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A 5′ stem–loop and ribosome binding but not translation are important for the stability of Bacillus subtilis aprE leader mRNA

Gustav Hambraeus, Kaisa Karhumaa and Blanka Rutberg

The Bacillus subtilis aprE leader is a determinant of extreme mRNA stability. The authors examined what properties of the aprE leader confer stability on an mRNA. The secondary structure of the aprE leader mRNA was analysed in vitro and in vivo, and mutations were introduced into different domains of an aprE leader–lacZ fusion. The half-lives of the corresponding transcripts were determined and β-galactosidase activities were measured. Removal of a stem–loop structure at the 5′ end or diminishing the strength of the RBS reduced the half-lives from more than 25 min to about 5 min. Interfering with translation by abolishing the start codon or creating an early stop codon had no or little effect on mRNA stability. The authors conclude that a 5′ stem–loop and binding of ribosomes are necessary for the stability of aprE leader mRNA. The present results, together with a number of other data, suggest that translation of a B. subtilis mRNA is generally not important for its stability; the situation seems different in Escherichia coli. It is further concluded that the calculated strength of a B. subtilis RBS cannot be used to predict the stability of the corresponding transcript.
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INTRODUCTION

The steady-state amount of an mRNA in a cell is a function of its rate of synthesis and its rate of degradation. Studies of mRNA degradation in Escherichia coli and other bacteria have shown that this is a highly regulated process, giving half-lives of individual mRNAs from less than one min to more than 30 min (Belasco, 1993). The half-lives of some mRNAs are influenced by growth stage and growth conditions (Paesold & Krause, 1999; Vytvytska et al., 1998).

The general mechanism of mRNA degradation in E. coli is quite well understood (Grunberg-Manago, 1999; Rauhut & Klug, 1999). Degradation proceeds in a 5′ to 3′ direction by successive endonucleolytic cleavages performed by RNase E (Cohen & McDowall, 1997) or less commonly RNase III (Court, 1993; Régnier & Grunberg-Manago, 1990). The resultant mRNA fragments are further processed by the 3′ to 5′ exoribonucleases RNase II and PNPase (Spickler & Mackie, 2000) and finally degraded to mononucleotides by an oligoribonuclease (Ghosh & Deutscher, 1999).

Much less is known about mRNA degradation in other bacteria. Nevertheless, studies in Bacillus subtilis have revealed significant differences in the degradation mechanism between this bacterium and E. coli. The two bacteria have different arsenals of ribonucleases, one important distinction being that there is no RNase E homologue in B. subtilis. While the 5′ region appears to be the most important determinant of mRNA stability in both bacteria, the same mRNA species can show different degradation patterns in B. subtilis and E. coli (Persson et al., 2000).

The B. subtilis aprE gene encodes the alkaline protease subtilisin (Ferrari et al., 1988). Transcription of aprE is under AbrB/SpoOA control (Strauch & Hoch, 1993) and the gene is only expressed in stationary-phase bacteria. The aprE mRNA is unusually stable with a half-life exceeding 25 min. We have recently shown that the determinants for aprE mRNA stability are located in the 5′ untranslated 58 nt long leader sequence. aprE leader–lacZ fusion mRNA has a half-life of ≥25 min also in exponentially growing bacteria, showing that the ex-
treme stability conferred by the aprE leader is not growth phase dependent (Hambraeus et al., 2000).

In the present work we have examined what properties of the aprE leader confer stability on an mRNA molecule. Our results show that a stem–loop structure at the 5′ end together with an intact RBS are important for the stability of the mRNA. However, whether the mRNA is translated or not has little or no effect on its half-life.

METHODS

Bacteria and plasmids. These are listed in Table 1.

Growth of bacteria. Bacteria were kept on TBAB plates and liquid cultures were grown in LB at 37 °C on a rotary shaker at 200 r.p.m. For measurement of β-galactosidase activities and extraction of RNA, bacteria were grown to an OD₆₆₀ of about 1–0 (mid-exponential phase). For determination of mRNA half-lives, the bacteria were incubated with 100 mg rifampicin l⁻¹ for various times before samples were taken.

Genetic techniques. B. subtilis was grown to competence as described by Arwert & Venema (1973). E. coli was made competent as described by Mandel & Higa (1970).

Construction of strains. Plasmid pLUS2 is a derivative of pMD432 into which has been inserted a glpD promoter–aprE leader–lacZ translational fusion. Transformation of B. subtilis BR95 with pLUS2 gave rise to strain LUS2 where the fusion has been integrated into the amyE locus (Fig. 1). Further, pLUS2 was used as template for PCRs with modified primers to introduce directed mutations into the aprE leader (Hambraeus et al., 2000). The same protocol was now employed to construct additional plasmids and strains with mutated aprE leader–lacZ fusions. The plasmid constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. The mutations introduced are shown in Fig. 2, the primers used are listed in Table 2 and the resultant strains in Table 1.

β-Galactosidase activity. β-Galactosidase activity was detected on TBAB plates containing 40 mg X-Gal l⁻¹. β-Galactosidase in liquid cultures was assayed according to Miller (1972) as described by Glatz et al. (1998).

RNA techniques. Total RNA was extracted as described by Putzer et al. (1992) with some modifications. A 15 ml culture sample was added to a centrifuge tube filled to one-third with ice. The sample was centrifuged (5000 r.p.m. for 10 min) and the pellet was resuspended in 0.4 ml ice-cold TES buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 5 mM EDTA; 50 mM NaCl) and transferred to a tube containing 0.6 ml acid phenol, 0.15 ml chloroform and 0.8 ml 0.1 mM silica beads. The mixture was vortexed in a Mini Bead Beater (Biospec Products) at full speed for 80 s and then centrifuged at 5000 r.p.m. for 5 min. The aqueous phase was recovered and extracted with 0.6 ml acidic phenol and 0.15 ml chloroform and then once more with 0.7 ml chloroform. Total RNA was finally precipitated from the aqueous phase with 1/10 vol. 3 M NaAc, pH 4.8, and 2.5 vols 95% ice-cold ethanol. After centrifugation and washing with ice-cold 70% ethanol, the pellet was resuspended in 0.2 ml diethyl-pyrocarbonate-treated water. The quality of the RNA was controlled by electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel with ethidium bromide.

### Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Genotype/phenotype</th>
<th>Source/reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL-1 Blue</td>
<td>supE44 bsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA46 thi relA1 lacF[proAB+ lacI²] lacZΔM15 Tn10(Ter²)]</td>
<td>Bullock et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. subtilis strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR95</td>
<td>ilvC1 pheA1 trpC2</td>
<td>Dept. Microbiology, Lund University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS2</td>
<td>BR95 with insertion of a glpD promoter–aprE leader–lacZ fusion into amyE; Cm²</td>
<td>Hambraeus et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS7*</td>
<td>As LUS2 but with A and T substitutions at +23 and +27 in the aprE leader.</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS8*</td>
<td>As LUS2 but with an A substitution at +46 in the aprE leader.</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS9*</td>
<td>As LUS2 but with A substitutions at +46 and +48 in the aprE leader.</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS11*</td>
<td>As LUS2 but with the start codon GUG replaced by AAA</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS12*</td>
<td>As LUS2 but with the fifth codon replaced by UAA</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD432</td>
<td>Cm² Ap²; ΔamyE::lacZ</td>
<td>Dahl &amp; Meinhof (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLUS2</td>
<td>Derivative of pMD432; ΔamyE::glpD promoter–aprE leader–lacZ fusion</td>
<td>Hambraeus et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Fig. 2.
Determinants of *B. subtilis* aprE mRNA stability

**Fig. 2.** Proposed structure of the aprE leader mRNA. The RBS is in bold face. The start codon is underlined. Mutations introduced are: 1, U at +23 changed to A and C at +27 changed to U (LUS7); 2, G at +46 changed to A (LUS8); 3, Gs at +46 and +48 changed to As (LUS9); 4, the start codon GUG replaced by AAA (LUS11); 5, the fifth codon, AAA, replaced by the stop codon UAA (LUS12). ● and ○ indicate strong and weak methylation, respectively, in the experiment shown in Fig. 3.

**Table 2.** Primer sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5′–3′)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aprEconst</td>
<td>TTG GAT CCT TTT AAA TAA AGT AAT ACT ATG GTA TAA TGG TTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprEconstS</td>
<td>TTG GAT CCT TTT AAA TAA AGT AAT ACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprEBam2</td>
<td>CAA GGA TCC GAT CCACAA TTT TTT TGT TCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprEBulge</td>
<td>GTC TTT TAA GAATACT TCT TACT TAA AAA GAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprEBulgeinv</td>
<td>TAA AAA AAT TCA GAA TAG TCT TAC TAA AAA GAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprERBS1</td>
<td>GAA TTT TTT TAA AAG AGG GTA AAG AGT GAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprERBS1inv</td>
<td>CTC ACT CTT TAC CCT CTT TTA AAA AAA TTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprERBS2</td>
<td>GAA TTT TTT TAA AAG AGG GTA AAG AGT GAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprERBS2inv</td>
<td>CTC ACT CTT TAC CCT CTT TTA AAA AAA TTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprEstart</td>
<td>GGC GGA TCC GAT CCA CAA TTT TTT TGT TCT TCT TTA CCC TC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacZseq</td>
<td>GTT TTC CCA GTC AGC AGC TTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The mutations introduced by the primers are underlined.

Electrophoresis of RNA for Northern blots was done as described by Thomas (1980). RNA (10 µg) was added to each well. The RNA was blotted onto Hybond-N filters (Amersham). A single-stranded radioactive DNA probe for Northern blots (Fig. 1) was generated as previously described (Hamberaues et al., 2000). After hybridization, the radioactivity of the bands was quantified using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics). Primer extension analysis was performed according to the method of Ayer & Dynan (1988). The primer used was lacZseq which is complementary to the 5′ end of the lacZ part of the aprE leader–lacZ fusion mRNA.

Treatment of cells with dimethyl sulphate (DMS) was performed as described by Mayford & Weisblum (1989). Culture samples of 15 ml were transferred to a tube and 0–4 ml DMS was added. After 4 min vigorous shaking, 10 ml ice-cold TME buffer (100 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol; 5 mM EDTA) was added and RNA was extracted as described above. In parallel, cells that had not been incubated with DMS were treated in the same way and used as control.

**RESULTS**

**The secondary structure of the aprE leader mRNA**

The secondary structure of an RNA molecule can be estimated by using algorithms which search for the energetically most favoured structure. However, this procedure often yields several energetically similar but structurally different foldings of the same molecule. Moreover, since an RNA molecule may start to fold before its synthesis is completed, it could be locked in vivo in an energetically less favoured structure, which can be very different from the one(s) suggested by in silico experiments.

To facilitate studies on the aprE leader mRNA, Hambraeus et al. (2000) fused the aprE leader sequence to lacZ and replaced the aprE promoter, which is active only in stationary phase cells, with the constitutive *B. subtilis* glpD promoter. The construct was integrated into the amyE locus of the *B. subtilis* chromosome (strain LUS2, Fig. 1). The aprE leader–lacZ fusion mRNA was as stable as the native aprE mRNA, showing that the aprE leader contains the stability determinants.

To get information about the in vivo secondary structure of the aprE leader mRNA, an exponentially growing culture of LUS2 was treated with DMS, which preferentially methylates unpaired adenine and cytosine residues. RNA was then extracted and used in primer extension experiments with a primer specific for the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA. Methylated nucleotides act as stop signals for reverse transcriptase and thus the reaction is prematurely terminated at the positions of...
modified nucleotides (Fig. 3). A probable structure of the aprE leader mRNA predicted by the mfold programme (version 3.1, Mathews et al., 1999; Zuker et al., 1999) has a stable stem–loop structure at the very 5′ end (Fig. 2). Two of the six unpaired nucleotides in the loop are adenines. These residues, but no others, show up as stop signals in the DMS-treated RNA, supporting the presence of a stem–loop at the 5′ end. The stem–loop contains two bulges, one of which is formed by an adenine and a cytosine. These nucleotides were not seen to be methylated which we think may be because the small bulge is not readily accessible to DMS. A short stem–loop in the RBS region is also predicted and the primer extension experiments suggest methylation of three adenine residues at positions 41–43 which are believed to be part of the RBS. However, the loading of ribosomes on the mRNA must interfere with secondary structure formation in the RBS region and it could be assumed to be mostly single-stranded or melted in vivo upon engagement with the 16S rRNA 3′ end (de Smit & van Duin, 1990). Fig. 2 shows the structure of the aprE leader mRNA which is best compatible with the combined results of the computer predictions and the DMS experiments.

Effects of directed mutations on the stability of aprE leader–lacZ mRNA

One can distinguish three structural/functional domains in the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA: the 5′ stem–loop, the RBS and the start of the coding region. Mutations were

![Fig. 3. Primer extension analysis of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA methylated in vivo by DMS. Total RNA was extracted from growing LUS2 treated with DMS (B) or untreated (A). Methylated adenines are indicated.](image)

![Fig. 4. Northern blot analysis and calculation of half-lives of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNAs. The bacteria were grown to OD_{OD600} 1.0, rifampicin was added and RNA was extracted at the times indicated (min). The y-axes of the graphs indicate % remaining mRNA. The experiments were repeated at least twice with similar results.](image)
introduced into each of these domains (Fig. 2) and the constructs were integrated into the amyE locus of the B. subtilis chromosome. The effects of the mutations on the stability and translation of the various mRNAs were then determined.

We have previously shown that two nucleotide substitutions, which are predicted to disrupt the stem–loop at the 5’ end, lead to at least a fivefold reduction of the half-life of the aprE leader–lacZ transcript. A similar reduction in half-life is also seen following removal of the stem–loop by deletion of nt +1 to +25 (Hambraeus et al., 2000). To test the possibility that the bulges of the stem are a binding site for a protein that protects the mRNA from cleavage, we exchanged the nucleotides at positions +23 and +27 such that the bulges disappeared (Fig. 2). In the resultant strain, LUS7, the half-life of the aprE leader–lacZ transcript was found to be the same as that of the wild-type, i.e. 25 min or longer (Fig. 4 and Table 3).

To interfere with ribosome binding, we mutated the RBS by changing the G at position +46 to an A to give strain LUS8 and the Gs at +46 and +48 to As to give strain LUS9 (Fig. 2). The calculated free energies of interaction (see legend of Table 4) between the RBS and the 3’ end of 16S rRNA of the wild-type and mutants are (kcal mol⁻¹): LUS2, −8.9; LUS8, −7.3; and LUS9, −5.2. The half-lives of both mutant aprE leader–lacZ mRNAs were about 6 min compared to 25 min for the wild-type mRNA (Fig. 4 and Table 3).

Finally, mutations were introduced into the coding region of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA. In strain LUS11, the GUG start codon was changed to AAA. In strain LUS12, a U was introduced in the first position of the fifth codon, changing it to the stop codon UAA. These mutations had little or no effect on the half-lives of the respective aprE leader–lacZ transcripts, which were about 18 min for LUS11 and about 25 min for LUS12 (Fig. 4 and Table 3).

The steady-state level of an mRNA is a function of the rate of synthesis and the rate of removal. The rate of removal is often, for the sake of simplicity, taken to be the same as the rate of degradation of the mRNA, i.e. it is calculated from the half-life of the mRNA. However if the half-life of an mRNA is close to, or longer than, the generation time, the ‘effective’ half-life of the mRNA is derived from the half-life and the dilution due to cell growth (see legend of Table 3). The different aprE leader–lacZ fusions are all preceded by the constitutive gfpD promoter. They should therefore be transcribed with the same efficiency and the steady-state levels of the respective transcripts should correspond to their effective half-lives, i.e. a decreased effective half-life should lead to a lowered steady-state level. The steady-state levels of the wild-type and mutant aprE leader–lacZ transcripts were measured and the values showed good correspondence between relative steady-state level and relative effective half-life for all strains except LUS7 (Table 3). In this strain the steady-state level was less than 10% of the wild-type level although the half-lives of the two transcripts were the same. By removing the bulges in the wild-type leader mRNA, we have created a strong transcriptional stop signal consisting of a ‘perfect’ stem–loop followed by a run of seven U residues. We suggest that the majority of the aprE leader–lacZ transcripts initiated in LUS7 are prematurely terminated at this stop signal.

**Table 3. Half-lives and steady-state levels of aprE leader–lacZ mRNAs and pertaining β-galactosidase activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>mRNA half-life (min)</th>
<th>Effective half-life (min)*</th>
<th>Relative effective half-life</th>
<th>Relative steady-state level†</th>
<th>Relative β-galactosidase activity‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LUS2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>≥14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS7</td>
<td>Removal of two bulges in the 5’ end stem–loop</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>≥14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS8</td>
<td>G of RBS (+46) changed to A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS9</td>
<td>Two Gs of RBS (+46 and +48) changed to As</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS11</td>
<td>Start codon GUG changed to AAA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUS12</td>
<td>Fifth codon changed to the stop codon UAA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The effective half-life (T₁/₂E) is derived from the measured half-life T₁/₂M and the dilution due to cell growth (Belasco & Brawerman, 1993): T₁/₂E = [(T₁/₂M)⁻¹ + (T₀)⁻¹]⁻¹. The generation time (T₀) was 30 min.
†Determined from Northern blots (data not shown).
‡The β-galactosidase activity of LUS2 corresponded to 2 µmol ONPG hydrolysed min⁻¹ (mg protein)⁻¹. The extinction coefficient used was 4.5 x 10⁻⁵ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. The values represent the mean of at least two independent experiments. The deviation from the mean was less than 20%.
Specific changes were introduced into different domains of the aprE leader–lacZ fusion mRNA, the first target being the 5’ stem–loop. Deletion of nt +1 to +25 has been shown to result in a fivefold reduction of the half-life of aprE leader mRNA (Hambraeus et al., 2000), which indicates that the stem–loop is an important stability determinant. The two bulges of the stem–loop are apparently not required for stability (e.g. by binding a protecting protein) since their removal did not affect the half-life of the aprE leader–lacZ transcript.

A stem–loop at the very 5’ end of the E. coli ompA mRNA is important for stability. Addition of a short single-stranded region to the 5’ end destabilizes the transcript (Arnold et al., 1998; Emory et al., 1992). Most likely this is because a single-stranded end facilitates or is required for binding of RNase E and, consequently, introduction of the first (rate-determining) endonucleolytic cleavage (Mackie, 2000). No RNase E homologue is known in B. subtilis (Kunst et al., 1997), although the existence of a similar enzyme has been postulated (Condon et al., 1997). It is possible that also the aprE leader mRNA stem–loop interferes with binding of an endonuclease, thus delaying an initial step in degradation of the mRNA.

Changing a G to an A in the RBS (LUS8) led to a fivefold decreased half-life of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA. The amount of β-galactosidase produced by this mutant was also reduced about fivefold. Considering that there is less aprE leader–lacZ mRNA to be translated in LUS8 (40% of the wild-type steady-state amount), we can

### Table 4. B. subtilis mRNAs with known half-lives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>Sequence*</th>
<th>ΔG° (kcal mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Half-life (min)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>amyE</td>
<td>AAAUCAAUAAAGGAGUGUCAAGA AUG</td>
<td>−8.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hambraeus et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprE</td>
<td>UUUUUAAGGAGGGAAGGUGA AUG</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>Hambraeus et al. (2000); Resnekov et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clpC</td>
<td>AAAAGACAGGGGAUGAAGCAGAU AUG</td>
<td>−10.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allmansberger (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cspB</td>
<td>UGAUCGCUUUAGGAGAUAUGUGA AUG</td>
<td>−11.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kaa et al. (1999); Graumann et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cspC</td>
<td>UUUACACAUAGGGGAACAAAA AUG</td>
<td>−10.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kaa et al. (1999); Graumann et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctc</td>
<td>AAAACGACAAGGAGUGUAAUGA AUG</td>
<td>−9.4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>Jürgen et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glpD</td>
<td>CGUGACACAAAGGAAGAAACGU AUG</td>
<td>−12.2</td>
<td>2.3 †</td>
<td>Glatz et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>groES</td>
<td>AUACUAUUGAGGGAGUGUUCA AUG</td>
<td>−12.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yuan &amp; Wong (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gsiB</td>
<td>CACCAUUAAAGGAGAAUUCUAA AUG</td>
<td>−12.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Jürgen et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ospA</td>
<td>AAAAAAGGGAAUGAAACAAA AUG</td>
<td>−8.5</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>Jürgen et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>odbAB</td>
<td>UUUAAGAUGGAGGGUAAUUGCA AUG</td>
<td>−9.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Resnekov et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sacR</td>
<td>ACAUAAAAAAAGGCACUGAAACG AUG</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>0.6-2.5</td>
<td>Pereira et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sdb</td>
<td>CUUUAUACAGCCGAGGAUUGAUGA AUG</td>
<td>−11.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Melin et al. (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thrX</td>
<td>UGCAAAAAAAGGAGUGCAAAAG AUG</td>
<td>−8.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Condon et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xynA</td>
<td>AAAAUAUUAUAAGGAGGUAAACAAU AUG</td>
<td>−12.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Allmansberger (1996)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 23 nt upstream of the start codon were aligned with the 3’ end of the 16S rRNA sequence (UUUCCUCCA) and the free energy was calculated using the programme RNA structure 3.6. The nucleotides that are predicted to be involved in the hybridization with 16S rRNA are underlined.

† The half-life was determined at 45 °C. At 32 °C the half-life was 4.5 min.
estimate that the mutation of LUS8 reduces the translational efficiency to about 50%. Changing twoGs to As in the RBS (LUS9) caused no further decrease in the stability of the mRNA but the translational efficiency was reduced to a few per cent. Thus, reducing the translational efficiency about 30-fold by mutating the RBS had no effect on mRNA stability.

An untranslated mRNA leader sequence from the Bacillus thuringiensis cryIII A gene has been shown to stabilize in B. subtilis a lacZ gene fused to the 3’ end of the leader sequence. Stabilization requires the 129 nt at the 3′ end of the leader mRNA. A strong RBS begins at −125 and is separated by one nucleotide from an AUG which, however, does not seem to be part of an ORF. The stabilizing effect is suggested to depend on binding of a 30S ribosomal subunit and to be independent of translation of the downstream lacZ gene (Agasse & Lereclus, 1996). A contribution of secondary structures in the 129 nt sequence was not considered. Similarly, a polypurine sequence from B. subtilis phage SP82 has been reported to stabilize an ermC or a lacZ gene fused to its 3′ end. Stabilization dependent on an RBS which precedes a phage ORF but translation of the fused genes was not required (Hue et al., 1995). Earlier work on the ermA gene in B. subtilis showed that the stalling of ribosomes at a short ORF in the leader mRNA stabilized the ermA mRNA. The stalled ribosomes were suggested to block progression of mRNA degradation in a 5′ to 3′ direction (Sandler & Weisblum, 1989). Abolishing the start codon or introducing an early stop codon in the aprE leader–lacZ fusion had little or no effect on mRNA stability. Neither of these mutants produced detectable amounts of β-galactosidase activity or protein. We conclude that translation has no effect on the stability of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA.

In contrast, the stability of an E. coli mRNA generally seems to depend not only on ribosome binding but also on translation. Introduction of an early stop codon in the ompA gene or the bla gene destabilizes the respective transcripts indicating that translation is important for their stability (Nilsson et al., 1987). Increasing the speed of transcription of a gene (Joyce & Dreyfus, 1998) or stalling ribosomes at artificially introduced rare codons (Deana et al., 1998) has also been shown to destabilize a transcript in E. coli. In neither case was initial binding of ribosomes to the RBS impaired but less of the mRNA was covered by ribosomes. A recent study of the regulation of the E. coli thrS gene also suggests a strong correlation between translation and stability of the thrS transcript (Nogueira et al., 2001). However, it has been claimed that also in E. coli, an efficient RBS, irrespective of translation of downstream sequences, can be sufficient to protect a transcript from rapid degradation (e.g. Wagner et al., 1994).

Although no general rule can be formulated concerning the importance of translation for mRNA stability in eubacteria, available facts all suggest that binding of ribosomes is important both in B. subtilis and E. coli. Jürgen et al. (1998) determined the half-life of B. subtilis gspB mRNA to be 20 min and weakening of the RBS decreased the half-life about fourfold. A comparison with two other sigma B-dependent mRNAs, gspA and ctc, also showed a correlation between the strength of the RBS and the stability of the mRNA. From these observations the general conclusion was drawn that the stronger the RBS, the more stable the corresponding mRNA.

We have surveyed the literature for determinations of B. subtilis mRNA half-lives. For each transcript we have calculated the energy of interaction between the RBS and the 3′ end of 16S rRNA based on the 23 nt preceding the start codon (Table 4). The stability of some of the mRNAs has been shown to be affected by, for example, stress (xynA; Allmansberger, 1996), a down-shift in temperature (cspB and cspC; Kaan et al., 1999) or growth phase (sdh; Melin et al., 1989) but, as far as we can deduce from the cited papers, the mRNA half-lives have been determined in bacteria growing at 37 °C.

Inspection of the data in Table 4 immediately reveals that there is no simple relation between the strength of interaction of the different RBSs with 16S rRNA and mRNA stability. In the present work we have shown that the native RBS is required but not sufficient for the high stability of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA. Moreover, mutations that strongly reduced the strength of the RBS only reduced the stability of the mRNA to 6 min, which is longer than the mean mRNA half-life in B. subtilis. For the groE gene and segments of the dnaK operon in B. subtilis, the presence of an inverted repeat, CIRCE, and its positioning relative the RBS have been found to affect the stability of the mRNAs (Yuan & Wong, 1995; Homuth et al., 1999). An interaction between the RNA-binding antiterminator protein GlpP and the glpD leader mRNA in B. subtilis stabilizes glpD mRNA (Glatz et al., 1996). Clearly, the context of the leader sequence, possible secondary structures, or specific binding of proteins can be as important as the RBS in determining mRNA stability in B. subtilis.

We can conclude that the extreme stability of the aprE leader–lacZ mRNA is a function of a stem–loop structure at the 5′ end and a native RBS. The strength of interaction between the aprE RBS and 16S rRNA is less than average for the B. subtilis mRNAs presented in Table 4, emphasizing that the strength of an RBS cannot be used to predict the stability of a B. subtilis mRNA. When comparing B. subtilis with E. coli, it seems that translation is important for the stability of most E. coli mRNAs but unimportant for the stability of B. subtilis mRNAs. Whether this difference has any relation to the fact that an identical mRNA can have a very different half-life in the two species (Persson et al., 2000) is unknown.
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