the two strategies, should these exist. On the other hand, the duration of the monotherapy phase had to be ethically justifiable. We agree with Cleland et al that most physicians would probably *aim* to start their patients on the second drug earlier than in CIBIS III. Nevertheless, many CHF patients never receive a beta-blocking drug, whereas many others remain on an ACEI for extended periods of time (5-7). Furthermore, those who do receive combined therapy usually receive a sub-optimal dose of the beta-blocker (5-7). Consequently, there is no definitive answer to the question of the optimum time interval between starting these agents.

Fourthly, Cleland et al claim that CIBIS III was essentially a study comparing two monotherapies. We do not agree. Surveys have shown that, in clinical practice the first initiated therapy, usually an ACEI, is given at a substantially higher dose than the second therapy, usually a beta-blocker (5,7). One reason for doing CIBIS III was to test if this would also hold true if therapy were started with a beta-blocker. Indeed, irrespective of if it was bisoprolol or enalapril, the first initiated drug was significantly more often prescribed at 50% or more of the target dose, as compared with the second drug (2). This finding may be important to future morbidity and survival and indicates that CIBIS III, indeed, was a comparison between treatment initiation strategies rather than monotherapies.

Fifthly, Cleland et al suggest that there should have been a third, combined arm in CIBIS III. However, the aim of CIBIS III was to compare the two treatment initiation strategies head to head. A three-armed trial would have been a different trial, certainly one well worth doing.

Lastly, we would like to point out that reference 12 in the paper by Cleland et al refers to the tolerability results of CARMEN, not the efficacy results, which were published in (8).

## References

1. Cleland JG, Coletta AP, Lammiman M, Witte KK, Loh H, Nasir M, Clark AL  
.Clinical trials update from the European Society of Cardiology meeting 2005: CARE-HF extension study, ESSENTIAL, CIBIS-III, S-ICD, ISSUE-2, STRIDE-2, SOFA, IMAGINE, PREAMI, SIRIUS-II and ACTIVE. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2005;7:1070-5.
2. Willenheimer R, van Veldhuisen DJ, Silke B, Erdmann E, Follath F, Krum H, Ponikowski P, Skene A, van de Ven L, Verkenne P, Lechat P; CIBIS III Investigators.  
Effect on survival and hospitalization of initiating treatment for chronic heart failure with bisoprolol followed by enalapril, as compared with the opposite sequence: results of the randomized Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS) III. *Circulation.* 2005;112:2426-35.
3. Willenheimer R, Erdmann E, Follath F, Krum H, Ponikowski P, Silke B, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Van De Ven L, Verkenne P, Lechat P; CIBIS-III investigators.  
Comparison of treatment initiation with bisoprolol vs. enalapril in chronic heart failure patients: rationale and design of CIBIS-III. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2004;6:493-500.
4. Swedberg K, Cleland J, Dargie H, Drexler H, Follath F, Komajda M, Tavazzi L, Smiseth OA, Gavazzi A, Haverich A, Hoes A, Jaarsma T, Korewicki J, Levy S, Linde C, Lopez-Sendon JL, Nieminen MS, Pierard L, Remme WJ; Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Chronic Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic heart failure: executive summary (update 2005). *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26:1115-1140.
5. Cleland JG, Cohen-Solal A, Aguilar JC, Dietz R, Eastaugh J, Follath F, Freemantle N, Gavazzi A, van Gilst WH, Hobbs FD, Korewicki J, Madeira HC, Preda I, Swedberg K, Widimsky J; IMPROVEMENT of Heart Failure Programme Committees and Investigators. Improvement programme in evaluation and management; Study Group

on Diagnosis of the Working Group on Heart Failure of The European Society of Cardiology Management of heart failure in primary care (the IMPROVEMENT of Heart Failure Programme): an international survey. *Lancet*. 2002;360:1631-1639.

6. Davies M, Hobbs F, Davis R, Kenkre J, Roalfe AK, Hare R, Wosornu D, Lancashire RJ. Prevalence of left-ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure in the Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening study: a population based study. *Lancet* 2001;358:439-444.
7. Komajda M, Lapuerta P, Hermans N, Gonzalez-Juanatey JR, van Veldhuisen DJ, Tavazzi L, Poole-Wilson P, Le Pen C. Adherence to guidelines is a predictor of outcome in chronic heart failure: the MAHLER survey. *Eur Heart J*. 2005;26:1653-1659.
8. Remme WJ, Riegger G, Hildebrandt P, Komajda M, Jaarsma W, Bobbio M, Soler-Soler J, Scherhag A, Lutiger B, Ryden L. The benefits of early combination treatment of carvedilol and an ACE-inhibitor in mild heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction. The carvedilol and ACE-inhibitor remodelling mild heart failure evaluation trial (CARMEN). *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. 2004;18:57-66.