



LUND UNIVERSITY

A Self-Tuning Regulator for Nonminimum Phase Systems

Åström, Karl Johan

1974

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Åström, K. J. (1974). *A Self-Tuning Regulator for Nonminimum Phase Systems*. (Research Reports TFRT-3113). Department of Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology (LTH).

Total number of authors:

1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

TFRT-3113

A SELF-TUNING REGULATOR FOR NONMINIMUM
PHASE SYSTEMS

K.J. ÅSTRÖM

Report 7411(C) June 1974
Lund Institute of Technology
Division of Automatic Control

TILLHÖR REFERENSBIBLIOTEKET

UTLÅNAS EJ

A SELF-TUNING REGULATOR FOR NONMINIMUM PHASE SYSTEMS

by

K. J. Åström

Abstract

This report describes an algorithm for a self-tuning regulator for a linear system with one input and one output. It is well known that the minimum variance regulator is very sensitive to parameter variations if the system is nonminimum phase. For such systems a suboptimal strategy with the property that all the poles of the closed loop system are inside a circle with radius r_0 is therefore computed. The algorithm can thus be used for systems with unknown time delays and for nonminimum phase systems. To use the algorithm it is not necessary to know the order of the system or the timedelay exactly. Fewer parameters are, however, obtained if these quantities are known. If the algorithm converges, it will converge to a stable minimum variance regulator at least in the case of uncorrelated residuals. The properties of the algorithm when the residuals are correlated are not yet known.

1. INTRODUCTION
 2. IDENTIFICATION
 3. A STATE MODEL
 4. THE STATE VECTOR
 5. PREDICTION
 6. THE CONTROL LAW
 7. SCALING
 8. THE COMPLETE ALGORITHM
- APPENDIX LISTING OF STURE2

1. INTRODUCTION

This algorithm is an extension of the one discussed in [1]. It permits the system to be controlled to be nonminimum phase. The algorithm is based on the model

$$y(t) + a_1 y(t-1) + \dots + a_n y(t-n) = b_1 u(t-k-1) + \dots + b_m u(t-k-m) + v(t-k-m) \quad (1.1)$$

The purpose of the algorithm is to obtain a control strategy for a linear system described by (1.1) with correlated disturbances. The criterion is taken as

$$\min E[y^2(t) + q_2 u^2(t)] \quad (1.2)$$

and the admissible control strategies are assumed to be such that the control signal at time t can be a function of the past control signals and the process outputs observed up to time $t - 1$. The algorithm is called self-tuning because it combines the steps of identification and control. To use the algorithm it is necessary to give the parameters

$n = NA$ number of parameters a_i in the model (1.1)

$m = NB$ number of parameters b_i in the model (1.1)

k - number of delays in the model.

It is also necessary to provide initial estimates of the parameter values, as well as an estimate of the uncertainty of the parameters i.e.

φ - parameter estimates

P - covariance of parameter estimates.

The algorithm contains some parameters which influence the convergence

r_k - weighting factor in least squares parameter estimation

r_o - bound on poles of closed loop system

NLOP - maximum number of iterations when solving the riccatiequation

EPS - test quantity to terminate the iteration of the riccatiequation.

The computing time required in each step can be reduced significantly if an initial estimate to the solution of the riccatiequation is provided in each step. This is a typical example of the trade off between computing time and storage.

The algorithm consists of the following steps:

- o Identification
- o Determination of a state model
- o Determination of the state vector
- o Prediction
- o Computation of the control law
- o Computation of the control signal

These different steps are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

2. IDENTIFICATION

The parameters of the model (1) are determined using straightforward least squares i.e. the equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} -y(t-1) & -y(t-2) & \dots & -y(t-n) & u(t-k-1), \dots, u(t-k-m) \\ -y(t-2) & -y(t-3) & \dots & -y(t-n-1) & u(t-k-2), \dots, u(t-k-m-1) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-1) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

are solved in each step using straightforward least squares. To forget past data an exponential weighting is also introduced. The criterion is chosen as

$$\sum_{s=0}^t y^2(s) e^{-s} \quad (2.2)$$

3. A STATE MODEL

The control law which minimizes the criterion (1.2) for the system (1.1) can be determined by direct polynomial manipulations. The calculation of the control strategy can, however, be simplified if a state space representation is used. A state model can be introduced in many different ways. One possibility is given on the next page.

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ -a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} u(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} v(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]x(t) \quad (3.1)$$

For simplicity we have given the state model for case $n < m+k$ only. The appearance of the state model in general is shown in the following code and test examples.

The state model obtained is represented as:

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\tilde{a}_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\tilde{a}_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ -\tilde{a}_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -\tilde{a}_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b}_1 \\ \tilde{b}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{b}_{n-1} \\ \tilde{b}_n \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]x(t)$$

where

$$n = \max(n, m+k)$$

and \tilde{a}_i and \tilde{b}_i denote the transformed elements. Notice that some elements of \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} are zero. For simplicity we will leave out \tilde{v} in the following. To see which elements are zero we will give some examples.

Example 3.1

$$NA = 1, NB = 2, K = 0$$

$$TH = (1,2,3)$$

$$AS = (1,0), BS = (2,3)$$

Example 3.2

$$NA = 1, NB = 2, K = 1$$

$$TH = (1,2,3)$$

$$AS = (1,0,0), BS = (0,2,3)$$

Example 3.3

$$NA = 1, NB = 2, K = 2$$

$$TH = (1,2,3)$$

$$AS = (1,0,0,0), BS = (0,0,2,3)$$

Example 3.4

$$NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 0$$

$$TH = (1,2,3,4,5,6)$$

$$AS = (1,2,3,4), BS = (5,6,0,0)$$

Example 3.5

$$NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 1$$

$$TH = (1,2,3,4,5,6)$$

$$AS = (1,2,3,4), BS = (0,5,6,0)$$

Example 3.6 $NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 2$ $TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ $AS = (1, 2, 3, 4), BS = (0, 0, 5, 6)$ Example 3.7 $NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 3$ $TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ $AS = (1, 2, 3, 4, 0), BS = (0, 0, 0, 5, 6)$ Example 3.8 $NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 4$ $TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ $AS = (1, 2, 3, 4, 0, 0), BS = (0, 0, 0, 0, 5, 6)$

The code which generates the state model (3.1) from the parameters $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m$ is given in List 1. The printout shown in List 2 shows that the program gives the correct results for the test examples given above.

List 1. PROGRAM FOR TESTING GENERATION AND SCALING OF STATE MODEL

7.

IN STURE.

```
C      TSTU21
C      THIS PROGRAM TESTS PART OF STURE2
      DIMENSION TH(12),AS(12),RS(12)
      DO 12 I=1,12
12     TH(I)=FLOAT(I)
C
      I1=1
1     GO TO (2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10),I1
2     I1=2
      NA=1
      NB=2
      K=0
      GO TO 50
3     I1=3
      K=1
      GO TO 50
4     I1=4
      K=2
      GO TO 50
5     I1=5
      NA=4
      K=0
      GO TO 50
6     I1=6
      K=1
      GO TO 50
7     I1=7
      K=2
      GO TO 50
8     I1=8
      K=3
      GO TO 50
9     I1=9
      K=4
      GO TO 50
10    STOP
50    CONTINUE
      N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
      NM1=N-1
      R0=.1
C
      WRITE (6,100) NA,NB,K,N,R0
100   FORMAT (4H NA=,15,4H NB=,15,3H K=,15,3H N=,15,
14H R0=,E12.4)
C
C      COMPUTE AND SET SCALED SYSTEM PARAMETERS
C
      CALL MOVE (TH(1),AS(1),NA+NA)
      IF (N-NA-1) 20,21,22
22    AS(NA+1)=0.0
      NS=N-NA-1
      CALL MOVE (AS(NA+1),AS(NA+2),NS+NS)
      GO TO 20
21    AS(NA+1)=0.0
20    IF (K-1) 24,25,26
26    BS(1)=0.0
      NS=K-1
      CALL MOVE (BS(1),BS(2),NS+NS)
      GO TO 24
25    RS(1)=0.0
24    CALL MOVE (TH(NA+1),RS(K+1),NB+NR)
      N1=NB+K+1
      IF (N-N1) 28,29,30
```

```
30      BS(N1)=0.0
        NS=N-N1
        CALL MOVE(BS(N1),BS(N1+1),NS+NS)
        GO TO 28
29      BS(N1)=0.0
28      CONTINUE
        WRITE (6,110)
110     FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
C
        WRITE (6,101) (AS(I),I=1,N)
        WRITE (6,101) (BS(I),I=1,N)
101     FORMAT (10E12.4)
C
        SF=1.
        DO 32 I=1,NM1
        SF=SF*P0
        N1=N-I
32      AS(N1)=AS(N1)*SF
        BS(N1)=BS(N1)*SF
        WRITE (6,111)
111     FORMAT (25H SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS)
C
        WRITE (6,101) (AS(I),I=1,N)
        WRITE (6,101) (BS(I),I=1,N)
C
        GO TO 1
C
        END
```

STATE MODEL.

NA= 1 NB= 2 K= 0 N= 2 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.1

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00

0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+00 0.0000E+00 *ah*

0.2000E+00 0.3000E+01 *ah*

NA= 1 NB= 2 K= 1 N= 3 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.2

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 *ak*

0.0000E+00 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 *ak*

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.3000E+01

NA= 1 NB= 2 K= 2 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.3

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 *ah*

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 *ak*

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-02 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.3000E+01

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 0 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.4

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 *ak*

0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 *ah*

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-02 0.2000E-01 0.3000E+00 0.4000E+01

0.5000E-02 0.6000E-01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 1 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.5

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 *ak*

0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 0.0000E+00 *ak*

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-02 0.2000E-01 0.3000E+00 0.4000E+01

0.0000E+00 0.5000E-01 0.6000E+00 0.0000E+00

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 2 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.6

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 *ah*

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 *ah*

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-02 0.2000E-01 0.3000E+00 0.4000E+01

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+00 0.6000E+01

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 3 N= 5 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.7

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 0.0000E+00 *ak*

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 *ak*

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-03 0.2000E-02 0.3000E-01 0.4000E+00 0.0000E+00

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+00 0.6000E+01

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 4 N= 6 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.8

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+01

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01

SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E-04 0.2000E-03 0.3000E-02 0.4000E-01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+01

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+00 0.6000E+01

4. THE STATE VECTOR

In order to use the state model given in section 3 it is necessary to compute the state variables from the inputs and outputs. This can be done as follows:

$$x_1(t) = y(t)$$

$$x_n(t) = -a_n x_1(t-1) + b_n u(t-1) = -a_n y(t-1) + b_n u(t-1)$$

$$x_{n-1}(t) = -a_{n-1} y(t-1) + x_n(t-1) + b_{n-1} u(t-1)$$

$$x_2(t) = -a_2 y(t-1) + x_3(t-1) + b_2 u(t-1) \quad (4.1)$$

Notice that some care must be exercised in the coding since some coefficients of a and b are zero and since the u 's and the y 's of the corresponding terms are not stored. It is thus necessary to distinguish three cases

- 1) $NA < NB + K$
- 2) $NA = NB + K$
- 3) $NA > NB + K$

The details are most conveniently expressed using a programming language. The FORTRAN code is given in the test program in List 3. The program is written in such a way that erroneous values in the vectors AS and BS outside the range defined by NA , NB and K will not give wrong results. For example, for $NA = 2$ the value $AS(3) = 1000$ will not cause any difficulties.

List 3. TESTPROGRAM FOR THE GENERATION OF STATE VARIABLES
AND PREDICTION.

11.

```

C      TSTU22
C      THIS PROGRAM TESTS COMPUTATION OF STATE VARIABLES IN STURE2
      DIMENSION AS(12),BS(12),Y(12),U(12),X(12)
      N=3
      I1=1
1      GO TO (2,3,4,5),I1
2      I1=2
      NA=2
      NB=3
      K=0
      Y(1)=0.
      Y(2)=-4.
      Y(3)=3.
      U(1)=6000.
      U(2)=1.
      U(3)=-1.
      AS(1)=1.
      AS(2)=2.
      AS(3)=1000.
      BS(1)=3.
      BS(2)=2.
      BS(3)=1.
      GO TO 50

C
3      I1=3
      NA=3
      NB=2
      K=0
      Y(1)=-1.
      Y(2)=-4.
      Y(3)=3.
      AS(3)=3.
      BS(3)=1000.
      GO TO 50

4      I1=4
      BS(3)=1
      NB=3
      Y(1)=0.
      GO TO 50

5      STOP
60     CONTINUE
      N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
      NAP1=NA+1
      WRITE (6,110)
110    FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
      WRITE (6,100) (AS(I),I=1,N)
      WRITE (6,100) (BS(I),I=1,N)
100    FORMAT (10E12.4)
      WRITE (6,111)
111    FORMAT (16H VECTORS U AND Y)
      WRITE (6,100) (U(I),I=1,N)
      WRITE (6,100) (Y(I),I=1,N)
      X(1)=Y(1)
      IF(NA-NB-K) 40,41,42
40     DO 43 I=2,NA
      NS=NA-I+1
      NSB=N-I+1
43     X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NSB)
      DO 44 I=NAP1,N
      NS=N-I+1
44     X(I)=SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NS)
      GO TO 49
41     DO 45 I=2,N

```

```
45      NS=N-I+1
      X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NS)
      GO TO 49
42      N1=NR+K
      DO 46 I=2,N1
      NSA=N-I+1
      NS=N1-I+1
46      X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NSA)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NS)
      N1=N1+1
      DO 47 I=N1,N
      NS=N-N1+1
47      X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NS)
49      CONTINUE
      WRITE (6,112)
      WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
112     FORMAT (13H THE STATE IS)
      C
      C
      C
      PREDICT STATE VARIABLES
      RS=X(1)
      NM1=N-1
      DO 60 I=1,NM1
60      X(I)=-AS(I)*RS+X(I+1)+BS(I)*U(1)
      X(N)=-AS(N)*RS+BS(N)*U(1)
      C
      WRITE (6,113)
113     FORMAT (16H PREDICTED STATE)
      WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
      GO TO 1
      END
```

To test the generation of state variables some examples will be computed by hand and compared with the results of the program given in List 3.

Example 4.1

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0]x(t)$$

Assume

$$u(0) = 1$$

$$u(1) = -1$$

$$u(2) = 1$$

$$u(3) = 6000$$

$$\text{and } x(0) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Then

$$x(1) = \text{col}[3 \quad 2 \quad 1]$$

$$x(2) = \text{col}[-4 \quad -7 \quad -1]$$

$$x(3) = \text{col}[0 \quad 9 \quad 1]$$

The program receives the input-output variables and the model parameters and computes the state $x(3)$. As seen by the results in List 4, the result is correct. Notice that the erroneous value of $AS(3)$ 1000 instead of zero does not influence the results.

Example 4.2

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0]x(t)$$

Assume

$$u(0) = 1$$

$$u(1) = -1$$

$$u(2) = 1$$

$$u(3) = 6000$$

and

$$x(0) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Then

$$x(1) = \text{col}[3 \quad 2 \quad 0]$$

$$x(2) = \text{col}[-4 \quad -8 \quad -9]$$

$$x(3) = \text{col}[-1 \quad 1 \quad 12]$$

The program printout in List 4 shows that the calculation of $x(3)$ is correct. The erroneous value of $BS(3)$ 1000 instead of zero does not influence the result because $NB = 2$.

Example 4.3

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0]x(t)$$

Assume

$$u(0) = 1$$

$$u(1) = -1$$

$$u(2) = 1$$

and

$$x(0) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Then

$$x(1) = \text{col}[3 \quad 2 \quad 1]$$

$$x(2) = \text{col}[-4 \quad -7 \quad -10]$$

$$x(3) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 13]$$

The printout of the results shown in List 4 shows that the calculation of $x(3)$ is correct.

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+04

0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+01

VECTORS U AND Y

0.6000E+04 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

0.0000E+00 -0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01

THE STATE IS

0.0000E+00 0.9000E+01 0.1000E+01

PREDICTED STATE

0.1801E+05 0.1200E+05 0.6000E+04

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01

0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+04

VECTORS U AND Y

0.6000E+04 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

-0.1000E+01 -0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01

THE STATE IS

-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1200E+02

PREDICTED STATE

0.1800E+05 0.1201E+05 0.6000E+07

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01

0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+01

VECTORS U AND Y

0.6000E+04 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

0.0000E+00 -0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01

THE STATE IS

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.1300E+02

PREDICTED STATE

0.1800E+05 0.1201E+05 0.6000E+04

Example 4.1

ok

Example 4.2

ok

Example 4.3

ok

5. PREDICTION

The state variable $x(t)$ given by (4.1) is a function of the data obtained up to time t . When it is postulated that the control signal to be applied at time $t + 1$ should be a function of data observed up to time t only, it is necessary to predict the state i.e. compute $\hat{x}(t+1|t)$ and use the feedback law

$$u(t+1) = -L \hat{x}(t+1|t)$$

The prediction is easily obtained using the state space representation; we have

$$\hat{x}(t+1|t) = \Phi x(t) + \Gamma u(t) \quad (5.1)$$

The corresponding code is already given in List 5. The program TSTU23 only differs from TSTU22 in the sense that the data supplied is somewhat different. If it is desired to have a control law in such a way that $u(t)$ is a function of data obtained up to time t i.e. $y(t)$, $y(t-1), \dots$, the only modification of the program that is necessary is to delete the prediction step. The prediction step is tested by the test examples 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3. The predicted states should be

List 5. PROGRAM FOR TESTING GENERATION OF STATE
 VARIABLES AND PREDICTION

18.

```

C      TSTU23
C      THIS PROGRAM TESTS COMPUTATION OF STATE VARIABLES IN STURE2
      DIMENSION AS(12),BS(12),Y(12),U(12),X(12)
      N=3
      I1=1
1      GO TO (2,3,4,5),I1
2      I1=2
      NA=2
      NB=3
      K=0
      Y(1)=-4.
      Y(2)=3.
      Y(3)=0.
      U(1)=1.
      U(2)=-1.
      U(3)=1.
      AS(1)=1.
      AS(2)=2.
      AS(3)=0.
      BS(1)=3.
      BS(2)=2.
      BS(3)=1.
      GO TO 50

C
3      I1=3
      NA=3
      NB=2
      K=0
      AS(3)=3.
      BS(3)=0.
      GO TO 50

4      I1=4
      BS(3)=1.
      NB=3
      GO TO 50

5      STOP
50     CONTINUE
      N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
      NAP1=NA+1
      WRITE (6,110)
110    FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
      WRITE (6,100) (AS(I),I=1,N)
      WRITE (6,100) (BS(I),I=1,N)
100    FORMAT (10E12.4)
      WRITE (6,111)
111    FORMAT (16H VECTORS U AND Y)
      WRITE (6,100) (U(I),I=1,N)
      WRITE (6,100) (Y(I),I=1,N)
      X(1)=Y(1)
      IF(NA-NB-K) 40,41,42
40     DO 43 I=2,NA
      NS=NA-I+1
      NSB=N-I+1
43     X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NSB)
      DO 44 I=NAP1,N
      NS=N-I+1
44     X(I)=SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NS)
      GO TO 49
41     DO 45 I=2,N
      NS=N-I+1
45     X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NS)
      GO TO 49
42     N1=NB+K
    
```

```
DO 46 I=2,N1
NSA=N-I+1
NS=N1-I+1
46 X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NSA)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,U(2),1,NS)
N1=N1+1
DO 47 J=N1,N
NS=N-N1+1
47 X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,Y(2),1,NS)
49 CONTINUE
WRITE (6,112)
WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
112 FORMAT (13H THE STATE IS)
C
C PREDICT STATE VARIABLES
C
RS=X(1)
NM1=N-1
DO 60 I=1,NM1
60 X(I)=-AS(I)*RS+X(I+1)+BS(I)*U(1)
X(N)=-AS(N)*RS+BS(N)*U(1)
C
WRITE (6,113)
113 FORMAT (16H PREDICTED STATE)
WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
GO TO 1
END
```

To test the program the systems used in the previous section are exploited. The calculated state agrees with $x(2)$ and the predicted state is equal to $x(3)$ since there are no measurement errors. The printout of the test program is given in List 6.

List 6. PRINTOUT OF TESTPROGRAM TSTU23 FOR TESTING PREDICTION OF STATES.

VECTORS AS AND BS		Example 4.1
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.0000E+00		
0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+01		
VECTORS U AND Y		
0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01		
-0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.0000E+00		
THE STATE IS		
-0.4000E+01 -0.7000E+01 -0.1000E+01	OK	
PREDICTED STATE		
0.0000E+00 0.9000E+01 0.1000E+01	OK	
VECTORS AS AND BS		Example 4.2
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01		
0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.0000E+00		
VECTORS U AND Y		
0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01		
-0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.0000E+00		
THE STATE IS		
-0.4000E+01 -0.8000E+01 -0.9000E+01	OK	
PREDICTED STATE		
-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1200E+02	OK	
VECTORS AS AND BS		Example 4.3
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01		
0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+01		
VECTORS U AND Y		
0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01		
-0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.0000E+00		
THE STATE IS		
-0.4000E+01 -0.7000E+01 -0.1000E+02	OK	
PREDICTED STATE		
0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.1300E+02	OK	

6. THE CONTROL LAW

The control law will now be determined. We will then assume complete separation of identification and control i.e. we will determine a control law based on the assumption that the estimated parameters are correct. The parameter uncertainties will thus be neglected. The system model is given by

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ -a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0] x(t) \quad (6.1)$$

The criterion is chosen as

$$\sum_{t=1}^N [y^2(t) + q u^2(t)] \quad (6.2)$$

The control strategy, which minimizes (6.2), is given by

$$u(t) = -L(t)x(t) \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$L(t) = B^T S(t) A / (q + B^T S(t) B) \quad (6.4)$$

and S is the solution of the riccatiequation

$$S(t-1) = A^T S(t) (A - B L(t)) + Q_1 \quad (6.5)$$

with the initial condition

$$S(N) = 0 \quad (6.6)$$

The matrices A, B, and Q are given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_1 = \text{diag}[1, 0, \dots, 0] \quad (6.7)$$

The computations are organized as follows

$$B^T S \rightarrow S_1$$

$$B^T S B + Q_2 = S_1 B + Q_2 \rightarrow S_3$$

$$B^T S A / R_3 = S_1 A / R_3 \rightarrow L$$

$$A - B L \rightarrow S_1$$

$$S A \rightarrow S_2$$

$$S = S_2^T S_1 + Q_1$$

The algorithm is given in a special subroutine CORI. See List 7. Notice that the riccatiequation may have several solutions. To obtain the solution so that all poles of the closed loop system are inside the unit circle, it is necessary to start the iteration with a positive definite matrix.

List 7. SUBROUTINE FOR STEADY STATE SOLUTION OF
RICCATI EQUATION

```

SUBROUTINE CORI(A,B,Q2,S,AL,N,R3,IS)
THIS SUBROUTINE ITERATES THE RICCATI EQUATION
      S=AT*S*(A-B*L)+Q1      (*)
      L=BT*S*A/(Q2+BT*S*B)  (**)
IN THE SPECIAL CASE WHEN
      A IS A COMPANION MATRIX
      Q1=DIAG(1,0,...,0)
      B IS A VECTOR

AUTHOR K.J. ASTROM 72-01-03

      A - VECTOR CONTAINING THE FIRST COLUMN
           OF THE MATRIX A IN (*) I.E. A(I,1)=-A(I)
      B - VECTOR B IN (*) AND (**)
      Q2 - SCALAR Q2 IN (**)
      S  - SOLUTION OF RICCATI EQUATION
      AL - VECTOR AL IN (**)
      N  - ACTUAL ORDER OF SYSTEM
      IS - DIMENSION PARAMETER OF MATRIX S
      R3 - DENOMINATOR (Q2+BT*S*B)

USES DUM7 AND DUM8 OF COMMON/SLASK/

DIMENSION A(1),B(1),AL(1),S(1,1)
COMMON /SLASK/DUM(384),S1(8,8),S2(8,8)

DO 10 I=1,N
S1(I,1)=SCAPRO(B(1),1,S(1,1),1,N)
R3=SCAPRO(B(1),1,S1(1,1),1,N)+Q2

R3 NOW CONTAINS BT*S*B+Q2

AL(1)=-SCAPRO(S1(1,1),1,A(1),1,N)/R3
DO 14 I=2,N
  I1=I-1
  AL(I)=S1(I1,1)/R3

COMPUTATION OF L=BT*S*A/(Q2+BT*S*B) COMPLETE
RESULT STORED IN VECTOR AL

R=AL(1)
DO 20 I=1,N
S1(I,1)=-A(I)-B(I)*R
DO 22 J=2,N
R=AL(J)
DO 22 I=1,N
R1=0.
IF (I+1-J) 22,23,22
R1=1.
S1(I,J)=R1-B(I)*R

```

```

C
C      S1 NOW CONTAINS A-B*L
C
      DO 24 I=1,N
24     S2(1,1)=-SCAPRO(A(1),1,S(1,1),1S,N)
        NM1=N-1
        DO 26 J=1,NM1
26     CALL MOVE(S(1,J),S2(1,J+1),N+N)
C
C      S2 NOW CONTAINS S*A
C
      DO 30 I=1,N
      DO 30 J=1,N

30     S(1,J)=SCAPRO(S2(1,1),1,S1(1,J),1,N)
        S(1,1)=S(1,1)+1.
        RETURN
        END

```

To test the subroutine CORI a special conversational program has been written which enables the user to enter system and loss function from teletype. This program is given in List 8. The program will be tested against analytical solutions.

List 8. CONVERSATIONAL PROGRAM FOR USING CORI

```

C      THIS IS A PROGRAM FOR USING CORI. THE PROGRAM REQUESTS
C      DATA FROM TTY, CALLS CORI AND PRINTS THE RESULTS ON DEV 6
      DIMENSION A(16),B(16),AL(16),S(16,16)
      DATA AY/3HYES/
1      N1=1
      CALL ATTLP6(1)
      WRITE (6,100)
100     FORMAT (11H TYPE ORDER)
      ICNTRL=1
      N=RTIFF(ICNTRL)
      WRITE (6,101)
101     FORMAT (19H TYPE ELEMENTS OF A)
      ICNTRL=1
      DO 10 I=1,N
10      A(I)=RTIFF(ICNTRL)
C
      WRITE (6,102)
102     FORMAT(19H TYPE ELEMENTS OF B)
      ICNTRL=1
      DO 12 I=1,N
12      B(I)=RTIFF(ICNTRL)
C
      WRITE (6,104)
104     FORMAT (8H TYPE Q2)
      ICNTRL=1
      Q2=RTIFF(ICNTRL)
      WRITE (6,106)
106     FORMAT (26H TYPE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS)
      ICNTRL=1
      N2=RTIFF(ICNTRL)
C      INITIALIZE S
      DO 20 I=1,N
20      DO 20 J=1,N
      S(I,J)=0.0
21      DO 21 I=1,N
      S(I,I)=1.0
C      MAIN LOOP
25      DO 30 IT=N1,N2
30      CALL CORI(A,B,Q2,S,AL,N,R3)
C
      WRITE (6,200)
200     FORMAT (9H S-MATRIX)
      DO 40 I=1,N
40      WRITE (6,300) (S(I,J),J=1,N)
300     FORMAT (6E12.4)
      WRITE (6,202)
202     FORMAT (9H L-VECTOR)
      WRITE (6,300) (AL(I),I=1,N)
C
      WRITE (6,204)
204     FORMAT (24H DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE)
      READ (8,206) ANS
206     FORMAT (A5)
      IF (ANS.NE.AY) GO TO 1
      N1=N2
      WRITE (6,208)
208     FORMAT (37H TYPE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITERATIONS)

```

Example 6.1

Consider the system

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

with the criterion defined by

$$Q_1 = 1, \quad Q_2 = 0$$

To find the steady state solution to the riccatiequation and the control law it is necessary to separate two cases.

Case 1. $|b| \leq 1$

In this case the Riccati equation has one steady state solution .

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding optimal control law is given by

$$L = [-a \quad 1]$$

Case 2. $|b| > 1$

In this case the riccatiequation has two non-negative solutions. The one previously given and

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & s_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$s_1 = \frac{(b-a)^2 + a^2(b^2-1)}{(a-b)^2}$$

$$s_2 = -\frac{a(b^2-1)}{(a-b)^2}$$

$$s_3 = \frac{b^2 - 1}{(a-b)^2}$$

The corresponding control law is given by

$$L = \frac{1 - ab}{b(a-b)} [-a \quad 1]$$

The program CORI will now be tested using some specific numerical values

$$a = 0.5$$

$$b = 2$$

The analytical solution gives

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 4/3 & -2/3 \\ -2/3 & 4/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = [0 \quad 0]$$

The results obtained when using UCORI conversational are given next

LOADER V9A
 <-UCORI \, CORI

TYPE ORDER

#2

TYPE ELEMENTS OF A

#.5 0.

TYPE ELEMENTS OF B

#1. 2.

TYPE Q2

#0.

TYPE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS

#2

S-MATRIX

0.1286E+01 -0.5714E+02

-0.5714E+00 2.1143E+01

L-VECTOR

-2.7143E-01 0.1429E+02

DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE

YES

TYPE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITERATIONS

#10

S-MATRIX

0.1333E+01 -0.6667E+02

-2.6667E+00 0.1333E+01

L-VECTOR

-0.2235E-07 2.4473E-07

DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE

NO

The program will thus give the correct results after 10 iterations.

Example 6.2

Consider the system

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 & 1 \\ 0.7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.9 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

with the criterion $Q2 = 0$. It is straightforward to show that

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

L = [-1.5 1]

The results obtained by CORI are given below.

TYPE ORDER

#2

TYPE ELEMENTS OF A

#1.5 -.7

TYPE ELEMENTS OF E

#1 .9

TYPE Q2

#2.

TYPE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS

#10

S-MATRIX

0.1273E+01 -0.3187E-21

-0.3187E-21 0.1399E-21

L-VECTOR

-2.1549E+01 2.1021E+21

DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE

YES

TYPE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITERATIONS

#100

S-MATRIX

2.1202E+01 2.5315E-27

2.6661E-27 -2.2561E-27

L-VECTOR

-2.1520E+01 2.1202E+21

DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE

YC

7. SCALING

$$y(t) + a_1 y(t-1) + \dots + a_n y(t-n) = b_1 u(t-k-1) + \dots + b_n u(t-k-m) + v(t-k-m) \quad (7.1)$$

Now this equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) + (a_1/r_o)[r_o y(t-1)] + \dots + (a_n/r_o^n)[r_o^n y(t-n)] &= \\ = (b_1/r_o)[r_o u(t-k-1)] + \dots + (b_m/r_o^m)[r_o^m u(t-k-m)] + v(t-k-m) & \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

The models (7.1) and (7.2) are obviously identical. The polynomial

$$\tilde{B}(z) = z^{m-1}(b_1/r_o) + z^{m-2}b_2/r_o^2 + \dots + b_m/r_o^m$$

has zeros outside the unit circle if the polynomial B has zeros outside the circle $|z| = r_o$. Solving the riccati-equation associated with \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} it is then insured that the zeros of \tilde{B} outside $|z| = r_o$ are reflected inside the circle. An example illustrates the point.

Example 7.1

Consider the system

$$y(t) + a y(t-1) = b u(t-1)$$

The strategy which minimized $\sum y^2(t)$ is

$$u(t) = \frac{a^2}{b} y(t-1) + a u(t-1)$$

If the variables are transformed we get

$$\tilde{y}(t) + \tilde{a} \tilde{y}(t-1) = \tilde{b} \tilde{u}(t-1)$$

where

$$\tilde{a} = a/r_0, \quad \tilde{b} = b/r_0, \quad \tilde{y}(t-1) = r_0 \hat{y}(t-1), \quad \tilde{u}(t) = r_0 \hat{u}(t-1)$$

Hence

$$\tilde{u}(t) = \frac{\tilde{a}^2}{\tilde{b}} \tilde{y}(t-1) + \tilde{a} \tilde{u}(t-1) = \frac{a^2}{b} y(t) + a u(t-1)$$

□

The code for the scaling is given previously.

8. THE COMPLETE ALGORITHM

A FORTRAN program for the complete algorithm is given in the Appendix. A test program TSTU2 was written in order to test the algorithm. A listing of this program is found in List 9. In the test program the parameters a_1 , b_1 and b_2 are read from the teletype. The program then computes the steady state solution to the riccatiequation and the feedback gains. A sequence of inputs and outputs are then generated and fed into the algorithm. The control actions computed by STURE, as well as intermediate results, are then printed.

```

001      C      TSTU2
002      C      THIS IS A TEST PROGRAM FOR STURE2
003      C
004      DIMENSION U(10),Y(10),UM(10),YM(10),UC(10),TH(12),P(7,7),S(6,6),
005      1,S0(6,6)
006      DIMENSION A(10),B(10)
007      DIMENSION UCC(10)
008      COMMON /SLASK/ DUM(272),AS(8),BS(8),US(8),YS(8),X(8),AL(8)
009      1,R(8,8),DUM78(128)
010      C
011      NA=1
012      NB=2
013      K=0
014      N=2
015      NP=3
016      IP=7
017      IS=6
018      RL=1
019      R0=1
020      NPT=9
021      LLOP=9
022      A(1)=.7
023      B(1)=1.
024      B(2)=.8
025      99      WRITE (6,250)
026      250     FORMAT (1H1)
027      C
028      WRITE (9,300)
029      300     FORMAT (23H TYPE R0, B(1) AND B(2))
030      ICNTRL=1
031      R0=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
032      B(1)=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
033      B(2)=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
034      A1=A(1)/R0
035      B1=B(1)/R0
036      B2=B(2)/(R0**2)
037      C
038      IF (B1-B2) 1,1,2
039      C
040      1      S(2,2)=(B2**2-B1**2)/((A1*B1-B2)**2)
041      S(1,2)=-A1*S(2,2)
042      S(2,1)=S(1,2)
043      S(1,1)=1.+A1**2*S(2,2)
044      GO TO 3
045      2      S(1,1)=1.
046      S(1,2)=0.
047      S(2,1)=0.
048      S(2,2)=0.
049      C
050      3      RS=S(1,1)*B1*B1+2.*S(1,2)*B1*B2+S(2,2)*B2*B2
051      RS=(S(1,1)*B1+S(1,2)*B2)/RS
052      AL(1)=-A1*RS
053      AL(2)=RS
054      C
055      WRITE (6,200) R0
056      200     FORMAT (15H0TEST OF STURE2,4H R0=,F8.4)
057      WRITE (6,201)
058      201     FORMAT (25H TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS)
059      WRITE (6,202)
060      202     FORMAT (16H A AND B VECTORS)
061      WRITE (6,100) (A(I),I=1,NA)
062      WRITE (6,100) (B(I),I=1,NB)
063      WRITE (6,203)

```

```

064      203      FORMAT (9H S-MATRIX)
065          DO 10 I=1,N
066      10      WRITE (6,100) (S(I,J),J=1,N)
067          WRITE (6,204)
068      204      FORMAT (9H L-VECTOR)
069          WRITE (6,100) (AL(I),I=1,N)
070      C      GENERATE INPUTS AND OUTPUTS
071          UM(1)=-1.
072          UM(2)= 1.
073          UM(3)= 1.
074          UM(4)= 1.
075          UM(5)=-1.
076          UM(6)= 1.
077          UM(7)=-1.
078          UM(8)=-1.
079          UM(9)= 1.
080          UM(10)=1.
081          YM(1)=0.
082          YM(2)=0.
083          UC(1)=0.
084          UC(2)=0.
085          DO 20 I=2,NPT
086      20      YM(I+1)=-A(1)*YM(I)+B(1)*UM(I)+B(2)*UM(I-1)
087      C      INITIALIZE
088          Y(1)=YM(3)
089          Y(2)=YM(2)
090          U(1)=UM(3)
091          U(2)=UM(2)
092          U(3)=UM(1)
093      C
094          DO 30 I=1,NP
095          DO 30 J=1,NP
096      30      P(I,J)=0.
097          DO 31 I=1,NP
098          TH(I)=1.
099      31      P(I,I)=1.E5
100      C      MAIN LOOP
101          DO 50 L=4,LL0P
102          DO 51 I=1,N
103          DO 51 J=1,N
104      51      S0(I,J)=S(I,J)
105          IOF=0
106          CALL TIME10(IM,ISEC,IS10,IOF)
107          CALL STURE2(Y,U,TH,P,S0,RL,RO,NA,NB,K,IP,IS)
108          IOF=1
109          UC(L)=U(1)
110          U(1)=UM(L)
111          Y(1)=YM(L)
112      C
113          WRITE (6,210)
114      210      FORMAT(23HOPARAME TES ESTIMATES TH)
115          WRITE (6,100) (TH(I),I=1,NP)
116          WRITE (6,211)
117      211      FORMAT (18H COVARIANCE MATRIX)
118          DO 40 I=1,NP
119      40      WRITE (6,100) (P(I,J),J=1,NP)
120          WRITE (6,212)
121      212      FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
122          WRITE (6,100) (AS(I),I=1,N)
123          WRITE (6,100) (BS(I),I=1,N)
124          WRITE (6,213)
125      213      FORMAT (13H STATE VECTOR)
126          WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
127          WRITE (6,240)

```

```

128      240      FORMAT (25H SCALED VECTORS YS AND US)
129      WRITE (6,100) (YS(I),I=1,NA)
130      WRITE (6,100) (US(I),I=1,NB)
131      WRITE (6,203)
132      DO 42 I=1,N
133      42      WRITE (6,100) (SD(I,J),J=1,N)
134      WRITE (6,204)
135      WRITE (6,100) (AL(I),I=1,N)
136      WRITE (6,205)
137      205      FORMAT (19H CONTROL VARIABLE U)
138      WRITE (6,100) (U(I),I=1,3)
139      WRITE (6,206)
140      206      FORMAT (15H PROCESS OUTPUT)
141      IS1=60*IM+ISEC
142      TIM=FLOAT(IS1)+.1*FLOAT(IS10)
143      WRITE (6,100) (Y(I),I=1,2)
144      WRITE (6,214) TIM
145      50      CONTINUE
146      WRITE (6,207)
147      207      FORMAT (18H PROCESS INPUTS UM)
148      WRITE (6,100) (UM(I),I=1,NPT)
149      WRITE (6,208)
150      208      FORMAT (19H PROCESS OUTPUTS YM)
151      WRITE (6,100) (YM(I),I=1,NPT)
152      WRITE (6,209)
153      209      FORMAT (30H COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC)
154      WRITE (6,100) (UC(I),I=1,NPT)
155      100      FORMAT (5E12,4)
156      214      FORMAT (15H COMPUTING TIME,F5.1,4H SEC)
157      C
158      C      COMPUTE CORRECT VALUES OF US
159      C
160      IF(B1-B2) 70,71,71
161      71      DO 72 I=4,NPT
162      SLAS=(A(1)*B(2)/B(1))*UM(I-2)
163      72      UCC(I)=-A(1)**2/B(1)*YM(I-1)+(B(2)-A(1)*B(1))/B(1)*UM(I-1)+SLA
164      WRITE (6,230)
165      230      FORMAT (36H CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES)
166      WRITE (6,100) (UCC(I),I=1,NPT)
167      GO TO 99
168      70      BET=B(1)/B(2)
169      F1=BET-A(1)
170      F2=A(1)*(A(1)-BET)/(1.-A(1)*BET)
171      G0=-A(1)*F2
172      DO 74 I=4,NPT
173      74      UCC(I)=-G0/B(2)*YM(I-1)-F1*UM(I-1)-F2*UM(I-2)
174      WRITE (6,230)
175      WRITE (6,100) (UCC(I),I=1,NPT)
176      GO TO 99
177      END

```

Example 8.1

The following example was used as a test example.

$$y(t) + a y(t-1) = b_1 u(t-1) + b_2 u(t-2)$$

The control strategy is

$$u(t) = -\frac{a^2}{b_1} y(t-1) - \frac{b_2 - ab_1}{b_1} u(t-1) + \frac{ab_2}{b_1} u(t-2)$$

The numerical values used are

$$a = 0.7, \quad b_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = 0.8$$

They give the control law

$$u_c(t) = -0.49y_m(t-1) - 0.1u_m(t-1) + 0.56u_m(t-2)$$

Introducing the following values for y_m and u_m

t	$y_m(t)$	$u_m(t)$
4		1
5	0.6380	-1
6	0.6466	1
7	0.6526	-1
8	0.6568	-1

we get

$$u_c(6) = 0.3474$$

$$u_c(7) = -0.3432$$

$$u_c(8) = 0.3502$$

$$u_c(9) = -0.1382$$

As is shown in the enclosed printout, List 10, the parameter estimates are correct after 6 steps and the computed actions are also correct. It is also shown that the results are invariant with respect to r_0 unless r_0 is chosen so small that the polynomial \hat{B} has a zero outside $|z| = r_0$. See List 11.

TEST OF STUREZ RO# 1.0000
TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS
A AND B VECTORS

0.7000E+00
0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
L-VECTOR
-0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
0.1000E+01 0.1100E+01 0.9000E+00
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.1000E+06 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
VECTORS AS AND BS
0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
0.1100E+01 0.9000E+00
STATE VECTOR
0.1800E+01 0.9000E+00
SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
0.2000E+00
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.3325E-08
0.3325E-08 -0.3325E-08
L-VECTOR
-0.9091E+00 0.9091E+00
CONTROL VARIABLE U
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
PROCESS OUTPUT
0.1660E+01 0.2000E+00
COMPUTING TIME: 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
0.1014E+01 0.1031E+01 0.8314E+00
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.9804E+05 0.9804E+04 0.9804E+04
0.9804E+04 0.9809E+03 0.9804E+03
0.9804E+04 0.9804E+03 0.9809E+03
VECTORS AS AND BS
0.1014E+01 0.0000E+00
0.1031E+01 0.8314E+00
STATE VECTOR
0.1800E+00 0.8314E+00
SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
0.1660E+01
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.1432E-07
0.1551E-07 -0.1412E-07
L-VECTOR
-0.9829E+00 0.9696E+00
CONTROL VARIABLE U
-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
PROCESS OUTPUT
0.6380E+00 0.1660E+01
COMPUTING TIME: 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.9384E+00 0.4364E+00 0.4364E+00
 0.4364E+00 0.5780E+00 0.7799E-01
 0.4364E+00 0.7799E-01 0.5780E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6466E+00 -0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.6380E+00
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 -0.7552E-08
 -0.1352E-07 0.1079E-07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6466E+00 0.6380E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7879E+00 0.2408E+00 0.4921E+00
 0.2408E+00 0.3236E+00 0.1504E+00
 0.4921E+00 0.1504E+00 0.5574E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 0.6526E+00 0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.6466E+00
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 -0.7552E-08
 -0.1352E-07 0.1079E-07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.6526E+00 -0.6466E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7459E+00 0.1872E+00 0.5066E+00
 0.1872E+00 0.2553E+00 0.1688E+00
 0.5066E+00 0.1688E+00 0.5524E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6568E+00 -0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.6526E+00
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6568E+00 0.6526E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.1 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7255E+00 0.1617E+00 0.5131E+00
 0.1617E+00 0.2236E+00 0.1769E+00
 0.5131E+00 0.1769E+00 0.5504E+00

VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00

STATE VECTOR
 -0.1340E+01 -0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

-0.6568E+00
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.3758E-08
 0.6726E-08 -0.5368E-08

L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.1340E+01 -0.6568E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PROCESS INPUTS UM
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUTS YM
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.1660E+01 0.6380E+00
 -0.6466E+00 0.6526E+00 -0.6568E+00 -0.1340E+01

COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.8182E+00 -0.6292E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.3620E+00 -0.3534E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

OK

TEST OF STURE2 RO= 0.9000
TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS
A AND B VECTORS
0.7000E+00
0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
L-VECTOR
-0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
0.1000E+01 0.1100E+01 0.9000E+00
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.1000E+06 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
VECTORS AS AND BS
0.1111E+01 0.0000E+00
0.1222E+01 0.1111E+01
STATE VECTOR
0.1800E+01 0.1000E+01
SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
0.1800E+00
0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.6631E-08
0.1584E-07 -0.5968E-08
L-VECTOR
-0.9091E+00 0.8182E+00
CONTROL VARIABLE U
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
PROCESS OUTPUT
0.1660E+01 0.2000E+00
COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
0.1014E+01 0.1031E+01 0.8314E+00
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.9804E+05 0.9804E+04 0.9804E+04
0.9804E+04 0.9809E+03 0.9804E+03
0.9804E+04 0.9804E+03 0.9809E+03
VECTORS AS AND BS
0.1126E+01 0.0000E+00
0.1146E+01 0.1026E+01
STATE VECTOR
0.1800E+00 0.9237E+00
SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
0.1494E+01
0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.4202E-07
0.2944E-07 -0.3730E-07
L-VECTOR
-0.9829E+00 0.8726E+00
CONTROL VARIABLE U
-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
PROCESS OUTPUT
0.6380E+00 0.1660E+01
COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.9384E+00 0.4364E+00 0.4364E+00
 0.4364E+00 0.5780E+00 0.7799E-01
 0.4364E+00 0.7799E-01 0.5780E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6466E+00 -0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.5742E+00
 -0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 -0.3236E-07
 -0.4285E-07 0.4161E-07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6466E+00 0.6380E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7879E+00 0.2408E+00 0.4921E+00
 0.2408E+00 0.3236E+00 0.1504E+00
 0.4921E+00 0.1504E+00 0.5574E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 0.6526E+00 0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.5819E+00
 0.9000E+00 -0.8100E+00
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 0.1215E-07
 0.1361E-07 -0.1562E-07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.6526E+00 -0.6466E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7459E+00 0.1872E+00 0.5066E+00
 0.1872E+00 0.2553E+00 0.1688E+00
 0.5066E+00 0.1688E+00 0.5524E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6568E+00 -0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.5874E+00
 -0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
 S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 -0.2051E-07
 -0.2510E-07 0.2636E-07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6568E+00 0.6526E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETER ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7255E+00 0.1617E+00 0.5131E+00
 0.1617E+00 0.2236E+00 0.1769E+00
 0.5131E+00 0.1769E+00 0.5504E+00

VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00

STATE VECTOR
 -0.1340E+01 -0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

-0.5912E+00
 -0.9000E+00 -0.8100E+00

S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 0.2703E-07
 0.2299E-07 -0.3475E-07

L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.1340E+01 -0.6568E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PROCESS INPUTS UM
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUTS YM
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.1660E+01 0.6380E+00
 -0.6466E+00 0.6526E+00 -0.6568E+00 -0.1340E+01

COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.8182E+00 -0.6292E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.3620E+00 -0.3534E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

OK

TEST OF STURE2 RO= 1.0000
TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS

A AND B VECTORS

0.7000E+00
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
S-MATRIX
0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
-0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
L-VECTOR
0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.1000E+01 0.5000E+00 0.1500E+01
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.1000E+06 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
0.5000E+00 0.1500E+01

STATE VECTOR

0.3000E+01 0.1500E+01

SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

-0.1000E+01
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.3000E+01 -0.2000E+01
-0.2000E+01 0.2000E+01

L-VECTOR

0.6667E+00 -0.6667E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT

0.3700E+01 -0.1000E+01

COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.1233E+01 0.7333E+00 0.1733E+01
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.6667E+05 -0.3333E+05 -0.3333E+05
-0.3333E+05 0.1667E+05 0.1667E+05
-0.3333E+05 0.1667E+05 0.1667E+05

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1233E+01 0.0000E+00
0.7333E+00 0.1733E+01

STATE VECTOR

-0.2097E+01 0.1733E+01

SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

0.3700E+01
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.6461E+01 -0.4428E+01
-0.4428E+01 0.3590E+01

L-VECTOR

0.1206E+01 -0.9775E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT

0.4100E+00 0.3700E+01

COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.8985E-01 0.6098E-01 0.6098E-01
 0.6098E-01 0.4154E+00 -0.8455E-01
 0.6098E-01 -0.8455E-01 0.4154E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 0.7130E+00 -0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.4100E+00
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.7130E+00 0.4100E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.8917E-01 0.5138E-01 0.6966E-01
 0.5138E-01 0.2787E+00 0.3920E-01
 0.6966E-01 0.3920E-01 0.3035E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.1499E+01 0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.7130E+00
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.1499E+01 0.7130E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.8492E-01 0.6192E-01 0.5335E-01
 0.6192E-01 0.2525E+00 0.7963E-01
 0.5335E-01 0.7963E-01 0.2409E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 0.2049E+01 -0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.1499E+01
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX

0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.2049E+01 -0.1499E+01
 COMPUTING TIME 0.1 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7552E-01 0.6826E-01 0.3424E-01
 0.6826E-01 0.2483E+00 0.9251E-01
 0.3424E-01 0.9251E-01 0.2021E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS

0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.4435E+01 -0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.2049E+01
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX

0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.4435E+01 0.2049E+01
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PROCESS INPUTS UM
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUTS YM
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 -0.1000E+01 0.3700E+01 0.4100E+00
 0.7130E+00 -0.1499E+01 0.2049E+01 -0.4435E+01

COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 -0.1000E+01 0.4222E+01
 -0.3845E+00 0.4691E+00 -0.5284E+00 0.1699E+00
 CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 -0.9077E-01 0.2635E+00
 -0.3845E+00 0.4691E+00 -0.5284E+00 0.1699E+00

APPENDIX

SUBROUTINE STURE2(Y,U,TH,P,S,RL,RO,NA,NB,K,IP,IS)

SELF-TUNING REGULATOR BASED ON LEAST SQUARES IDENTIFICATION
AND MINIMUM VARIANCE CONTROL
THE ALGORITHM IS BASED ON THE MODEL

$$Y(T)+A(1)*Y(T-1)+\dots+A(NA)*Y(T-NA)= \\ B(1)*U(T-K-1)+\dots+B(NB)*U(T-K-NB) \quad (*)$$

AT EACH STEP THE LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES OF THE MODEL
PARAMETERS ARE COMPUTED. THE PROCESS INPUT $U(T+1)$ TO BE
APPLIED TO THE PROCESS AT TIME $T+1$ IS THEN COMPUTED
FROM THE SOLUTION OF THE RICCATI EQUATION WHICH MINIMIZES
SUM $Y(T)**2$ UNDER THE CONSTRAINT THAT ALL POLES OF
THE CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM ARE WITHIN THE UNIT CIRCLE

WHEN APPLYING THE ALGORITHM THE PROCESS OUTPUT IS THUS READ
AT TIME T , THE PROCESS INPUT $U(T+1)$ TO BE APPLIED AT TIME $T+1$
IS THEN COMPUTED AT THE TIME INTERVAL $(T,T+1)$

AUTHOR KJ ASTROM 72-01-11

Y-VECTOR OF SCALED PROCESS INPUTS OF DIMENSION $NA+1$
AND ORGANIZED AS FOLLOWS

$$Y(1)=Y(T)$$

$$Y(2)=Y(T-1)$$

RETURNED AS: Y(T)

...

$$Y(NA+1)=Y(T-NA)$$

RETURNED AS: Y(T-NA+1)

U-VECTOR OF PROCESS OUTPUTS OF DIMENSION $NB+K+1$
ORGANIZED AS FOLLOWS:

$$U(1)=U(T)$$

RETURNED AS U(T+1)

$$U(2)=U(T-1)$$

RETURNED AS U(T)

$$U(NB+K+1)=U(T-NB-K)$$

RETURNED AS U(T-NB-K+1)

TH-VECTOR OF ESTIMATED PARAMETERS OF DIMENSION $NA+NB$
ORGANIZED AS FOLLOWS:

$$TH(1)=AE(1)$$

$$TH(2)=AE(2)$$

$$TH(NA)=AE(NA)$$

$$TH(NA+1)=BE(1)$$

$$TH(NA+NB)=BE(NB)$$

P-COVARIANCE MATRIX OF THE PARAMETER ESTIMATES OF
ORDER $(NA+NB)*(NA+NB)$

S-SOLUTION TO THE RICCATI EQUATION WHICH GIVES THE
CONTROL LAW. THE INITIAL VALUE OF THE MATRIX SET
IN THE MAIN PROGRAM MUST BE POSITIVE DEFINITE

THE MATRIX S IS OF ORDER $N*N$ ($N=MAX(NA,NB+K)$)

RL-THE BASE OF THE EXPONENTIAL WEIGHTING FUNCTION

NA-NUMBER OF A-PARAMETERS SEE (*) MAX(NA)=8

NB-NUMBER OF B-PARAMETERS SEE (*) MAX(NB+K)=8

K-NUMBER OF TIME DELAYS SEE (*) MAX(NB+K)=8

IP-DIMENSION PARAMETER OF THE MATRIX P, MAX=32

IS-DIMENSION PARAMETER OF MATRIX S, MAX=8

USES DUM5-DUM8 OF COMMON /SLASK/

SUBROUTINES REQUIRED

RTLSID

SCAPRO


```

064 C MOVE
065 C CORI
066 C NORM
067 C
068 DIMENSION U(1),Y(1),TH(1),P(1,1),S(1,1)
069 COMMON/SLASK/DUM(272),AS(8),BS(8),US(8),YS(8),X(8),AL(8)
070 1,R(8,8),FI(64),DUM(64)
071 C
072 N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
073 NM1=N-1
074 NAM1=NA-1
075 NAP1=NA+1
076 NBM1=NB-1
077 NP1=N+1
078 NP=NA+NB
079 C
080 C SET FIXED PARAMETERS
081 C
082 Q2=0.
083 EPS=1.E-5
084 NLOP=10
085 IR=8
086 C
087 C ORGANIZE DATA FOR IDENTIFICATION ROUTINE
088 C
089 YIN=Y(1)
090 DO 10 I=1,NA
091 10 FI(I)=-Y(I+1)
092 IF(NB) 12,12,11
093 11 NS=2*NB
094 CALL MOVE(U(K+2),FI(NA+1),NS)
095 C
096 12 CALL RTLSID(TH,P,FI,YIN,NP,IP,RL,RES,DENOM)
097 C
098 C SET PARAMETERS OF STATE MODEL
099 C
100 CALL MOVE(TH(1),AS(1),NA+NA)
101 IF(N-NA-1) 20,21,22
102 22 AS(NA+1)=0.0
103 NS=N-NA-1
104 CALL MOVE(AS(NA+1),AS(NA+2),NS+NS)
105 GO TO 20
106 21 AS(NA+1)=0.0
107 20 IF(K-1) 24,25,26
108 26 BS(1)=0.0
109 NS=K-1
110 CALL MOVE(BS(1),BS(2),NS+NS)
111 GO TO 24
112 25 BS(1)=0.0
113 24 CALL MOVE(TH(NA+1),BS(K+1),NB+NB)
114 N1=NB+K+1
115 IF(N-N1) 28,29,30
116 30 BS(N1)=0.0
117 NS=N-N1
118 CALL MOVE(BS(N1),BS(N1+1),NS+NS)
119 GO TO 28
120 29 BS(N1)=0.0
121 C
122 C SCALE SYSTEM PARAMETERS
123 C
124 28 SF=1,
125 DO 32 I=1,N
126 SF=SF*RO
127 AS(I)=AS(I)/SF

```

```

32  BS(I)=BS(I)/SF
C
C  SCALE U AND Y
C
    SF=1.
    DO 34 I=1,NA
    SF=SF*R0
34  YS(I)=Y(I)*SF
    SF=1.
    NBK=NB+K
    DO 36 I=1,NBK
    SF=SF*R0
36  US(I)=U(I)*SF
C
C  COMPUTE STATE VARIABLES
C
    X(1)=YS(1)
    IF (NA-NB-K) 40,41,42
40  IF (NA=2) 70,71,71
71  DO 43 I=2,NA
    NS=NA-I+1
    NSB=N-I+1
43  X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,YS(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,US(2),1,NSB)
70  DO 44 I=NAP1,N
    NS=N-I+1
44  X(I)=SCAPRO(BS(I),1,US(2),1,NS)
    GO TO 49
41  DO 45 I=2,N
    NS=N-I+1
45  X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,YS(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,US(2),1,NS)
    GO TO 49
42  N1=NB+K
    DO 46 I=2,N1
    NSA=N-I+1
    NS=N1-I+1
46  X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,YS(2),1,NSA)+SCAPRO(BS(I),1,US(2),1,NS)
    N1=N1+1
    DO 47 I=N1,N
    NS=N-N1+1
47  X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(I),1,YS(2),1,NS)
C
C  PREDICT STATE VECTOR
C
49  RS=X(1)
    NM1=N-1
    DO 50 I=1,NM1
50  X(I)=-AS(I)*RS+X(I+1)+BS(I)*US(1)
    X(N)=-AS(N)*RS+BS(N)*US(1)
C
C  COMPUTE CONTROL LAW
C
    NLOOP=0
    NLOOP=NLOOP+1
    DO 62 I=1,N
    DO 62 J=1,N
62  R(I,J)=S(I,J)
C
    CALL COR1(AS,BS,Q2,S,AL,N,R3,IS)
C
    DO 64 I=1,N
    DO 64 J=1,N
64  R(I,J)=R(I,J)-S(I,J)
C
C  TEST IF ITERATION HAS CONVERGED

```

```
192 C
193 CALL NORM(R,N,IR,RNORM)
194 CALL NORM(S,N,IS,ANORM)
195 IF (RNORM-EPS*SNORM) 66,66,65
196 66 IND=-NLOOP
197 GO TO 68
198 65 IF (NLOOP-NLOP) 60,67,67
199 67 IND=1
200 68 CONTINUE
201 C
202 C
203 C REORGANIZE DATA FOR NEXT STEP
204 C
205 NS=2*NA
206 CALL MOVE(Y(1),Y(2),-NS)
207 NS=2*(NB+K)
208 CALL MOVE(U(1),U(2),-NS)
209 C
210 C COMPUTE CONTROL SIGNAL
211 C
212 U(1)=-SCAPRO(AL(1),1,X(1),1,N)
213 C
214 C THE CELL YS(1) IS NOW READY TO RECEIVE THE NEXT SCALED
215 C PROCESS OUTPUT Y(T+1) AND THE CELL U(1) NOW CONTAINS THE
216 C CONTROL SIGNAL U(T+1) TO BE USED AT TIME T+1.
217 C
218 RETURN
219 END
```