

Invited commentary.

Bjursten, Henrik

Published in: Annals of Thoracic Surgery

DOI:

10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.03.048

2006

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Bjursten, H. (2006). Invited commentary. Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 82(1), 56-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.03.048

Total number of authors:

General rights
Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 • You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 • You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 19. Dec. 2025

This is an author produced version of a paper published in Ann Thorac Surg. This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Citation for the published paper:
Jonsson, H
"Invited commentary."
Ann Thorac Surg. 2006 Jul;82(1):56.
doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.03.048

Access to the published version may require journal subscription. Published with permission from: Elsevier

Invited Commentary

ATS/2006/112318

Randomized Controlled Trial of Pericardial Blood Processing With a Cell Saver on

Neurological Markers in Elderly Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery

(Invited Commentary)

Henrik Jönsson, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery

Lund University Hospital

SE-221 85 Lund

Sweden

FAX +46 (46) 15 86 35

E-mail: henrik.jonsson@med.lu.se

This paper addresses an important and very interesting topic, i.e. the potential deleterious effects of retransfusion cardiotomy suction blood to the cardiopulmonary circulation. In a small material such as this study, S100B sampling is the end-point that would yield the most interesting results. The authors have chosen to use only one time for sampling, and rather soon after the operation. The sampling after a couple of hours is known to be fraught with methodological problems, which the authors also point out. It would be interesting to see a couple of S100B samples between 6 and 24 hours. Lacking these data, it is difficult to draw any eliable conclusions from S100B levels in this series of patients.

Albeit the shortcomings of the study, such as small sample size and suboptimal timing of S100B sampling, the findings of the study raises some interesting thoughts. In the cell-saver group they had 3 patients with a history of stroke, and none in the control group. In outcome they found no stroke in the cell-saver group but one in the control group. Although, not even close to any statistical significance, this finding provokes the interesting question whether removing unwanted substances such as cytokines, S100B and lipid particles will decrease the risk of focal ischemic brain damage. It is well known that lipid particles from shed blood will end up in different organs of the body. The hypothesis that lipid particles could be a mechanism behind focal ischemic brain damage is very interesting, and will hopefully be addressed in studies with a larger cohort.