



LUND UNIVERSITY

Detailed Analysis of Focal Chromosome Arm 1q and 6p Amplifications in Urothelial Carcinoma Reveals Complex Genomic Events on 1q, and SOX4 as a Possible Auxiliary Target on 6p.

Eriksson, Pontus; Aine, Mattias; Sjö Dahl, Gottfrid; Staaf, Johan; Lindgren, David; Höglund, Mattias

Published in:
PLoS ONE

DOI:
[10.1371/journal.pone.0067222](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067222)

2013

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Eriksson, P., Aine, M., Sjö Dahl, G., Staaf, J., Lindgren, D., & Höglund, M. (2013). Detailed Analysis of Focal Chromosome Arm 1q and 6p Amplifications in Urothelial Carcinoma Reveals Complex Genomic Events on 1q, and SOX4 as a Possible Auxiliary Target on 6p. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6), Article e67222. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067222>

Total number of authors:
6

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Detailed Analysis of Focal Chromosome Arm 1q and 6p Amplifications in Urothelial Carcinoma Reveals Complex Genomic Events on 1q, and *SOX4* as a Possible Auxiliary Target on 6p

Pontus Eriksson¹, Mattias Aine¹, Gottfrid Sjödal¹, Johan Staaf^{1,2}, David Lindgren³, Mattias Höglund^{1*}

1 Department of Oncology, Clinical Sciences, Skåne University Hospital, Lund University, Lund Sweden, **2** CREATE Health Strategic Center for Translational Cancer Research, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, **3** Center for Molecular Pathology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Skåne University Hospital, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

Abstract

Background: Urothelial carcinoma shows frequent amplifications at 6p22 and 1q21–24. The main target gene at 6p22 is believed to be *E2F3*, frequently co-amplified with *CDKAL1* and *SOX4*. There are however reports on 6p22 amplifications that do not include *E2F3*. Previous analyses have identified frequent aberrations occurring at 1q21–24. However, due to complex rearrangements it has been difficult to identify specific 1q21–24 target regions and genes.

Methods: We selected 29 cases with 6p and 37 cases with 1q focal genomic amplifications from 261 cases of urothelial carcinoma analyzed by array-CGH for high resolution zoom-in oligonucleotide array analyses. Genomic analyses were combined with gene expression data and genomic sequence analyses to characterize and fine map 6p22 and 1q21–24 amplifications.

Results: We show that the most frequently amplified gene at 6p22 is *SOX4* and that *SOX4* can be amplified and overexpressed without the *E2F3* or *CDKAL1* genes being included in the amplicon. Hence, our data point to *SOX4* as an auxiliary amplification target at 6p22. We further show that at least three amplified regions are observed at 1q21–24. Copy number data, combined with gene expression data, highlighted *BCL9* and *CHD1L* as possible targets in the most proximal region and *MCL1*, *SETDB1*, and *HIF1B* as putative targets in the middle region, whereas no obvious targets could be determined in the most distal amplicon. We highlight enrichment of G4 quadruplex sequence motifs and a high number of intraregional sequence duplications, both known to contribute to genomic instability, as prominent features of the 1q21–24 region.

Conclusions: Our detailed analyses of the 6p22 amplicon suggest *SOX4* as an auxiliary target gene for amplification. We further demonstrate three separate target regions for amplification at 1q21–24 and identified *BCL9*, *CHD1L*, and *MCL1*, *SETDB1*, and *HIF1B* as putative target genes within these regions.

Citation: Eriksson P, Aine M, Sjödal G, Staaf J, Lindgren D, et al. (2013) Detailed Analysis of Focal Chromosome Arm 1q and 6p Amplifications in Urothelial Carcinoma Reveals Complex Genomic Events on 1q, and *SOX4* as a Possible Auxiliary Target on 6p. PLoS ONE 8(6): e67222. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222

Editor: Jörg D. Hoheisel, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Germany

Received: September 20, 2012; **Accepted:** May 20, 2013; **Published:** June 18, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Eriksson et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work supported by The Swedish Cancer Society, [http://www.vr.se/grant number 10 0517](http://www.vr.se/grant%20number%2010%20517)) The Swedish Research Council, (www.cancerfonden.se/) (grant number 2011-17816-83790-87), The Crafoord Foundation, (http://www.crafoord.se/vetenskaplig_forskning.asp), The Gunnar Nilsson Foundation, (<http://www.cancerstiftelsen.com/>), The Medical Faculty of Lund University Hospital, (<http://www.med.lu.se/>), and The Swedish Society for Medical Research, (<http://www.ssmf.se/>). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: mattias.hoglund@med.lu.se

Introduction

Urothelial carcinoma (UC) is the sixth most common malignancy and the fourth most common cancer among males. UC originates from the epithelial cells of the inner lining of the bladder wall. Most tumors (70%) are papillary and confined to the urothelial mucosa (stage Ta) or to the lamina propria (stage T1), whereas the remaining are muscle invasive (T2–T4). Most Ta tumors are of low grade, rarely progress, and are associated with a favorable prognosis whereas high grade Ta (TaG3) and T1 tumors have a significant risk of tumor progression. UC has been studied

by gene expression profiling [1–6] and recently Lindgren et al. [7] classified UC based on gene expression and genomic alterations. Several genes are known to be mutated in UC, of which activating mutations in *FGFR3* and inactivating mutations in *TP53* are the most frequent. Accumulated data has shown that *FGFR3* mutations are characteristic for low grade and low stage tumors whereas *TP53* mutations are characteristic for invasive tumors [8–10]. Apart from gene mutations, cytogenetic studies have revealed several recurring chromosomal changes and comparative genome hybridization (CGH) methods have corroborated many of these findings, but also defined several recurrent high level amplifica-

tions and deletions [7,11–19]. Key findings of these investigations are frequent losses of chromosome arms 9p and 9q, and frequent amplifications on 6p and 1q. Losses of chromosome 9, and of 9p in particular, are highly characteristic for low stage and low grade UC. Deletions affecting 9p are commonly attributed to loss of the tumor suppressor gene *CDKN2A* at 9p21 [20]. High-level amplifications on 6p are commonly localized to the 6p22.3 region and are frequent in advanced stage UC. The genes most frequently encompassed by 6p22 amplifications are *E2F3*, *CDKAL1*, and *SOX4*. Amplifications at 1q21–24 are frequent but heterogeneous. The heterogeneity of 1q21–24 amplifications has most likely precluded the identification of bona fide target genes. In order to clarify some of the genomic features of 6p and 1q amplifications in UC we have applied high-resolution array CGH focused at regions commonly altered in UC combined with gene expression analysis.

Materials and Methods

Patients and tumor tissue samples

Samples were obtained by cold-cup biopsies from the exophytic part of the bladder tumor from patients undergoing transurethral resection at hospitals of the Southern Healthcare Region of Sweden. Pathological evaluation was based on WHO 1999. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee at Lund University. Using previous information on genomic imbalances in 261 cases of urothelial carcinoma [3,7,18,21], 68 cases were selected based on the presence of focal genomic aberrations. Among the samples, 48 harbored focal genomic alterations either at 6p22, at 1q21–24, or both (Table S1). Alterations at 6p22 and 1q21–24 co-occurred in 18 samples. Alterations of the 6p22 and 1q21–24 region alone occurred in 11 and 19 samples, respectively, for a total of 29 samples with 6p22 alterations and 37 samples with 1q21–24 alteration. The 20 remaining samples lacking aberrations at 6p or 1q were selected based on the presence of other commonly recurring genomic alterations. Gene expression data was available for 212 of the original 261 samples, and for 58 out of the 68 samples selected for zoom-in analyses [6].

Zoom-In array

A custom design 180 k Agilent G3 Sureprint (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) array was used, which covers the genome and contains increased probe densities at selected regions of the genome (Table S2). The average probe spacing was 17 bp and between 7000–12000 bp in selected target regions. Target regions were selected based on previous array CGH analyses using a 32 K BAC platform. Tumor sample and male reference DNA (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) were labeled and hybridized to arrays as described [22]. Tumor samples with a low DNA quantity were amplified using the GenomePlex WGA2 amplification kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol with 20–40 ng of input DNA prior to labeling. The reference DNA for these samples was also subjected to whole genome amplification.

Copy number analysis

Raw data was extracted from the scanned images using Agilent Feature Extraction 10.7.3.1 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The data was filtered from control probes and probes that did not pass Agilent's default "well above background" condition. Remaining probes were corrected for background signal and log 2 ratios (log 2 (Signal sample/Signal reference)) were calculated from the adjusted signal intensities for each array.

The log 2 ratios were normalized and centered using popLowess [23]. The log 2 values of replicate probes were merged to their median value. Segmentation was performed on normalized log 2 ratios for each sample using Circular Binary Segmentation (CBS) [24] (Settings: 10 000 permutations, significance level for accepting change-points, α , set to 0.01, and a minimum of 5 consecutive probes for calling a segment). Gains and losses were called at regions where the segmentation value exceeded a sample adaptive threshold (SAT) [23]. The SAT ranged from 0.15 to 0.59, with a median value of 0.20. Copy number gain frequencies were calculated using segmented data at an individual probe level by dividing the number of times the probe was observed above the SAT with the number of samples investigated. Average copy number gain amplitudes (log 2) were calculated by measuring the summed segmentation line amplitude of each probe above SAT divided by the number of times the probe was observed above the SAT. RefSeq gene locations were downloaded from the UCSC genome browser (GRCh37/HG19 Assembly). MicroRNA (miRNA) data was obtained from miRBase (<http://www.mirbase.org>, Release 18). Copy number variant (CNV) data generated by Conrad *et al.* [25] was used to account for naturally occurring variations. Gene specific copy number was measured as the mean segmentation value spanning each RefSeq gene position. The correlation between gene specific copy number and gene expression levels was determined using Spearman correlation in the 58 samples with matched gene expression, and p-values were FDR corrected to account for multiple testing [26]. The gene expression levels in samples with amplifications were compared to the remainder of the 212 samples where expression data was available using the Mann-Whitney Test, in order to determine whether there was a significant difference in expression levels. Raw and processed data, together with array design and sample annotations, are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE40938).

Breakpoint and sequence element analyses

Breakpoints were called at positions where the segmentation shifts exceed the SAT or occurred above the SAT. Breakpoints were manually curated in selected regions to account for outlier probes. In order to test for an uneven distribution of chromosomal breaks within the 1q and 6p target regions, the observed breakpoint distribution was compared to that of 10000 random permutations in 50 kb windows. Significance levels were determined by rank statistics. Data on repetitive genomic features (LINE, SINE, and LTR) was downloaded from the UCSC genome browser RepeatMasker track [27]. Locations of segmental duplications were obtained from the UCSC genome browser (Duplications of >1000 Bases of Non-RepeatMasked Sequence). G4 quadruplex locations were obtained using the Quadparser algorithm, which identifies d(G₃N_{1–7}G₃N_{1–7}G₃N_{1–7}G₃) sequence motifs postulated to fold into a quadruplex structure [28]. LINE, SINE, LTR, and G4 sequence element content was measured in 50 kb non-overlapping windows across the genome. In order to assess the association between element content and breakpoint occurrence, the breakpoint frequency in windows that harbored an above median element content was compared to that of windows with a below median element content. Only regions with array coverage were included, and windows with CNVs were excluded. Fisher's exact test was used to assess the significance of repetitive sequence enrichment in the 1q and 6p amplicon peak regions.

Results

The 6p22 region

Of the 261 cases analyzed by 32 K BAC array-CGH 29 cases showed focal copy number alterations occurring within the 6p22.3 region (Chr6:14.9–24.8 Mb). The frequency plot (Figure 1A) places *E2F3* at the slope of the amplification frequency peak, with the most frequently amplified gene being *SOX4*. When amplified, however, both genes show similar amplification amplitudes (Figure 1B). Although the focal genomic amplifications usually included all three genes (*E2F3*, *CDKAL1*, and *SOX4*), we detected four cases (14%) in which *E2F3* was not included in the amplified segments (Figure 2). These four cases showed amplification breakpoints between *E2F3* and *SOX4*: within the *CDKAL1* coding region in three cases and in the *CDKAL1* promoter region in one. Hence, the only intact amplified gene in these four cases was *SOX4*. No cases with *E2F3* amplification without concomitant *SOX4* amplification were found. This strongly argues for *SOX4* as an auxiliary target to *E2F3* in 6p22.

A total of 213 segmentation shifts indicating chromosomal breaks were identified within the 6p22.3 region (Figure 3). The breaks were binned in 50 kb non-overlapping windows and tested for an uneven distribution within the region. Enrichment of breaks was observed between *E2F3* and *CDKAL1* ($p < 1 \times 10^{-3}$) and to a lower extent at the proximal side of *SOX4* ($p < 1 \times 10^{-2}$). To assess whether sequence elements were associated with breakpoint

occurrence, the content of LINE, SINE, LTR, and G4 sequences was measured in 50 kb windows across the genome. The median genome-wide sequence element content per 50 kb window was 19.2% LINE, 10.8% SINE, 7.5% LTR, and 28 bp of G4 motif sequence. Genome-wide, breakpoints occurred preferentially in segments with an above median number of SINE and G4 elements, 1.8 and 1.4 fold higher frequency of breakpoints, respectively ($p < 3 \times 10^{-16}$, Mann-Whitney test), and less frequently in segments enriched for LINE and LTR elements (0.8 and 0.8 fold, $p < 3 \times 10^{-16}$). The 6p22.3 amplicon region showed a significantly higher frequency of SINE sequences but a significantly lower frequency of G4 sequences, compared to the genome as a whole (Table 1). No apparent association between breakpoints in the *E2F3*-*SOX4* region and the presence of the investigated sequence elements was observed (Figure 3).

Correlations between DNA amplification and mRNA levels were found to be high for all genes within the amplified region, except for *ID4*. *MBOAT1* expression followed gene copy levels closely ($\rho = 0.66$, $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$) but was not always included in the amplified regions. *E2F3* showed strong correlation ($\rho = 0.82$, $p < 3 \times 10^{-16}$) and the highest mRNA fold-changes. *SOX4*, the most proximal gene showed a highly significant association between gene copy numbers and gene expression ($\rho = 0.59$, $p < 8 \times 10^{-5}$), as did *CDKAL1* ($\rho = 0.78$, $p < 3 \times 10^{-16}$). *SOX4* was overexpressed in cases where *E2F3* was not a part of the amplicon. Hence, both




Figure 1. Summary of copy number gains at 6p22.3. A) Amplification frequency plot and B) average log 2 ratios for probes when amplified. Tracks for location of CNVs, genes, and microRNAs are given. Genomic positions in Mb (HG19). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g001




Figure 2. Focal 6p22.3 amplifications not including E2F3. Amplification breakpoints occur within the coding region of *CDKAL1* in A), B), and C), and within the *CDKAL1* promoter region in D).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g002




Figure 3. Chromosome 6p breakpoints. Breakpoint occurrence within 50 kb non-overlapping windows across the 6p target region. Significance thresholds, red line, $p < 10^{-3}$; blue line, $p < 10^{-2}$, determined by permutations (10000 fold) of breakpoints in the 6p22.3 region (Chr6:14.9–24.8 Mb). Tracks for LINE, SINE, LTR, and G4 element frequencies within 50 kb windows are given, as well as tracks for intraregional sequence duplications, CNVs, and genes. LINE, SINE, and LTR are displayed as percentage of window, while G4 is displayed as the number of base pairs of G4 sequence per window. No intraregional sequence duplications were located within the 6p22.3 peak region. Genomic positions in Mb (HG19).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g003

Table 1. Summary of sequence element frequencies¹.

Element	6p region ²	6p vs WG ³	1q region ²	1q vs WG ³	6p vs 1q ⁴
LINE	55/125 (44.0%)	0.21	278/574 (48.4%)	0.47	0.38
SINE	77/125 (61.6%)	1.2×10^{-2}	334/574 (58.1%)	9.4×10^{-5}	0.55
LTR	62/125 (49.6%)	1	229/574 (38.1%)	1.6×10^{-6}	5.7×10^{-2}
G4	44/125 (35.2%)	1.2×10^{-3}	356/574 (61.9%)	1.0×10^{-8}	5.2×10^{-8}

¹Values compared with whole genome median values (= 50%).

²Number of windows with above median number of repetitive sequence elements (6p region: Chr6:17.4–23.6 Mb, 1q region: Chr1:143.6–172.3 Mb, HG19).

³p-values obtained by Fisher's exact test when comparing with the whole genome.

⁴p-values obtained by Fisher's exact test when comparing frequencies in the 6p and the 1q region.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.t001

increased *E2F3* and *SOX4* gene copy numbers are strongly associated with increased mRNA expression (Figure 4A and 4B).

The 1q21–24 region

Thirty-seven of the 261 cases analyzed by 32 K BAC array-CGH harbored 1q copy number aberrations occurring within a 29 Mb genomic segment (Chr1:143.6–172.3 Mb). Although the high resolution zoom-in array further highlighted the heterogeneity of 1q alterations, three regions emerged as candidates for amplification: amplicon 1 at chr1:143.9–148.5 Mb, amplicon 2 at chr1:149.8–152.9 Mb, and a distal amplicon (amplicon 3) at chr1:159.7–161.7 Mb (Figure 5). These regions appear as concomitant amplifications in most cases: in 17 cases (46%) all three regions were amplified, in 6 cases (16%) amplicon 2 and 3, and in 2 cases (5%) amplicon 1 and 2. In no instance were amplicons 1 and 3 co-amplified without amplification of amplicon 2 (Figure 6). Only amplicon 3 was found amplified as a single unit, seen in 12 cases (34%).

Amplicon 1, observed in 19 of the 37 cases (51%) with 1q gains, always included the genes *BCL9* and *CHD1L*. A strong correlation between *BCL9* and *CHD1L* mRNA expression and gene copy numbers was also observed, ($p = 0.63$, $p < 2 \times 10^{-5}$, and $p = 0.53$, $p < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ respectively). Cases with amplified *BCL9* and *CHD1L* were highly enriched among the high expressing cases (Figure 4C and 4D). Amplicon 2 showed two possible sub-peaks that occasionally appeared as separate amplifications (Figures 6A, 6B, 6C and 6D). The anti-apoptotic gene *MCL1* was amplified in 25 out of the 37 (68%) cases, including one case with *MCL1* only. Two additional genes included in the peak region were: *ARNT*, also known as *HIF1B*, and *SETDB1*. *ARNT/HIF1B* was amplified in 24 (65%) of the cases, while *SETDB1* was amplified in 23 (62%) cases. All three genes showed a significant correlation between gene copy numbers and gene expression; *MCL1* ($p = 0.73$, $p < 3 \times 10^{-16}$), *ARNT/HIF1B* ($p = 0.54$, $p < 3 \times 10^{-4}$), and *SETDB1* ($p = 0.64$, $p < 6 \times 10^{-6}$). Cases with *MCL1*, and *SETDB1* amplifications were highly enriched among the high expressing cases (Figure 4E and 4F), as was *ARNT/HIF1B* (not shown). The third amplicon region harbored copy number aberrations in 35 out of 37 cases (95%). The amplicon region spans approximately 68 genes but the amplification frequency peaks around 25 genes located at chr1:160.84–161.35 Mb (Table S3). Eleven of these genes showed strong association ($p \geq 0.55$, $p < 3 \times 10^{-4}$) between gene copy number and gene expression (Table 2), including the tight junction adhesion related *FLTR*, the death effector domain containing *DEDD*, and the transcription factor *USF1*, as well as four genes associated with mitochondrial functions: *PPOX*, *NDUFS2*, *TOMM40L*, and *SDHC*.

A total of 599 segmentation shifts indicating chromosomal breaks were detected within the 1q amplification region (Figure 7). One region, located within amplicon 1, showed a strong enrichment for breakpoints ($p < 10^{-4}$). No clear association between the clustering of breakpoints and specific sequence elements could be established. However, compared to the whole genome, the 1q region shows higher frequencies of G4 and SINE elements, and lower frequencies of LTR sequences. Furthermore, the 1q region differed significantly from 6p amplification regions with respect to G4 element content (Table 1). A notable feature of the 1q region is the high frequency of intraregional sequence duplications (Figure 7), particularly within the amplicon 1 segment. Similar occurrences of intraregional sequence duplications were not observed in the 6p region (Figure 3).

Discussion

The most frequent genomic copy number gains in UC occur on 6p and 1q. The 6p amplification, mostly seen in high grade tumors, has been extensively studied and *E2F3* is believed to be the main target. There are however cases with 6p amplifications that do not cover *E2F3* [7]. Aberrations of 1q occur both in low and high grade tumors. However, whereas whole chromosome arm gains are seen in low grade tumors, high grade tumors frequently show complex focal amplifications [7,19]. In addition, no bona fide target genes have so far been assigned to the 1q region in UC. To resolve some of these issues we selected 29 cases with 6p22 and 37 cases with 1q21–24 focal amplifications from a series of 261 cases analyzed by 32 K BAC array-CGH for high resolution zoom-in array CGH analyses. The applied zoom-in platform has an approximately ten-fold increase in resolution with a design that makes it possible to identify intragenic breakpoints.

The abundance and the high sequence similarity among repetitive elements make them potential driving factors for genomic instability [29]. Mechanisms suggested to be in operation include un-equal crossing-over and non-allelic homologous recombination repair events [30–33]. Both the 6p and the 1q regions contained higher frequencies of SINE elements that may contribute to the nature of the amplifications. Alternative forms of secondary DNA structures have also been linked to genomic instability [34–38] such as G4 quadruplexes, formed by guanine-rich sequences that adopt four-stranded secondary DNA structures [39]. Regions rich in G4 sequence motifs have been shown to be enriched for DNA breaks in cancer [38], something we also observe in the present study. Furthermore, hypomethylation, a common feature of cancer genomes, potentially aids the formation of G4 quadruplex structures [38]. In contrast to 6p, the 1q region showed a high frequency of G4 quadruplex sequence motifs, particularly in the amplicon regions 2 and 3. Amplicon 1, on the




Figure 4. Association between gene amplification and expression. The 212 samples with both gene expression and genomic data were rank ordered based on A) *E2F3*, B) *SOX4*, C) *CHD1L*, D) *BCL9*, E) *MCL1*, and F) *SETDB1* mRNA expression. Cases with focal genomic amplification of the respective gene are indicated with red. For each gene the difference in gene expression between amplified and non-amplified cases were tested by a Mann-Whitney test. The obtained p-values are indicated in each sub graph. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g004

other hand, showed a large number of intraregional sequence duplications, a feature that is absent in the 6p region. Hence, our data suggest that the observed heterogeneity of 1q amplifications may be a consequence of an underlying regional instability caused by an accumulation of specific sequence motifs. Regions with similarly high density of regional sequence duplications are also seen in other peri-centromeric regions e.g., in chromosomes 7, 9, and 16.

Several investigations have indicated *E2F3* as the major target gene for 6p22 amplifications [40–44]. *E2F3* has a central role in cell cycle regulation [45] and the frequent *E2F3* amplifications are consistent with the frequent *RB1* alterations seen in UC, both

affecting the same key transition in cell cycle regulation [46]. Hurst et al. [40] have pointed to an intimate link between *E2F3* and *RB1* in UC and we have recently identified an *E2F3/RB1* genomic circuit operating in a subset of UCs [7]. In light of this, it is intriguing that *E2F3* is not the most frequently amplified gene at 6p22. The finding of 6p22 amplifications not spanning the *E2F3* gene, with genomic breaks within the *CDKAL1* gene, strongly suggests *SOX4* as possible auxiliary target gene within 6p22. Intriguingly, both depletion and overexpression of *SOX4* may have unfavorable effects on cell survival [47,48]. Recent investigations have reported *SOX4* as a part of the pro-apoptotic TP53 pathway in which *SOX4* expression is induced during DNA damage and




Figure 5. Summary of copy number gains at 1q21–24. A) Amplification frequency plot and B) average log 2 ratios for probes when amplified. Tracks for location of CNVs, genes, and microRNAs are given. Gray boxes indicate the extension of the three 1q amplicons. Arrows indicate the positions of *CHD1L*, *BCL9*, *MCL1*, *ARNT*, and *SETDB1*. Genomic positions in Mb (HG19). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g005




Figure 6. Examples of focal copy number gains within the 1q21–24 region. A) Each of the three amplicons amplified to a different extent, with a CNV loss occurring between amplicon 1 and amplicon 2. B) Amplicon 2 *MCL1* region amplified. C) Similar event as in A but with varying copy number levels in amplicon 2. D) Amplicon region 2 and 3 amplified independently. E) Amplicon 3 amplified alone. F) Amplicon region 1 and 2 amplified as a single unit.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g006

stabilizes TP53 by blocking MDM2-mediated ubiquitination and degradation [49]. This function could explain why *SOX4* overexpression has been linked to apoptosis and been associated with better patient survival [47,50]. In contrast to these findings, *SOX4* has also been reported to have positive effect on cellular survival [48,51]. *SOX4* expression has been linked to increased proliferation through modulation of β -catenin/TCF activity in *TP53* mutated cell lines [52]. In addition, *SOX4* expression activates *EGFR* expression and influences the NOTCH pathway [52,53]. Taken together these findings indicate *SOX4* as a multifunctional protein that may have a context dependent cellular function. All four cases with *SOX4* but not *E2F3* amplification harbored *TP53* mutations. This leaves the question open whether *SOX4* could have oncogenic properties when

amplified in *TP53* mutated cases of UC. Recent investigations have shown that *SOX4* is regulated through rapid protein degradation [54]. This indicates that *SOX4* function may, in analogy with TP53, be required or triggered at specific cellular conditions or transitions. As a consequence, *SOX4* gene copy number alterations resulting in increased mRNA levels does not necessarily have to result in increased steady state *SOX4* protein levels. Accordingly, our attempts to establish a link between *SOX4* gene copy numbers and increased protein levels by IHC did not show any convincing results. This does however not exclude an oncogenic potential of the *SOX4* protein.

Even though many studies identify 1q amplifications as a frequent event in UC, few studies report on specific target genes. This is probably due to the fact that the 1q target region is large and gene dense, and as a consequence, may harbor several target genes. Furthermore, 1q amplifications are heterogeneous and occur in a large genomic region, spanning more than 29 Mb. At least three regions could be identified based on the copy number frequency profiles in the current study. The most proximal region was amplified in close to 60% of the cases with 1q alterations. This region contains at least two genes with potential tumor promoting characteristics: *BCL9* and *CHD1L*. *BCL9* acts as a nuclear component of the Wnt pathway in association with LEF/TCF family members [55]. *BCL9* overexpression has been linked to increased tumor cell proliferation, survival, migration, and invasion by enhancing β -catenin-mediated transcriptional activity [56,57]. Furthermore *BCL9* knock-down tumors show a less aggressive phenotype and result in increased host survival in mouse xenograft models of multiple myeloma and colon carcinoma [56]. Overexpression of *CHD1L*, also known as *ALC1* (amplified in liver cancer 1), has been found to inhibit apoptosis, promote G1/S transition, and promote tissue invasion and metastasis [58–60]. Furthermore, *CHD1L*-transgenic mice develop spontaneous tumors in various organs, including liver, neck, and colon [61]. Hence, increased expression of both *BCL9* and *CHD1L* may have tumor promoting effects. The analysis highlighted three genes within the central amplified region on 1q: *MCL1*, *ARNT*/

Table 2. Correlation between gene copy numbers and gene expression.

Gene	Correlation ¹ (ρ)	p-value
F11R	0.63	$<2\times10^{-5}$
USF1	0.59	$<7\times10^{-5}$
NIT1	0.58	$<8\times10^{-5}$
DEDD	0.77	$<3\times10^{-16}$
UFC1	0.61	$<3\times10^{-5}$
USP21	0.67	$<3\times10^{-6}$
PPOX	0.71	$<3\times10^{-16}$
B4GALT3	0.80	$<2\times10^{-11}$
NDUF52	0.64	$<9\times10^{-6}$
TOMM40L	0.55	$<3\times10^{-4}$
SDHC	0.75	$<3\times10^{-16}$

¹Spearman rank correlation.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.t002




Figure 7. Chromosome 1q breakpoints. A) Breakpoint occurrence within 50 kb non-overlapping windows across the 1q target region. Significance thresholds, red line, $p < 10^{-3}$; blue line, $p < 10^{-2}$, determined by permutation (10000 fold) of breakpoints in the 1q region (Chr1:140.0–184.0 Mb). Tracks for LINE, SINE, LTR, and G4 element frequencies within 50 kb windows are given. LINE, SINE, and LTR are displayed as percentage of window, while G4 is displayed as the number of base pairs of G4 sequence per window. Intraregional sequence duplications are connected with green lines in the DupSeq track. Locations of CNVs and genes are given in individual tracks. Genomic positions in Mb (HG19). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067222.g007

HIF1B, and *SETDB1*. *MCL1* is a member of the *BCL2* anti-apoptotic gene family and a part of a commonly amplified region containing at least six additional genes that are altered in several cancer types [62]. siRNA knockdown of *MCL1* results in increased apoptosis, clearly indicating *MCL1* as a target for amplification [62]. *HIF1B* forms a hetero-dimer with *HIF1A* and *EPAS1/HIF2A* that functions as a transcriptional regulator of the adaptive

response to hypoxia [63,64]. Adaptation to hypoxic conditions may be a prerequisite for tumor progression and metastasis [65]. A recent large-scale study identified a region spanning from *MCL1* to *SETDB1*, as a key amplified region in malignant melanoma, and suggested *SETDB1* as the target gene [66]. This was motivated by the finding that overexpression of *SETDB1* in an animal model resulted in accelerated melanoma onset and formation [67]. The

SETDB1 gene was, however, not always included in the 1q amplifications in the present cohort of UCs. The best established oncogene of the three genes in the central amplicon is *MCL1* [62]. As *SOX4*, *MCL1* protein is rapidly degraded by the proteasome which makes an association between gene copy numbers and protein expression hard to establish [68]. However, cells with *MCL1* amplification show a more pronounced response to shRNA knock-down of *MCL1* than cells wild-type for the gene [62]. In conclusion our analysis of the 1q and 6p regions highlights intrinsic features of the genome such as repetitive element and G4-sequence content as putative enablers of chromosomal instability. The stark contrast between the 1q and 6p amplification patterns suggests that different mechanisms and selection pressures may dictate the appearance of the respective genomic alterations. Further studies are needed to resolve the question of whether the heterogeneous appearance of the 1q region is the result of complex rearrangements in an unstable region or the result of clonal heterogeneity at the population level.

References

- Sanchez-Carbayo M, Socci ND, Lozano J, Saint F, Cordon-Cardo C (2006) Defining molecular profiles of poor outcome in patients with invasive bladder cancer using oligonucleotide microarrays. *J Clin Oncol* 24: 778–789.
- Blaveri E, Simko JP, Korkola JE, Brewer JL, Bachner F, et al. (2005) Bladder cancer outcome and subtype classification by gene expression. *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 4044–4055.
- Lindgren D, Frigyesi A, Gudjonsson S, Sjodahl G, Hallden C, et al. (2010) Combined gene expression and genomic profiling define two intrinsic molecular subtypes of urothelial carcinoma and gene signatures for molecular grading and outcome. *Cancer Res* 70: 3463–3472.
- Dyrskjot L, Thykjaer T, Kruhoffer M, Jensen JL, Marcussen N, et al. (2003) Identifying distinct classes of bladder carcinoma using microarrays. *Nat Genet* 33: 90–96.
- Kim WJ, Kim EJ, Kim SK, Kim YJ, Ha YS, et al. (2010) Predictive value of progression-related gene classifier in primary non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Mol Cancer* 9: 3.
- Sjodahl G, Lauss M, Lovgren K, Chebil G, Gudjonsson S, et al. (2012) A Molecular Taxonomy for Urothelial Carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res*.
- Lindgren D, Sjodahl G, Lauss M, Staaf J, Chebil G, et al. (2012) Integrated genomic and gene expression profiling identifies two major genomic circuits in urothelial carcinoma. *PLoS One* 7: e38863.
- Sjodahl G, Lauss M, Gudjonsson S, Liedberg F, Hallden C, et al. (2011) A systematic study of gene mutations in urothelial carcinoma; inactivating mutations in *TSC2* and *PIK3R1*. *PLoS One* 6: e18583.
- Billerey C, Chopin D, Aubriot-Lorton MH, Ricol D, Gil Diez de Medina S, et al. (2001) Frequent *FGFR3* mutations in papillary non-invasive bladder (pTa) tumors. *Am J Pathol* 158: 1955–1959.
- Wu XR (2005) Urothelial tumorigenesis: a tale of divergent pathways. *Nat Rev Cancer* 5: 713–725.
- Fadl-Elmula I (2005) Chromosomal changes in uroepithelial carcinomas. *Cell Chromosome* 4: 1.
- Hoglund M, Sall T, Heim S, Mitelman F, Mandahl N, et al. (2001) Identification of cytogenetic subgroups and karyotypic pathways in transitional cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 61: 8241–8246.
- Richter J, Jiang F, Gorog JP, Sartorius G, Egenter C, et al. (1997) Marked genetic differences between stage pTa and stage pT1 papillary bladder cancer detected by comparative genomic hybridization. *Cancer Res* 57: 2860–2864.
- Richter J, Beffa L, Wagner U, Schraml P, Gasser TC, et al. (1998) Patterns of chromosomal imbalances in advanced urinary bladder cancer detected by comparative genomic hybridization. *Am J Pathol* 153: 1615–1621.
- Zhao J, Richter J, Wagner U, Roth B, Schraml P, et al. (1999) Chromosomal imbalances in noninvasive papillary bladder neoplasms (pTa). *Cancer Res* 59: 4658–4661.
- Veltman JA, Fridlyand J, Pejavar S, Olshen AB, Korkola JE, et al. (2003) Array-based comparative genomic hybridization for genome-wide screening of DNA copy number in bladder tumors. *Cancer Res* 63: 2872–2880.
- Blaveri E, Brewer JL, Roydasgupta R, Fridlyand J, DeVries S, et al. (2005) Bladder cancer stage and outcome by array-based comparative genomic hybridization. *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 7012–7022.
- Heidenblad M, Lindgren D, Jonson T, Liedberg F, Veerla S, et al. (2008) Tiling resolution array CGH and high density expression profiling of urothelial carcinomas delineate genomic amplicons and candidate target genes specific for advanced tumors. *BMC Med Genomics* 1: 3.
- Hurst CD, Platt F, Taylor CF, Knowles MA (2012) Novel tumor subgroups of urothelial carcinoma of the bladder defined by integrated genomic analysis. *Clin Cancer Res*.
- Knowles MA (1999) Identification of novel bladder tumour suppressor genes. *Electrophoresis* 20: 269–279.
- Lauss M, Aine M, Sjodahl G, Veerla S, Patschan O, et al. (2012) DNA methylation analyses of urothelial carcinoma reveal distinct epigenetic subtypes and an association between gene copy number and methylation status. *Epigenetics* 7.
- Staaf J, Torngren T, Rambech E, Johansson U, Persson C, et al. (2008) Detection and precise mapping of germline rearrangements in *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *MSH2*, and *MLH1* using zoom-in array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH). *Hum Mutat* 29: 555–564.
- Staaf J, Jonsson G, Ringner M, Vallon-Christersson J (2007) Normalization of array-CGH data: influence of copy number imbalances. *BMC Genomics* 8: 382.
- Venkatraman ES, Olshen AB (2007) A faster circular binary segmentation algorithm for the analysis of array CGH data. *Bioinformatics* 23: 657–663.
- Conrad DF, Pinto D, Redon R, Feuk L, Gokcumen O, et al. (2010) Origins and functional impact of copy number variation in the human genome. *Nature* 464: 704–712.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the False Discovery Rate - a Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological* 57: 289–300.
- Fujita PA, Rhead B, Zweig AS, Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D, et al. (2011) The UCSC Genome Browser database: update 2011. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39: D876–882.
- Huppert JL, Balasubramanian S (2005) Prevalence of quadruplexes in the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33: 2908–2916.
- Shen MR, Batzer MA, Deininger PL (1991) Evolution of the master Alu gene(s). *J Mol Evol* 33: 311–320.
- Hastings PJ, Lupski JR, Rosenberg SM, Ira G (2009) Mechanisms of change in gene copy number. *Nat Rev Genet* 10: 551–564.
- Belancio VP, Roy-Engel AM, Deininger PL (2010) All y'all need to know 'bout retroelements in cancer. *Semin Cancer Biol* 20: 200–210.
- Konkel MK, Batzer MA (2010) A mobile threat to genome stability: The impact of non-LTR retrotransposons upon the human genome. *Semin Cancer Biol* 20: 211–221.
- Bailey JA, Liu G, Eichler EE (2003) An Alu transposition model for the origin and expansion of human segmental duplications. *Am J Hum Genet* 73: 823–834.
- Wang G, Christensen LA, Vasquez KM (2006) Z-DNA-forming sequences generate large-scale deletions in mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103: 2677–2682.
- Wang G, Vasquez KM (2004) Naturally occurring H-DNA-forming sequences are mutagenic in mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 13448–13453.
- Zhao J, Bacolla A, Wang G, Vasquez KM (2010) Non-B DNA structure-induced genetic instability and evolution. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 67: 43–62.
- Boan F, Gomez-Marquez J (2010) In vitro recombination mediated by G-quadruplexes. *Chembiochem* 11: 331–334.
- De S, Michor F (2011) DNA secondary structures and epigenetic determinants of cancer genome evolution. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 18: 950–955.
- Sen D, Gilbert W (1988) Formation of parallel four-stranded complexes by guanine-rich motifs in DNA and its implications for meiosis. *Nature* 334: 364–366.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Stage, Grade, and aberrations. Information on stage, grade and genomic aberrations for the samples included in the study.
(XLSX)

Table S2 Regions of increased array coverage, based on frequently occurring alterations in UC.
(XLSX)

Table S3 Correlation between gene copy number and gene expression for genes within amplicon region 3 (Chr1:159.7–161.730 Mbp, HG19).
(XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JS DL MH. Performed the experiments: PE MA GS. Analyzed the data: PE MA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JS DL. Wrote the paper: PE MA GS JS DL MH.

40. Hurst CD, Tomlinson DC, Williams SV, Platt FM, Knowles MA (2008) Inactivation of the Rb pathway and overexpression of both isoforms of E2F3 are obligate events in bladder tumours with 6p22 amplification. *Oncogene* 27: 2716–2727.
41. Oeggerli M, Tomovska S, Schraml P, Calvano-Forte D, Schafroth S, et al. (2004) E2F3 amplification and overexpression is associated with invasive tumor growth and rapid tumor cell proliferation in urinary bladder cancer. *Oncogene* 23: 5616–5623.
42. Feber A, Clark J, Goodwin G, Dodson AR, Smith PH, et al. (2004) Amplification and overexpression of E2F3 in human bladder cancer. *Oncogene* 23: 1627–1630.
43. Olsson AY, Feber A, Edwards S, Te Poele R, Giddings I, et al. (2007) Role of E2F3 expression in modulating cellular proliferation rate in human bladder and prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene* 26: 1028–1037.
44. Oeggerli M, Schraml P, Ruiz C, Bloch M, Novotny H, et al. (2006) E2F3 is the main target gene of the 6p22 amplicon with high specificity for human bladder cancer. *Oncogene* 25: 6538–6543.
45. Chen HZ, Tsai SY, Leone G (2009) Emerging roles of E2Fs in cancer: an exit from cell cycle control. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9: 785–797.
46. Knowles MA (2001) What we could do now: molecular pathology of bladder cancer. *Mol Pathol* 54: 215–221.
47. Aaboe M, Birkenkamp-Demtroder K, Wiuf C, Sorensen FB, Thykjaer T, et al. (2006) SOX4 expression in bladder carcinoma: clinical aspects and in vitro functional characterization. *Cancer Res* 66: 3434–3442.
48. Pramoonjago P, Baras AS, Moskaluk CA (2006) Knockdown of Sox4 expression by RNAi induces apoptosis in ACC3 cells. *Oncogene* 25: 5626–5639.
49. Pan X, Zhao J, Zhang WN, Li HY, Mu R, et al. (2009) Induction of SOX4 by DNA damage is critical for p53 stabilization and function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 3788–3793.
50. de Bont JM, Kros JM, Passier MM, Reddingius RE, Sillevs Smitt PA, et al. (2008) Differential expression and prognostic significance of SOX genes in pediatric medulloblastoma and ependymoma identified by microarray analysis. *Neuro Oncol* 10: 648–660.
51. Liu P, Ramachandran S, Ali Seyed M, Scharer CD, Laycock N, et al. (2006) Sex-determining region Y box 4 is a transforming oncogene in human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 66: 4011–4019.
52. Sinner D, Kordich JJ, Spence JR, Opoka R, Rankin S, et al. (2007) Sox17 and Sox4 differentially regulate beta-catenin/T-cell factor activity and proliferation of colon carcinoma cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 27: 7802–7815.
53. Scharer CD, McCabe CD, Ali-Seyed M, Berger MF, Bulyk ML, et al. (2009) Genome-wide promoter analysis of the SOX4 transcriptional network in prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 69: 709–717.
54. Beekman JM, Vervoort SJ, Dekkers F, van Vessel ME, Vendelbosch S, et al. (2012) Syntenin-mediated regulation of Sox4 proteasomal degradation modulates transcriptional output. *Oncogene* 31: 2668–2679.
55. Kramps T, Peter O, Brunner E, Nellen D, Froesch B, et al. (2002) Wnt/wingless signaling requires BCL9/legless-mediated recruitment of pygopus to the nuclear beta-catenin-TCF complex. *Cell* 109: 47–60.
56. Mani M, Carrasco DE, Zhang Y, Takada K, Gatt ME, et al. (2009) BCL9 promotes tumor progression by conferring enhanced proliferative, metastatic, and angiogenic properties to cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 69: 7577–7586.
57. Deka J, Wiedemann N, Anderle P, Murphy-Seiler F, Bultinck J, et al. (2010) Bcl9/Bcl9l are critical for Wnt-mediated regulation of stem cell traits in colon epithelium and adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Res* 70: 6619–6628.
58. Chen L, Chan TH, Guan XY (2010) Chromosome 1q21 amplification and oncogenes in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 31: 1165–1171.
59. Chen L, Chan TH, Yuan YF, Hu L, Huang J, et al. (2010) CHD1L promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression and metastasis in mice and is associated with these processes in human patients. *J Clin Invest* 120: 1178–1191.
60. Ma NF, Hu L, Fung JM, Xie D, Zheng BJ, et al. (2008) Isolation and characterization of a novel oncogene, amplified in liver cancer 1, within a commonly amplified region at 1q21 in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 47: 503–510.
61. Chen M, Huang JD, Hu L, Zheng BJ, Chen L, et al. (2009) Transgenic CHD1L expression in mouse induces spontaneous tumors. *PLoS One* 4: e6727.
62. Beroukhi R, Mermel CH, Porter D, Wei G, Raychaudhuri S, et al. (2010) The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. *Nature* 463: 899–905.
63. Wang GL, Jiang BH, Rue EA, Semenza GL (1995) Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O₂ tension. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 92: 5510–5514.
64. Tian H, McKnight SL, Russell DW (1997) Endothelial PAS domain protein 1 (EPAS1), a transcription factor selectively expressed in endothelial cells. *Genes Dev* 11: 72–82.
65. Denko NC (2008) Hypoxia, HIF1 and glucose metabolism in the solid tumour. *Nat Rev Cancer* 8: 705–713.
66. Macgregor S, Montgomery GW, Liu JZ, Zhao ZZ, Henders AK, et al. (2011) Genome-wide association study identifies a new melanoma susceptibility locus at 1q21.3. *Nat Genet* 43: 1114–1118.
67. Ceol CJ, Houvras Y, Jane-Valbuena J, Bilodeau S, Orlando DA, et al. (2011) The histone methyltransferase SETDB1 is recurrently amplified in melanoma and accelerates its onset. *Nature* 471: 513–517.
68. Schwickart M, Huang X, Lill JR, Liu J, Ferrando R, et al. (2010) Deubiquitinase USP9x stabilizes MCL1 and promotes tumour cell survival. *Nature* 463: 103–107.