



LUND UNIVERSITY

A converse theorem for density functions

Rantzer, Anders

Published in:

Proceedings of the 41st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 2002

DOI:

[10.1109/CDC.2002.1184801](https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2002.1184801)

2002

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Rantzer, A. (2002). A converse theorem for density functions. In *Proceedings of the 41st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 2002* (Vol. 2, pp. 1890-1891). IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2002.1184801>

Total number of authors:

1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

An converse theorem for density functions

Anders Rantzer


Department of Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology
Box 118, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden, Phone: +46 46 222 03 62
Email: rantzer@control.lth.se

Abstract It is proved that existence of a density function is both necessary and sufficient for almost global stability in a nonlinear system.

Keywords Density function, converse theorem, stability

1. Introduction

A new convergence criterion for nonlinear systems was recently derived by the author [Rantzer, 2001]. From existence of a scalar “density” function satisfying certain inequalities, it was proved that for “almost all initial states” the system trajectory tends to zero. The new criterion is similar to Lyapunov’s second theorem but differs in several respects. An important difference is that the statement leaves room for exceptional sets, such as unstable equilibria, while still yielding a global conclusion. For example, the criterion can be applied to a system with the following phase plot, to verify that almost all trajectories tend to the origin.



The new criterion also has a remarkable convexity property in the context of control synthesis. While the set of control Lyapunov functions for a given system may not even be connected, the corresponding set of density functions is always convex. This property has been explored for numerical computations in [Rantzer and Parrilo, 2000] and for smooth transitions between different nonlinear controllers in [Rantzer and Ceragioli, 2001].

The present paper is concerned with the converse implication, to prove that under appropriate assumptions, a continuously differentiable density function

must exist. An elegant result of this type has recently been independently reported by [Monzon, 2002].

Notation

Given any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\phi_t(x_0)$ for $t \geq 0$ be the solution $x(t)$ of $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$, $x(0) = x_0$.

2. Main result

THEOREM 1

Given $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, suppose that the system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ has a stable equilibrium in $x = 0$ and no solutions with finite escape time. Then, the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (i) For almost all initial states $x(0)$ the solution $x(t)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) There exists a non-negative $\rho \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R})$ which is integrable outside a neighborhood of zero and such that

$$[\nabla \cdot (f \rho)](x) > 0 \quad \text{for almost all } x$$

□

The proof will be based on the following lemma:

LEMMA 1

Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f(x)/|x|$ bounded. Let $h, h_j \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, 1])$ with $h(x) = 0$ for $|x| < 0.5$ and $h(x) = 1$ for $|x| \geq 1$ while $0 \leq h_j \leq h$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(x) &= \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty h(\phi_s(x)) ds\right) h_j(x) \\ \rho_j(x) &= \int_0^\infty \psi_j(\phi_{-t}(x)) \left| \frac{\partial \phi_{-t}(x)}{\partial x} \right| dt \end{aligned}$$

Then $\int_{|x| \geq 1} \rho_j(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_j(x) dx$ and for all $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_{\phi_t(Z)} \rho_j(x) dx - \int_Z \rho_j(x) dx = \int_0^t \int_{\phi_\tau(Z)} \psi_j(x) dx d\tau$$

□

Proof of Lemma 1 For every $Y \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, the change of variables $z = \phi_{-t}(x)$ gives

$$\int_Y \rho_j(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\phi_{-t}(Y)} \psi_j(z) dz dt$$

In particular, with $P = \{x : |x| \geq 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P \rho_j(x) dx &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\phi_{-t}(P)} \psi_j(z) dz dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \psi_j(z) h(\phi_t(z)) dz dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\int_0^\infty h(\phi_t(z)) dt \right) \psi_j(z) dz \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h_j(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi_t(Z)} \rho_j(x) dx - \int_Z \rho_j(z) dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\phi_{-t}(Z)} \psi_j(z) dz d\tau - \int_0^\infty \int_{\phi_{-t}(Z)} \psi_j(z) dz d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\phi_{-t}(Z)} \psi_j(z) dz d\tau \end{aligned}$$

□

The main difficulty in applying Lemma 1 for the proof of Theorem 1 is that ρ_j need not necessarily be differentiable. This is where the assumption about stability of $x = 0$ becomes useful:

Proof of Theorem 1 Suppose (i) holds. Stability of the equilibrium $x = 0$ guarantees existence of $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} |\phi_t(x)| < 1 \quad \text{for all } x \text{ with } |x| \leq \varepsilon$$

Define $g \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n, [0, 1])$ with $g(x) > 0$ for $1 < |x| < 2$ and $g(x) = 0$ otherwise. Define ρ_j according to Lemma 1 with

$$h_j(x) = g(x)g(2\phi_{j\nu}(x)/\varepsilon)$$

where the number $\nu > 0$ is chosen so small that $h_j(x) > 0$ for some integer j whenever $1 < |x| < 2$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_t(x)| = 0$.

Given any x_0 , either $\rho_j(x)$ is identically zero near x_0 , or there exists $T > 0$ such that neither $\psi_j(\phi_{-T}(\cdot))$ nor $h_j(\phi_{-T}(\cdot))$ is identically zero near x_0 . It follows that $|\phi_{-T}(x_0)| \geq 1$, so $|\phi_{-T-t}(x_0)| > \varepsilon$ for $t \geq 0$ and therefore $h_j(\phi_{-t}(\cdot))$ is identically zero near x_0 for every $t > j\nu + T$. In particular

$$\rho_j(x) = \int_0^{j\nu+T} \psi_j(\phi_{-t}(x)) \left| \frac{\partial \phi_{-t}(x)}{\partial x} \right| dt$$

for x in a neighborhood of x_0 . Note that $\psi_j(\phi_t(z))$ is positive and differentiable at z for all t whenever $h_j(z) > 0$. In fact, all the expressions under the integral sign have continuous derivatives on the compact interval $[0, j\nu + T]$, so $\rho_j \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Furthermore, comparing the last equality of Lemma 1 to Liouville's theorem (Lemma 1 in [Rantzer, 2001]) shows that

$$[\nabla \cdot (f \rho_j)](x) = \psi_j(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere}$$

Note that, according to (i), for almost all x with $1 < |x| < 2$ there is a number j such that $\psi_j(x) > 0$. The desired ρ will be constructed using a linear combination such ρ_j 's.

Define $\bar{\rho}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_j \rho_j(x)$ with

$$c_j = 2^{-j} \left[\sup_{-j \leq \log |x| \leq j} (1 + \rho_j + |\partial \rho_j / \partial x|)(x) \right]^{-1}$$

Then $\bar{\rho} \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ because of uniform convergence on the compact sets $\{x : -j \leq \log |x| \leq j\}$. Moreover $\int_{|x| \geq 1} \bar{\rho}(x) dx \leq \sum_j c_j \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h_j(x) dx < \infty$ and

$$[\nabla \cdot (f \bar{\rho})](x) = \sum_j c_j \psi_j(x)$$

is positive for almost all x with $1 < |x| < 2$.

The same construction can be used to define $\bar{\rho}_m \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ for $m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ to get positive divergence on the intervals $2^m < |x| < 2^{m+1}$. Then, define $\rho = \sum_{m=1}^\infty d_m \bar{\rho}_m$ with proper choice of d_m to get $[\nabla \cdot (f \rho)](x) > 0$ almost everywhere in \mathbf{R}^n . This proves the implication from (i) to (ii).

The opposite implication was proved in Theorem 1 of [Rantzer, 2001] □

3. References

- Monzon, P. (2002): "On necessary conditions for almost global stability." Personal communication.
- Rantzer, A. (2001): "A dual to Lyapunov's stability theorem." *Systems & Control Letters*, **42:3**, pp. 161–168.
- Rantzer, A. and F. Ceragioli (2001): "Smooth blending of nonlinear controllers using density functions." In *Proceedings of European Control Conference*.
- Rantzer, A. and P. Parrilo (2000): "On convexity in stabilization of nonlinear systems." In *Proceedings of IEEE Conference of Decision and Control*. Sydney.