



LUND UNIVERSITY

A Self-Tuning Regulator for Nonminimum Phase Systems

Åström, Karl Johan

1974

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Åström, K. J. (1974). *A Self-Tuning Regulator for Nonminimum Phase Systems*. (Research Reports TFRT-3113). Department of Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology (LTH).

Total number of authors:

1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

TFRT- 3113

A SELF-TUNING REGULATOR FOR NONMINIMUM
PHASE SYSTEMS

K.J. ÅSTRÖM

Report 7411(C) June 1974
Lund Institute of Technology
Division of Automatic Control

TILLHÖR REFERENSBIBLIOOTEKET
UTLÄNNAES EJ

A SELF-TUNING REGULATOR FOR NONMINIMUM PHASE SYSTEMS

by

K. J. Åström

Abstract

This report describes an algorithm for a self-tuning regulator for a linear system with one input and one output. It is well known that the minimum variance regulator is very sensitive to parameter variations if the system is nonminimum phase. For such systems a suboptimal strategy with the property that all the poles of the closed loop system are inside a circle with radius r_0 is therefore computed. The algorithm can thus be used for systems with unknown time delays and for nonminimum phase systems. To use the algorithm it is not necessary to know the order of the system or the timedelay exactly. Fewer parameters are, however, obtained if these quantities are known. If the algorithm converges, it will converge to a stable minimum variance regulator at least in the case of uncorrelated residuals. The properties of the algorithm when the residuals are correlated are not yet known.

1. INTRODUCTION
 2. IDENTIFICATION
 3. A STATE MODEL
 4. THE STATE VECTOR
 5. PREDICTION
 6. THE CONTROL LAW
 7. SCALING
 8. THE COMPLETE ALGORITHM
- APPENDIX LISTING OF STURE2

1. INTRODUCTION

This algorithm is an extension of the one discussed in [1]. It permits the system to be controlled to be nonminimum phase. The algorithm is based on the model

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) + a_1 y(t-1) + \dots + a_n y(t-n) &= b_1 u(t-k-1) + \dots + \\ &+ b_m u(t-k-m) + v(t-k-m) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

The purpose of the algorithm is to obtain a control strategy for a linear system described by (1.1) with correlated disturbances. The criterion is taken as

$$\min E[y^2(t) + q_2 u^2(t)] \quad (1.2)$$

and the admissible control strategies are assumed to be such that the control signal at time t can be a function of the past control signals and the process outputs observed up to time $t - 1$. The algorithm is called self-tuning because it combines the steps of identification and control. To use the algorithm it is necessary to give the parameters

$n = NA$ number of parameters a_i in the model (1.1)

$m = NB$ number of parameters b_i in the model (1.1)

$k =$ number of delays in the model.

It is also necessary to provide initial estimates of the parameter values, as well as an estimate of the uncertainty of the parameters i.e.

ϕ - parameter estimates

P - covariance of parameter estimates.

The algorithm contains some parameters which influence the convergence

r_k - weighting factor in least squares parameter estimation

r_0 - bound on poles of closed loop system

NLOP - maximum number of iterations when solving the riccatiequation

EPS - test quantity to terminate the iteration of the riccatiequation.

The computing time required in each step can be reduced significantly if an initial estimate to the solution of the riccatiequation is provided in each step. This is a typical example of the trade off between computing time and storage.

The algorithm consists of the following steps:

- o Identification
- o Determination of a state model
- o Determination of the state vector
- o Prediction
- o Computation of the control law
- o Computation of the control signal

These different steps are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

2. IDENTIFICATION

The parameters of the model (1) are determined using straightforward least squares i.e. the equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} -y(t-1) & -y(t-2) & \dots & -y(t-n) & u(t-k-1), \dots, u(t-k-m) \\ -y(t-2) & -y(t-3) & \dots & -y(t-n-1) & u(t-k-2), \dots, u(t-k-m-1) \\ \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y(t) \\ y(t-1) \\ \vdots \\ y(t-n) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

are solved in each step using straightforward least squares. To forget past data an exponential weighting is also introduced. The criterion is chosen as

$$\sum_{s=0}^t y^2(s) e^{t-s} \quad (2.2)$$

3. A STATE MODEL

The control law which minimizes the criterion (1.2) for the system (1.1) can be determined by direct polynomial manipulations. The calculation of the control strategy can, however, be simplified if a state space representation is used. A state model can be introduced in many different ways. One possibility is given on the next page.

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ -a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} u(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} v(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0] x(t) \quad (3.1)$$

For simplicity we have given the state model for case $n < m+k$ only. The appearance of the state model in general is shown in the following code and test examples.

The state model obtained is represented as:

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\tilde{a}_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\tilde{a}_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & & \\ -\tilde{a}_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -\tilde{a}_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b}_1 \\ \tilde{b}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{b}_{n-1} \\ \tilde{b}_n \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0] x(t)$$

where

$$n = \max(n, m+k)$$

and \tilde{a}_i and \tilde{b}_i denote the transformed elements. Notice that some elements of \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} are zero. For simplicity we will leave out \sim in the following. To see which elements are zero we will give some examples.

Example 3.1

NA = 1, NB = 2, K = 0

TH = (1, 2, 3)

AS = (1, 0), BS = (2, 3)

Example 3.2

NA = 1, NB = 2, K = 1

TH = (1, 2, 3)

AS = (1, 0, 0), BS = (0, 2, 3)

Example 3.3

NA = 1, NB = 2, K = 2

TH = (1, 2, 3)

AS = (1, 0, 0, 0), BS = (0, 0, 2, 3)

Example 3.4

NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 0

TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

AS = (1, 2, 3, 4), BS = (5, 6, 0, 0)

Example 3.5

NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 1

TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

AS = (1, 2, 3, 4), BS = (0, 5, 6, 0)

Example 3.6

NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 2

TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

AS = (1, 2, 3, 4), BS = (0, 0, 5, 6)

Example 3.7

NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 3

TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

AS = (1, 2, 3, 4, 0), BS = (0, 0, 0, 5, 6)

Example 3.8

NA = 4, NB = 2, K = 4

TH = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

AS = (1, 2, 3, 4, 0, 0), BS = (0, 0, 0, 0, 5, 6)

The code which generates the state model (3.1) from the parameters $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m$ is given in List 1. The printout shown in List 2 shows that the program gives the correct results for the test examples given above.

List 1. PROGRAM FOR TESTING GENERATION AND SCALING OF STATE MODEL
IN STURE.

7.

```
C TSTU21
C THIS PROGRAM TESTS PART OF STURE2
C DIMENSION TH(12),AS(12),RS(12)
C DO 12 I=1,12
12 TH(I)=FLOAT(I)

C
1 I1=1
1 GO TO (2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10),I1
2 I1=2
NA=1
NB=2
K=0
GO TO 50
3 I1=3
K=1
GO TO 50
4 I1=4
K=2
GO TO 50
5 I1=5
NA=4
K=0
GO TO 50
6 I1=6
K=1
GO TO 50
7 I1=7
K=2
GO TO 50
8 I1=8
K=3
GO TO 50
9 I1=9
K=4
GO TO 50
10 STOP
50 CONTINUE
N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
NM1=N-1
R0=.1

C
100 WRITE (6,100) NA,NB,K,N,R0
FORMAT (4H NA=,15,4H NB=,15,3H K=,15,3H N=,15,
14H R0=,E12.4)
C
C COMPUTE AND SET SCALED SYSTEM PARAMETERS
C
CALL MOVE (TH(1),AS(1),NA+NA)
IF (N-NA-1) 20,21,22
22 AS(NA+1)=0.0
NS=N-NA-1
CALL MOVE (AS(NA+1),AS(NA+2),NS+NS)
GO TO 20
21 AS(NA+1)=0.0
20 IF (K-1) 24,25,26
26 BS(1)=0.0
NS=K-1
CALL MOVE (BS(1),BS(2),NS+NS)
GO TO 24
25 RS(1)=0.0
24 CALL MOVE (TH(NA+1),RS(K+1),NB+NR)
N1=NB+K+1
IF (N-N1) 28,29,30
```

```
30      BS(N1)=0.0
       NS=N-N1
       CALL MOVE(RS(N1),BS(N1+1),NS+NS)
       GO TO 28
29      BS(N1)=0.0
28      CONTINUE
       WRITE (6,110)
110     FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
C
       WRITE (6,101) (AS(I),I=1,N)
       WRITE (6,101) (BS(I),I=1,N)
101     FORMAT (10E12.4)
C
       SF=1.
       DO 32 I=1,NM1
       SF=SF*R0
       N1=N-I
       AS(N1)=AS(N1)*SF
32      BS(N1)=BS(N1)*SF
       WRITE (6,111)
111     FORMAT (25H SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS)
C
       WRITE (6,101) (AS(I),I=1,N)
       WRITE (6,101) (BS(I),I=1,N)
C
       GO TO 1
C
       END
```

List 2. PRINTOUT OF TEST OF GENERATION AND SCALING OF PARAMETERS IN
STATE MODEL.

9.

```

NA= 1 NB= 2 K= 0 N= 2 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.1
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+00 0.0000E+00 ak
 0.2000E+00 0.3000E+01 ak

NA= 1 NR= 2 K= 1 N= 3 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.2
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 ak
 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 ak
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.3000E+01

NA= 1 NB= 2 K= 2 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.3
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 ak
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 ak
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-02 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.3000E+01

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 0 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.4
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 ak
 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 ak
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-02 0.2000E-01 0.3000E+00 0.4000E+01
 0.5000E-02 0.6000E-01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 1 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.5
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 ak
 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 0.0000E+00 ak
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-02 0.2000E-01 0.3000E+00 0.4000E+01
 0.0000E+00 0.5000E-01 0.6000E+00 0.0000E+00

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 2 N= 4 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.6
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 ak
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 ak
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-02 0.2000E-01 0.3000E+00 0.4000E+01
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+00 0.6000E+01

NA= 4 NB= 2 K= 3 N= 5 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.7
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 0.0000E+00 ak
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01 ak
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-03 0.2000E-02 0.3000E-01 0.4000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.5000E+00 0.6000E+01

NA= 4 NR= 2 K= 4 N= 6 R0= 0.1000E+00 Example 3.8
VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01 0.4000E+01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+01
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+01 0.5000E+01 0.6000E+01
SCALED VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.1000E-04 0.2000E-03 0.3000E-02 0.4000E-01 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+01
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+01 0.5000E+00 0.6000E+01

```

STATE VARIABLES IN INPUT
OUTPUT

4. THE STATE VECTOR

In order to use the state model given in section 3 it is necessary to compute the state variables from the inputs and outputs. This can be done as follows:

$$x_1(t) = y(t)$$

$$x_n(t) = -a_n x_1(t-1) + b_n u(t-1) = -a_n y(t-1) + b_n u(t-1)$$

$$x_{n-1}(t) = -a_{n-1} y(t-1) + x_n(t-1) + b_{n-1} u(t-1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_2(t) = -a_2 y(t-1) + x_3(t-1) + b_2 u(t-1) \quad (4.1)$$

Notice that some care must be exercised in the coding since some coefficients of a and b are zero and since the u :s and the y :s of the corresponding terms are not stored. It is thus necessary to distinguish three cases

- 1) $NA < NB + K$
- 2) $NA = NB + K$
- 3) $NA > NB + K$

The details are most conveniently expressed using a programming language. The FORTRAN code is given in the test program in List 3. The program is written in such a way that erroneous values in the vectors AS and BS outside the range defined by NA, NB and K will not give wrong results. For example, for $NA = 2$ the value $AS(3) = 1000$ will not cause any difficulties.

List 3. TESTPROGRAM FOR THE GENERATION OF STATE VARIABLES
AND PREDICTION.

11.

```
C TSTU22
C THIS PROGRAM TESTS COMPUTATION OF STATE VARIABLES IN STURE?
C DIMENSION AS(12),BS(12),Y(12),U(12),X(12)
C N=3
C I1=1
1 GO TO (2,3,4,5),11
2 I1=2
NA=2
NB=3
K=0
Y(1)=0.
Y(2)=-4.
Y(3)=3.
U(1)=6000.
U(2)=1.
U(3)=-1.
AS(1)=1.
AS(2)=2.
AS(3)=1000.
BS(1)=3.
BS(2)=2.
BS(3)=1.
GO TO 50
C
3 I1=3
NA=3
NB=2
K=0
Y(1)=-1.
Y(2)=-4.
Y(3)=3.
AS(3)=3.
BS(3)=1000.
GO TO 50
4 I1=4
BS(3)=1
NB=3
Y(1)=0.
GO TO 50
5 STOP
50 CONTINUE
N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
NAP1=NA+1
WRITE (6,110)
110 FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
WRITE (6,100) (AS(I),I=1,N)
WRITE (6,100) (BS(I),I=1,N)
FORMAT (10E12.4)
WRITE (6,111)
111 FORMAT (16H VECTORS U AND Y)
WRITE (6,100) (U(I),I=1,N)
WRITE (6,100) (Y(I),I=1,N)
X(1)=Y(1)
IF(NA-NB-K) 40,41,42
40 DO 43 I=2,NA
NS=NA-I+1
NSR=N-I+1
43 X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NSR)
DO 44 I=NAP1,N
NS=N-I+1
44 X(I)=SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NS)
GO TO 49
41 DO 45 I=2,N
```

```
45      NS=N-1+1
       X(1)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NS)
GO TO 49
42      N1=NR+K
DO 46 I=2,N1
NSA=N-1+1
NS=N1-1+1
46      X(1)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NSA)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NS)
N1=N1+1
DO 47 I=N1,N
NS=N-N1+1
47      X(1)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NS)
CONTINUE
WRITE (6,112)
WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
112     FORMAT (13H THE STATE IS)
C
C      PREDICT STATE VARIABLES
RS=X(1)
NM1=N-1
DO 60 I=1,NM1
60      X(I)=-AS(I)*RS+X(I+1)+BS(I)*U(I)
X(N)=-AS(N)*RS+BS(N)*U(I)
C
WRITE (6,113)
113     FORMAT (16H PREDICTED STATE)
WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
GO TO 1
END
```

To test the generation of state variables some examples will be computed by hand and compared with the results of the program given in List 3.

Example 4.1

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] x(t)$$

Assume

$$u(0) = 1$$

$$u(1) = -1$$

$$u(2) = 1$$

$$u(3) = 6000$$

$$\text{and } x(0) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Then

$$x(1) = \text{col}[3 \quad 2 \quad 1]$$

$$x(2) = \text{col}[-4 \quad -7 \quad -1]$$

$$x(3) = \text{col}[0 \quad 9 \quad 1]$$

The program receives the input-output variables and the model parameters and computes the state $x(3)$. As seen by the results in List 4, the result is correct. Notice that the erroneous value of $A\$3 = 1000$ instead of zero does not influence the results.

Example 4.2

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] x(t)$$

Assume

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= 1 \\ u(1) &= -1 \\ u(2) &= 1 \\ u(3) &= 6000 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x(0) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x(1) &= \text{col}[3 \quad 2 \quad 0] \\ x(2) &= \text{col}[-4 \quad -8 \quad -9] \\ x(3) &= \text{col}[-1 \quad 1 \quad 12] \end{aligned}$$

The program printout in List 4 shows that the calculation of $x(3)$ is correct. The erroneous value of $BS(3) = 1000$ instead of zero does not influence the result because $NB = 2$.

Example 4.3

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] x(t)$$

Assume

$$u(0) = 1$$

$$u(1) = -1$$

$$u(2) = 1$$

and

$$x(0) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Then

$$x(1) = \text{col}[3 \quad 2 \quad 1]$$

$$x(2) = \text{col}[-4 \quad -7 \quad -10]$$

$$x(3) = \text{col}[0 \quad 0 \quad 13]$$

The printout of the results shown in List 4 shows that the calculation of $x(3)$ is correct.

List 4. PRINTOUT OF RESULTS OF PROGRAM TSTU22.

16.

VECTORS AS AND BS Example 4.1
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+04
0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+01
VECTORS U AND Y
0.6000E+04 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
0.0000E+00 -0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01
THE STATE IS
0.0000E+00 0.9000E+01 0.1000E+01 *ok*
PREDICTED STATE
0.1801E+05 0.1200E+05 0.6000E+04
VECTORS AS AND BS Example 4.2
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01
0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+04
VECTORS U AND Y
0.6000E+04 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
-0.1000E+01 -0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01
THE STATE IS
-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1200E+02 *ok*
PREDICTED STATE
0.1800E+05 0.1201E+05 0.6000E+07
VECTORS AS AND BS Example 4.3
0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.3000E+01
0.3000E+01 0.2000E+01 0.1000E+01
VECTORS U AND Y
0.6000E+04 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
0.0000E+00 -0.4000E+01 0.3000E+01
THE STATE IS
0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.1300E+02 *ok*
PREDICTED STATE
0.1800E+05 0.1201E+05 0.6000E+04

5. PREDICTION

The state variable $x(t)$ given by (4.1) is a function of the data obtained up to time t . When it is postulated that the control signal to be applied at time $t + 1$ should be a function of data observed up to time t only, it is necessary to predict the state i.e. compute $\hat{x}(t+1|t)$ and use the feedback law

$$u(t+1) = -L \hat{x}(t+1|t)$$

The prediction is easily obtained using the state space representation; we have

$$\hat{x}(t+1|t) = \Phi x(t) + \Gamma u(t) \quad (5.1)$$

The corresponding code is already given in List 5. The program TSTU23 only differs from TSTU22 in the sense that the data supplied is somewhat different. If it is desired to have a control law in such a way that $u(t)$ is a function of data obtained up to time t i.e. $y(t)$, $y(t-1), \dots$, the only modification of the program that is necessary is to delete the prediction step. The prediction step is tested by the test examples 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3. The predicted states should be

List 5. PROGRAM FOR TESTING GENERATION OF STATE
VARIABLES AND PREDICTION

18.

```
C TSTU23
C THIS PROGRAM TESTS COMPUTATION OF STATE VARIABLES IN STURE?
C DIMENSION AS(12),BS(12),Y(12),U(12),X(12)
N=3
1 I1=1
GO TO (2,3,4,5),I1
2 I1=2
NA=2
NB=3
K=0
Y(1)=-4.
Y(2)=3.
Y(3)=0.
U(1)=1.
U(2)=-1.
U(3)=1.
AS(1)=1.
AS(2)=2.
AS(3)=0.
BS(1)=3.
BS(2)=2.
BS(3)=1.
GO TO 50
C
3 I1=3
NA=3
NB=2
K=0
AS(3)=3.
BS(3)=0.
GO TO 50
4 I1=4
RS(3)=1.
NB=3
GO TO 50
5 STOP
50 CONTINUE
N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
NAP1=NA+1
WRITE (6,110)
110 FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
WRITE (6,100) (AS(I),I=1,N)
WRITE (6,100) (BS(I),I=1,N)
100 FORMAT (10E12.4)
WRITE (6,111)
111 FORMAT (16H VECTORS U AND Y)
WRITE (6,100) (U(I),I=1,N)
WRITE (6,100) (Y(I),I=1,N)
X(1)=Y(1)
IF(NA-NB-K) 40,41,42
40 DO 43 I=2,NA
NS=NA-I+1
NSB=N-I+1
43 X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NSB)
DO 44 I=NAP1,N
NS=N-I+1
44 X(I)=SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NS)
GO TO 49
41 DO 45 I=2,N
NS=N-I+1
45 X(I)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NS)
GO TO 49
42 N1=NB+K
```

```
DO 46 I=2,N1
NSA=N-1+1
NS=N1-1+1
46 X(1)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NSA)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,U(2),1,NS)
N1=N1+1
DO 47 I=N1,N
NS=N-N1+1
47 X(1)=-SCAPRO(AS(1),1,Y(2),1,NS)
CONTINUE
WRITE (6,112)
WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
112 FORMAT (13H THE STATE IS)
C
C PREDICT STATE VARIABLES
C
RS=X(1)
NM1=N-1
DO 60 I=1,NM1
60 X(I)=-AS(I)*RS+X(I+1)+BS(I)*U(I)
X(N)=-AS(N)*RS+BS(N)*U(1)
C
WRITE (6,113)
113 FORMAT (16H PREDICTED STATE)
WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
GO TO 1
END
```

To test the program the systems used in the previous section are exploited. The calculated state agrees with $x(2)$ and the predicted state is equal to $x(3)$ since there are no measurement errors. The printout of the test program is given in List 6.

List 6. PRINTOUT OF TESTPROGRAM TSTU23 FOR TESTING
PREDICTION OF STATES.

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01	0.2000E+01	0.0000E+00
0.3000E+01	0.2000E+01	0.1000E+01

Example 4.1

VECTORS U AND Y

0.1000E+01	-0.1000E+01	0.1000E+01
-0.4000E+01	0.3000E+01	0.0000E+00

THE STATE IS

-0.4000E+01	-0.7000E+01	-0.1000E+01
-------------	-------------	-------------

OK

PREDICTED STATE

0.0000E+00	0.9000E+01	0.1000E+01
------------	------------	------------

OK

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01	0.2000E+01	0.3000E+01
0.3000E+01	0.2000E+01	0.0000E+00

Example 4.2

VECTORS U AND Y

0.1000E+01	-0.1000E+01	0.1000E+01
-0.4000E+01	0.3000E+01	0.0000E+00

THE STATE IS

-0.4000E+01	-0.8000E+01	-0.9000E+01
-------------	-------------	-------------

OK

PREDICTED STATE

-0.1000E+01	0.1000E+01	0.1200E+02
-------------	------------	------------

OK

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01	0.2000E+01	0.3000E+01
0.3000E+01	0.2000E+01	0.1000E+01

Example 4.3

VECTORS U AND Y

0.1000E+01	-0.1000E+01	0.1000E+01
-0.4000E+01	0.3000E+01	0.0000E+00

THE STATE IS

-0.4000E+01	-0.7000E+01	-0.1000E+02
-------------	-------------	-------------

OK

PREDICTED STATE

0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.1300E+02
------------	------------	------------

OK

6. THE CONTROL LAW

The control law will now be determined. We will then assume complete separation of identification and control i.e. we will determine a control law based on the assumption that the estimated parameters are correct. The parameter uncertainties will thus be neglected. The system model is given by

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ -a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$y(t) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ x(t)] \quad (6.1)$$

The criterion is chosen as

$$\sum_{t=1}^N [y^2(t) + q u^2(t)] \quad (6.2)$$

The control strategy, which minimizes (6.2), is given by

$$u(t) = -L(t)x(t) \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$L(t) = B^T S(t) A / (q + B^T S(t) B) \quad (6.4)$$

and S is the solution of the riccatiequation

$$S(t-1) = A^T S(t) (A - BL(t)) + Q_1 \quad (6.5)$$

with the initial condition

$$S(N) = 0 \quad (6.6)$$

The matrices A, B, and Q are given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & \\ -a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_1 = \text{diag}[1, 0, \dots, 0] \quad (6.7)$$

The computations are organized as follows

$$B^T S \rightarrow S_1$$

$$B^T S B + Q_2 = SIB + Q_2 \rightarrow S_3$$

$$B^T S A / R_3 = SIA / R_3 \rightarrow L$$

$$A - BL \rightarrow S_1$$

$$SA \rightarrow S_2$$

$$S = S_2^T S_1 + Q_1$$

The algorithm is given in a special subroutine CORI. See List 7. Notice that the riccatiequation may have several solutions. To obtain the solution so that all poles of the closed loop system are inside the unit circle, it is necessary to start the iteration with a positive definite matrix.

List 7. SUBROUTINE FOR STEADY STATE SOLUTION OF
RICCATI EQUATION

```

SUBROUTINE COR1(A,B,Q2,S,AL,N,R3,IS)
C THIS SUBROUTINE ITERATES THE RICCATI EQUATION
C      S=A*T*S*(A-B*L)+Q1      (*)
C      L=B*T*S*A/(Q2+B*T*S*B)  (**)
C IN THE SPECIAL CASE WHEN
C      A IS A COMPANION MATRIX
C      Q1=DIAG(1,0,...,0)
C      B IS A VECTOR
C
C AUTHOR K.J. ASTROM 72-01-03
C
C      A = VECTOR CONTAINING THE FIRST COLUMN
C            OF THE MATRIX A IN (*) I.E. A(1,1)=A(1)
C      B = VECTOR B IN (*) AND (**)
C      Q2 = SCALAR Q2 IN (**)
C      S = SOLUTION OF RICCATI EQUATION
C      AL = VECTOR AL IN (**)
C      N = ACTUAL ORDER OF SYSTEM
C      IS = DIMENSION PARAMETER OF MATRIX S
C      R3 = DENOMINATOR (Q2+B*T*S*B)
C
C USES DUM7 AND DUM8 OF COMMON/SLASK/
C
C      DIMENSION A(1),B(1),AL(1),S(1,1)
C      COMMON /SLASK/DUM(384),S1(8,8),S2(8,8)
C
C      DO 10 I=1,N
10    S1(1,1)=SCAPRO(B(1),1,S(1,1),1,N)
      R3=SCAPRO(B(1),1,S1(1,1),1,N)+Q2
C
C      R3 NOW CONTAINS BT*S*B+Q2
C
      AL(1)=-SCAPRO(S1(1,1),1,A(1),1,N)/R3.
      DO 14 I=2,N
      11=I-1
14    AL(I)=S1(1,1)/R3
C
C      COMPUTATION OF L=B*T*S*A/(Q2+B*T*S*B) COMPLETE
C      RESULT STORED IN VECTOR AL
C
      R=AL(1)
      DO 20 I=1,N
20    S1(1,1)=-A(1)*B(1)*R
      DO 22 J=2,N
      R=AL(J)
      DO 22 I=1,N
      R1=0.
      IF (I+1-J) 22,23,22
      R1=1.
      S1(I,J)=R1*B(I)*R

```

```
C  
C      S1 NOW CONTAINS A-B*L.  
C  
24      DO 24 I=1,N  
        S2(I,1)=SCAPRO(A(I),1,S(I,1),IS,N)  
        NM1=N-1  
        DO 26 J=1,NM1  
        CALL MOVE(S(I,J),S2(I,J+1),N+N)  
C  
C      S2 NOW CONTAINS S*A  
C  
        DO 30 I=1,N  
        DO 30 J=1,N  
  
30      S(I,J)=SCAPRO(S2(I,I),1,S1(I,J),1,N)  
        S(1,1)=S(1,1)+1.  
        RETURN  
        END
```

To test the subroutine CORI a special conversational program has been written which enables the user to enter system and loss function from teletype. This program is given in List 8. The program will be tested against analytical solutions.

List 8. CONVERSATIONAL PROGRAM FOR USING CORI

```

C THIS IS A PROGRAM FOR USING CORI. THE PROGRAM REQUESTS
C DATA FROM TTY, CALLS CORI AND PRINTS THE RESULTS ON DEV 6
DIMENSION A(16),B(16),AL(16),S(16,16)
DATA AY/3HYES/
1 N1=1
CALL ATTLP6(1)
WRITE (6,100)
100 FORMAT (11H TYPE ORDER)
ICNTRL=1
N=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
WRITE (6,101)
101 FORMAT (19H TYPE ELEMENTS OF A)
ICNTRL=1
DO 10 I=1,N
10 A(I)=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
C
WRITE (6,102)
102 FORMAT(19H TYPE ELEMENTS OF B)
ICNTRL=1
DO 12 I=1,N
12 B(I)=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
C
WRITE (6,104)
104 FORMAT (8H TYPE 02)
ICNTRL=1
Q2=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
WRITE (6,106)
106 FORMAT (26H TYPE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS)
ICNTRL=1
N2=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
C
INITIALIZE S
DO 20 I=1,N
DO 20 J=1,N
20 S(I,J)=0.0
DO 21 I=1,N
21 S(I,I)=1.0
C
MAIN LOOP
25 DO 30 IT=N1,N2
30 CALL CORICA,B,Q2,S,AL,N,R3)
C
WRITE (6,200)
200 FORMAT (9H S-MATRIX)
DO 40 I=1,N
40 WRITE (6,300) (S(I,J),J=1,N)
FORMAT (6E12.4)
WRITE (6,202)
FORMAT (9H L-VECTOR)
WRITE (6,300) (AL(I),I=1,N)
C
WRITE (6,204)
204 FORMAT (24H DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE)
READ (8,206) ANS
206 FORMAT (A5)
IF (ANS.NE.AY) GO TO 1
N1=N2
WRITE (6,208)
208 FORMAT (37H TYPE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITERATIONS)

```

Example 6.1

Consider the system

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

with the criterion defined by

$$Q_1 = 1, \quad Q_2 = 0$$

To find the steady state solution to the riccatiequation and the control law it is necessary to separate two cases.

Case 1. $|b| \leq 1$

In this case the Riccati equation has one steady state solution

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding optimal control law is given by

$$L = [-a \quad 1]$$

Case 2. $|b| > 1$

In this case the riccatiequation has two non-negative solutions. The one previously given and

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & s_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$s_1 = \frac{(b-a)^2 + a^2(b^2-1)}{(a-b)^2}$$

$$s_2 = -\frac{a(b^2-1)}{(a-b)^2}$$

$$s_3 = \frac{b^2 - 1}{(a-b)^2}$$

The corresponding control law is given by

$$L = \frac{1 - ab}{b(a-b)} [-a - 1]$$

The program CORI will now be tested using some specific numerical values

$$a = 0.5$$

$$b = 2$$

The analytical solution gives

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 4/3 & -2/3 \\ -2/3 & 4/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = [0 \quad 0]$$

The results obtained when using UCORI conversational are given next

```

LOADER V9A
><UCORI.\CORI

TYPE ORDER
#2
TYPE ELEMENTS OF A
#1 0.
TYPE ELEMENTS OF B
#1 . 2.
TYPE Q2
#2 .
TYPE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS
#2
S-MATRIX
 0.1286E+01 -0.5714E+02
-0.5714E+02  2.1143E+01
L-VECTOR
-2.7143E-01  3.1429E+02
DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE
NO

YES
TYPE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITERATIONS
#10
S-MATRIX
 0.1333E+01 -0.6667E+02
-2.6667E+02  0.1333E+01
L-VECTOR
-0.2235E-07  2.4473E-07
DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE
NO

```

The program will thus give the correct results after 10 iterations.

Example 6.2

Consider the system

$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 & 1 \\ 0.7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.9 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

with the criterion $Q_2 = 0$. It is straightforward to show that

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

L = [-1.5 1]

The results obtained by CORI are given below.

```
TYPE ORDER
#2
TYPE ELEMENTS OF A
#1.5 -.7
TYPE ELEMENTS OF E
#1 .5
TYPE Q2
#2
TYPE NUMBER OF ITERATIONS
#10
S-MATRIX
 0.1273E+01 -0.3187E-01
-0.3187E-01 0.1399E-01
L-VECTOR
-0.1549E+01 0.1021E+01
DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE
YES
TYPE NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL ITERATIONS
#100
S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 0.5315E-07
0.6661E-07 -0.2561E-07
L-VECTOR
-0.1520E+01 0.1002E+01
DO YOU WISH TO CONTINUE
↑C
```

7. SCALING

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) + a_1 y(t-1) + \dots + a_n y(t-n) &= b_1 u(t-k-1) + \dots + \\ &+ b_m u(t-k-m) + v(t-k-m) \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Now this equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) + (a_1/r_o)[r_o y(t-1)] + \dots + (a_n/r_o^n)[r_o^n y(t-n)] &= \\ = (b_1/r_o)[r_o u(t-k-1)] + \dots + (b_m/r_o^m)[r_o^m u(t-k-m)] &+ v(t-k-m) \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

The models (7.1) and (7.2) are obviously identical. The polynomial

$$\hat{B}(z) = z^{m-1}(b_1/r_o) + z^{m-2}b_2/r_o^2 + \dots + b_m/r_o^m$$

has zeros outside the unit circle if the polynomial B has zeros outside the circle $|z| = r_o$. Solving the riccati-equation associated with \hat{A} and \hat{B} it is then insured that the zeros of \hat{B} outside $|z| = r_o$ are reflected inside the circle. An example illustrates the point.

Example 7.1

Consider the system

$$y(t) + a y(t-1) = b u(t-1)$$

The strategy which minimized $\sum y^2(t)$ is

$$u(t) = \frac{a^2}{b} y(t-1) + a u(t-1)$$

If the variables are transformed we get

$$\hat{y}(t) + \hat{a} \hat{y}(t-1) = \hat{b} \hat{u}(t-1)$$

where

$$\hat{a} = a/r_o, \quad \hat{b} = b/r_o, \quad \hat{y}(t-1) = r_o \hat{y}(t-1), \quad \hat{u}(t) = r_o \hat{u}(t-1).$$

Hence

$$\hat{u}(t) = \frac{\hat{a}^2}{\hat{b}} \hat{y}(t-1) + \hat{a} \hat{u}(t-1) = \frac{a^2}{b} y(t) + a u(t-1)$$

The code for the scaling is given previously.

8. THE COMPLETE ALGORITHM

A FORTRAN program for the complete algorithm is given in the Appendix. A test program TSTU2 was written in order to test the algorithm. A listing of this program is found in List 9. In the test program the parameters a_1 , b_1 and b_2 are read from the teletype. The program then computes the steady state solution to the riccatiequation and the feedback gains. A sequence of inputs and outputs are then generated and fed into the algorithm. The control actions computed by STURE, as well as intermediate results, are then printed.

```

001      C      TSTU2
002      C      THIS IS A TEST PROGRAM FOR STURE2
003      C
004      .      DIMENSION U(10),Y(10),UM(10),YM(10),UC(10),TH(12),P(7,7),S(6,6)
005      .      1,SO(6,6)
006      .      DIMENSION A(10),B(10)
007      .      DIMENSION UCC(10)
008      .      COMMON /SLASK/ DUM(272),AS(8),BS(8),US(8),YS(8),X(8),AL(8)
009      .      1,R(8,8),DUM78(128)
010      C
011      .      NA=1
012      .      NB=2
013      .      K=0
014      .      N=2
015      .      NP=3
016      .      IP=7
017      .      IS=6
018      .      RL=3
019      .      R0=1
020      .      NPT=9
021      .      LLDP=9
022      .      A(1)=.7
023      .      B(1)=1.
024      .      B(2)=.8
025      99      WRITE (6,250)
026      250      FORMAT (1H1)
027      C
028      .      WRITE (9,300)
029      300      FORMAT (23H TYPE R0, B(1) AND B(2))
030      .      ICNTRL=1
031      .      R0=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
032      .      B(1)=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
033      .      B(2)=RTTFF(ICNTRL)
034      .      A1=A(1)/R0
035      .      B1=B(1)/R0
036      .      B2=B(2)/(R0**2)
037      C
038      .      IF (B1-B2) 1,1,2
039      C
040      1      S(2,2)=(B2**2-B1**2)/((A1*B1-B2)**2)
041      .      S(1,2)=-A1*S(2,2)
042      .      S(2,1)=S(1,2)
043      .      S(1,1)=1.+A1**2*S(2,2)
044      .      GO TO 3
045      2      S(1,1)=1.
046      .      S(1,2)=0;
047      .      S(2,1)=0.
048      .      S(2,2)=0.
049      C
050      3      RS=S(1,1)*B1*B1+2.*S(1,2)*B1*B2+S(2,2)*B2*B2
051      .      RS=(S(1,1)*B1+S(1,2)*B2)/RS
052      .      AL(1)=-A1*RS
053      .      AL(2)=RS
054      C
055      .      WRITE (6,200) R0
056      200     FORMAT (15H0TEST OF STURE2,4H R0=,F8.4)
057      .      WRITE (6,201)
058      201     FORMAT (25H TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS)
059      .      WRITE (6,202)
060      202     FORMAT (16H A AND B VECTORS)
061      .      WRITE (6,100) (A(I),I=1,NA)
062      .      WRITE (6,100) (B(I),I=1,NB)
063      .      WRITE (6,203)

```

```

064      203   FORMAT (9H S-MATRIX)
065      DO 10  I=1,N
066      10    WRITE (6,100) (S(I,J),J=1,N)
067      WRITE (6,204)
068      .204   FORMAT (9H L-VECTOR)
069      WRITE (6,100) (AL(I),I=1,N)
070      C     GENERATE INPUTS AND OUTPUTS
071      UM(1)=~1.
072      UM(2)= 1.
073      UM(3)= 1.
074      UM(4)= 1.
075      UM(5)=~1.
076      UM(6)= 1.
077      UM(7)=~1.
078      UM(8)=~1.
079      UM(9)= 1.
080      UM(10)=1.
081      YM(1)=0.
082      YM(2)=0.
083      UC(1)=0.
084      UC(2)=0.
085      DO 20  I=2,NPT
086      20    YM(I+1)=~A(1)*YM(I)+B(1)*UM(I)+B(2)*UM(I-1)
087      C     INITIALIZE
088      Y(1)=YM(3)
089      Y(2)=YM(2)
090      U(1)=UM(3)
091      U(2)=UM(2)
092      U(3)=UM(1)
093      C
094      DO 30  I=1,NP
095      DO 30  J=1,NP
096      30    P(I,J)=0.
097      DO 31  I=1,NP
098      TH(I)=1.
099      31    P(I,I)=1.E5
100      C     MAIN LOOP
101      DO 50  L=4,LLOP
102      DO 51  I=1,N
103      DO 51  J=1,N
104      51    SO(I,J)=S(I,J),
105      IOF#0
106      CALL TIME10(IM,ISEC,IS10,IOF)
107      CALL STURE2(Y,U,TH,P,SO,RL,RD,NA,NB,K,IP,IS)
108      IOF=1
109      UC(L)=U(1)
110      U(1)=UM(L)
111      Y(1)=YM(L)
112      C
113      WRITE (6,210)
114      210   FORMAT(23H0PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH)
115      WRITE (6,100) (TH(I),I=1,NP)
116      WRITE (6,211)
117      211   FORMAT (18H COVARIANCE MATRIX)
118      DO 40  I=1,NP
119      40    WRITE (6,100) (P(I,J),J=1,NP)
120      WRITE (6,212)
121      212   FORMAT (18H VECTORS AS AND BS)
122      WRITE (6,100) (AS(I),I=1,N)
123      WRITE (6,100) (BS(I),I=1,N)
124      WRITE (6,213)
125      213   FORMAT (13H STATE VECTOR)
126      WRITE (6,100) (X(I),I=1,N)
127      WRITE (6,240)

```

```

128   240 FORMAT (25H SCALED VECTORS YS AND US)
129   WRITE (6,100) (YS(I),I=1,NA)
130   WRITE (6,100) (US(I),I=1,NB)
131   WRITE (6,203)
132   DO 42 I=1,N
133   42  WRITE (6,100) (SB(I,J),J=1,N)
134   WRITE (6,204)
135   WRITE (6,100) (AL(I),I=1,N)
136   WRITE (6,205)
137   205 FORMAT (19H CONTROL VARIABLE U)
138   WRITE (6,100) (U(I),I=1,3)
139   WRITE (6,206)
140   206 FORMAT (15H PROCESS OUTPUT)
141   IS1=60*IM+ISEC
142   TIM=FLOAT(IS1)+.1*FLOAT(IS10)
143   WRITE (6,100) (Y(I),I=1,2)
144   WRITE (6,214) TIM
145   50  CONTINUE
146   WRITE (6,207)
147   207 FORMAT (18H PROCESS INPUTS UM)
148   WRITE (6,100) (UM(I),I=1,NPT)
149   WRITE (6,208)
150   208 FORMAT (19H PROCESS OUTPUTS YM)
151   WRITE (6,100) (YM(I),I=1,NPT)
152   WRITE (6,209)
153   209 FORMAT (30H COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC)
154   WRITE (6,100) (UC(I),I=1,NPT)
155   100  FORMAT (5E12.4)
156   214  FORMAT (15H COMPUTING TIME,F5.1,4H SEC)
157   C
158   C COMPUTE CORRECT VALUES OF US
159   C
160   IF(B1-B2) 70,71,71
161   71  DO 72 I=4,NPT
162   SLAS=(A(1)*B(2)/B(1))*UM(I-2)
163   UCC(I)=-(A(1)**2/B(1))*YM(I-1)+(B(2)-A(1)*B(1))/B(1)*UM(I-1)+SLA
164   WRITE (6,230)
165   230 FORMAT (36H CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES)
166   WRITE (6,100) (UCC(I),I=1,NPT)
167   GO TO 99
168   70  BET=B(1)/B(2)
169   F1=BET-A(1)
170   F2=A(1)*(A(1)-BET)/(1.-A(1)*BET)
171   G0=-A(1)*F2
172   DO 74 I=4,NPT
173   74  UCC(I)=G0/B(2)*YM(I-1)+F1*UM(I-1)+F2*UM(I-2)
174   WRITE (6,230)
175   WRITE (6,100) (UCC(I),I=1,NPT)
176   GO TO 99
177   END

```

Example 8.1

The following example was used as a test example.

$$y(t) + a y(t-1) = b_1 u(t-1) + b_2 u(t-2)$$

The control strategy is

$$u(t) = -\frac{a^2}{b_1} y(t-1) - \frac{b_2 - ab_1}{b_1} u(t-1) + \frac{ab_2}{b_1} u(t-2)$$

The numerical values used are

$$a = 0.7, \quad b_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = 0.8$$

They give the control law

$$u_c(t) = -0.49y_m(t-1) - 0.1u_m(t-1) + 0.56u_m(t-2)$$

Introducing the following values for y_m and u_m

t	$y_m(t)$	$u_m(t)$
4		1
5	0.6380	-1
6	0.6466	1
7	0.6526	-1
8	0.6568	-1

we get

$$u_c(6) = 0.3474$$

$$u_c(7) = -0.3432$$

$$u_c(8) = 0.3502$$

$$u_c(9) = -0.1382$$

As is shown in the enclosed printout, List 10, the parameter estimates are correct after 6 steps and the computed actions are also correct. It is also shown that the results are invariant with respect to r_o unless r_o is chosen so small that the polynomial \tilde{B} has a zero outside $|z| = r_o$. See List 11.

TEST OF STURE2 R0= 1.0000
TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS

A AND B VECTORS

0.7000E+00

0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00

S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

L-VECTOR

-0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.1000E+01 0.1100E+01 0.9000E+00

COVARIANCE MATRIX

0.1000E+06 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05

0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00

0.1100E+01 0.9000E+00

STATE VECTOR

0.1800E+01 0.9000E+00

SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

0.2000E+00

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.3325E+08

0.3325E+08 -0.3325E+08

L-VECTOR

-0.9091E+00 0.9091E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT

0.1660E+01 0.2000E+00

COMPUTING TIME: 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.1014E+01 0.1031E+01 0.8314E+00

COVARIANCE MATRIX

0.9804E+05 0.9804E+04 0.9804E+04

0.9804E+04 0.9809E+03 0.9804E+03

0.9804E+04 0.9804E+03 0.9809E+03

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1014E+01 0.0000E+00

0.1031E+01 0.8314E+00

STATE VECTOR

0.1800E+00 0.8314E+00

SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

0.1660E+01

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.1432E+07

0.1551E+07 -0.1412E+07

L-VECTOR

-0.9829E+00 0.9696E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT

0.6380E+00 0.1660E+01

COMPUTING TIME: 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.9384E+00 0.4364E+00 0.4364E+00
 0.4364E+00 0.5780E+00 0.7799E+01
 0.4364E+00 0.7799E+01 0.5780E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6466E+00 -0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.6380E+00
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 -0.7552E+00
 -0.1352E+07 0.1079E+07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6466E+00 0.6380E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7879E+00 0.2408E+00 0.4921E+00
 0.2408E+00 0.3236E+00 0.1504E+00
 0.4921E+00 0.1504E+00 0.5574E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 0.6526E+00 0.0000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.6466E+00
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 -0.7552E+00
 -0.1352E+07 0.1079E+07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.6526E+00 -0.6466E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7459E+00 0.1872E+00 0.5066E+00
 0.1872E+00 0.2553E+00 0.1688E+00
 0.5066E+00 0.1688E+00 0.5524E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6568E+00 -0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.6526E+00
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6568E+00 0.6526E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.1 SEC

 PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7255E+00 0.1617E+00 0.5131E+00
 0.1617E+00 0.2236E+00 0.1769E+00
 0.5131E+00 0.1769E+00 0.5504E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.1340E+01 -0.8000E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.6568E+00
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 0.3758E-08
 0.6726E-08 -0.5368E-08
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.1340E+01 -0.6568E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC
 PROCESS INPUTS UM
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUTS YM
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.1660E+01 0.6380E+00
 -0.6466E+00 0.6526E+00 -0.6568E+00 -0.1340E+01
 COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.8182E+00 -0.6292E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00
 CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.3620E+00 -0.3534E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

OK

TEST OF STURE2 RO= 0.9000
TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS
A AND B VECTORS
0.7000E+00
0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
L-VECTOR
-0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00

PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH
0.1000E+01 0.1100E+01 0.9000E+00
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.1000E+06 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05
VECTORS AS AND BS
0.1111E+01 0.0000E+00
0.1222E+01 0.1111E+01
STATE VECTOR
0.1800E+01 0.1000E+01
SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
0.1800E+00
0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.6631E-08
0.1584E-07 -0.5968E-08
L-VECTOR
-0.9091E+00 0.8182E+00
CONTROL VARIABLE U
0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
PROCESS OUTPUT
0.1660E+01 0.2000E+00
COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH
0.1014E+01 0.1031E+01 0.6314E+00
COVARIANCE MATRIX
0.9804E+05 0.9804E+04 0.9804E+04
0.9804E+04 0.9809E+03 0.9804E+03
0.9804E+04 0.9804E+03 0.9809E+03
VECTORS AS AND BS
0.1126E+01 0.0000E+00
0.1146E+01 0.1026E+01
STATE VECTOR
0.1800E+00 0.9237E+00
SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
0.1494E+01
0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
S-MATRIX
0.1000E+01 0.4202E-07
0.2944E-07 -0.3730E-07
L-VECTOR
-0.9829E+00 0.8726E+00
CONTROL VARIABLE U
-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
PROCESS OUTPUT
-0.6380E+00 0.1660E+01
COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH

0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.9384E+00 0.4364E+00 0.4364E+00
 0.4364E+00 0.5780E+00 0.7799E+01
 0.4364E+00 0.7799E+01 0.5780E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6466E+00 -0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.5742E+00
 -0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 -0.3236E+07
 -0.4285E+07 0.4161E+07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6466E+00 0.6380E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7879E+00 0.2408E+00 0.4921E+00
 0.2408E+00 0.3236E+00 0.1504E+00
 0.4921E+00 0.1504E+00 0.5574E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 0.6526E+00 0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.5819E+00
 0.9000E+00 -0.8100E+00
 S-MATRIX
 0.1000E+01 0.1215E+07
 0.1361E+07 -0.1562E+07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.6526E+00 -0.6466E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7459E+00 0.1872E+00 0.5066E+00
 0.1872E+00 0.2553E+00 0.1688E+00
 0.5066E+00 0.1688E+00 0.5524E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.6568E+00 -0.8889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.5874E+00
 -0.9000E+00 0.8100E+00
 S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 -0.2051E-07
 -0.2510E-07 0.2636E-07
 L-VECTOR
 -0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.6568E+00 0.6526E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.8000E+00
 COVARIANCE MATRIX

0.7255E+00 0.1617E+00 0.5131E+00
 0.1617E+00 0.2236E+00 0.1769E+00
 0.5131E+00 0.1769E+00 0.5504E+00

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.7778E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1111E+01 0.9877E+00

STATE VECTOR

-0.1340E+01 -0.6889E+00
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

-0.5912E+00

-0.9000E+00 -0.8100E+00

S-MATRIX

0.1000E+01 0.2703E-07
 0.2299E-07 -0.3475E-07

L-VECTOR

-0.7000E+00 0.9000E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT

-0.1340E+01 -0.6568E+00

COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PROCESS INPUTS UM

-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUTS YM

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.2000E+00 0.1660E+01 0.6380E+00
 -0.6466E+00 0.6526E+00 -0.6568E+00 -0.1340E+01

COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.8182E+00 -0.6292E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES

0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.3620E+00 -0.3534E+00
 0.3474E+00 -0.3432E+00 0.3402E+00 -0.1382E+00

OK

List 12. PRINTOUT FROM TSTU2 FOR R0 = 1, B(1) = 1,
B(2) = 0.8.

42.

TEST OF STURE2 R0 = 1.0000

TRUE VALUE OF PARAMETERS

A AND B VECTORS

0.7000E+00

0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01

-0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01

L-VECTOR

0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.1000E+01 0.5000E+00 0.1500E+01

COVARIANCE MATRIX

0.1000E+06 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00

0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05

0.0000E+00 0.5000E+05 0.5000E+05

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1000E+01 0.0000E+00

0.5000E+00 0.1500E+01

STATE VECTOR

0.3000E+01 0.1500E+01

SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

-0.1000E+01

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.3000E+01 -0.2000E+01

-0.2000E+01 0.2000E+01

L-VECTOR

0.6667E+00 -0.6667E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT

0.3700E+01 -0.1000E+01

COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.1233E+01 0.7333E+00 0.1733E+01

COVARIANCE MATRIX

0.6667E+05 -0.3333E+05 -0.3333E+05

-0.3333E+05 0.1667E+05 0.1667E+05

-0.3333E+05 0.1667E+05 0.1667E+05

VECTORS AS AND BS

0.1233E+01 0.0000E+00

0.7333E+00 0.1733E+01

STATE VECTOR

-0.2097E+01 0.1733E+01

SCALED VECTORS YS AND US

0.3700E+01

0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX

0.6461E+01 -0.4428E+01

-0.4428E+01 0.3590E+01

L-VECTOR

0.1206E+01 -0.9775E+00

CONTROL VARIABLE U

-0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT

0.4100E+00 0.3700E+01

COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH

0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.8985E-01 0.6098E-01 0.6098E-01
 0.6098E-01 0.4154E+00 -0.8455E-01
 0.6098E-01 -0.8455E-01 0.4154E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 0.7130E+00 -0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.4100E+00
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.7130E+00 0.4100E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.8917E-01 0.5138E-01 0.6966E-01
 0.5138E-01 0.2787E+00 0.3920E-01
 0.6966E-01 0.3920E-01 0.3035E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 -0.1499E+01 0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.7130E+00
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX
 0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.1499E+01 0.7130E+00
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PARAMETERS ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.8492E-01 0.6192E-01 0.5335E-01
 0.6192E-01 0.2525E+00 0.7963E-01
 0.5335E-01 0.7963E-01 0.2409E+00
 VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 STATE VECTOR
 0.2049E+01 -0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 -0.1499E+01
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 S-MATRIX

0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01
 L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01
 PROCESS OUTPUT
 0.2049E+01 -0.1499E+01
 COMPUTING TIME 0.1 SEC

PARAMETES ESTIMATES TH
 0.7000E+00 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01
 COVARIANCE MATRIX
 0.7552E-01 0.6826E-01 0.3424E-01
 0.6826E-01 0.2483E+00 0.9251E+01
 0.3424E-01 0.9251E-01 0.2021E+00

VECTORS AS AND BS
 0.7000E+00 0.0000E+00
 0.1000E+01 0.2000E+01

STATE VECTOR
 -0.4435E+01 -0.2000E+01
 SCALED VECTORS YS AND US
 0.2049E+01
 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

S-MATRIX
 0.1870E+01 -0.1243E+01
 -0.1243E+01 0.1775E+01

L-VECTOR
 0.1077E+00 -0.1538E+00
 CONTROL VARIABLE U
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUT
 -0.4435E+01 0.2049E+01
 COMPUTING TIME 0.2 SEC

PROCESS INPUTS UM
 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01
 0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 -0.1000E+01 0.1000E+01

PROCESS OUTPUTS YM
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 -0.1000E+01 0.3700E+01 0.4100E+00
 0.7130E+00 -0.1499E+01 0.2049E+01 -0.4435E+01

COMPUTED CONTROL VARIABLES UC
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 -0.1000E+01 0.4222E+01
 -0.3845E+00 0.4691E+00 -0.5284E+00 0.1699E+00

CORRECT VALUES OF CONTROL VARIABLES
 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 0.0000E+00 -0.9077E-01 0.2635E+00
 -0.3845E+00 0.4691E+00 -0.5284E+00 0.1699E+00

APPENDIX

SUBROUTINE STURE2(Y,U,TH,P,S,RL,RO,NA,NB,K,IP,IS)

SELF-TUNING REGULATOR BASED ON LEAST SQUARES IDENTIFICATION
AND MINIMUM VARIANCE CONTROL
THE ALGORITHM IS BASED ON THE MODEL

$$Y(T) + A(1)*Y(T-1) + \dots + A(NA)*Y(T-NA) = \\ B(1)*U(T-K-1) + \dots + B(NB)*U(T-K-NB) \quad (*)$$

AT EACH STEP THE LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES OF THE MODEL
PARAMETERS ARE COMPUTED. THE PROCESS INPUT U(T+1) TO BE
APPLIED TO THE PROCESS AT TIME T+1 IS THEN COMPUTED
FROM THE SOLUTION OF THE RICCATI EQUATION WHICH MINIMIZES
SUM Y(T)**2 UNDER THE CONSTRAINT THAT ALL POLES OF
THE CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM ARE WITHIN THE UNIT CIRCLE

WHEN APPLYING THE ALGORITHM THE PROCESS OUTPUT IS THUS READ
AT TIME T, THE PROCESS INPUT U(T+1) TO BE APPLIED AT TIME T+1
IS THEN COMPUTED AT THE TIME INTERVAL (T,T+1)

AUTHOR KJ ASTROM 72-01-11

Y=VECTOR OF SCALED PROCESS INPUTS OF DIMENSION NA+1
AND ORGANIZED AS FOLLOWS

$$Y(1)=Y(T)$$

$$Y(2)=Y(T-1)$$

RETURNED AS Y(T)

...

$$Y(NA+1)=Y(T-NA)$$

RETURNED AS Y(T-NA+1)

U=VECTOR OF PROCESS OUTPUTS OF DIMENSION NB+K+1
ORGANIZED AS FOLLOWS:

$$U(1)=U(T)$$

RETURNED AS U(T+1)

$$U(2)=U(T-1)$$

RETURNED AS U(T)

$$U(NB+K+1)=U(T-NB-K)$$

RETURNED AS U(T-NB-K+1)

TH=VECTOR OF ESTIMATED PARAMETERS OF DIMENSION NA+N
ORGANIZED AS FOLLOWS:

$$TH(1)=AE(1)$$

$$TH(2)=AB(2)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$TH(NA)=AE(NA)$$

$$TH(NA+1)=BE(1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$TH(NA+N)=BE(NB)$$

P=COVARIANCE MATRIX OF THE PARAMETER ESTIMATES OF
ORDER (NA+NB)*(NA+NB)

S=SOLUTION TO THE RICCATI EQUATION WHICH GIVES THE
CONTROL LAW. THE INITIAL VALUE OF THE MATRIX SET
IN THE MAIN PROGRAM MUST BE POSITIVE DEFINITE

THE MATRIX S IS OF ORDER N*N (N=MAX(NA,NB+K))

RL=THE BASE OF THE EXPONENTIAL WEIGHTING FUNCTION

NA=NUMBER OF A-PARAMETERS SEE (*) MAX(NA)=8

NB=NUMBER OF B-PARAMETERS SEE (*) MAX(NB+K)=8

K=NUMBER OF TIMEDELAYS SEE (*) MAX(NB+K)=8

IP=DIMENSION PARAMETER OF THE MATRIX P, MAX=32

IS=DIMENSION PARAMETER OF MATRIX S, MAX=8

USES DUM5-DUM8 OF COMMON /SLASK/

SUBROUTINES REQUIRED

RTLSID

SCAPRO

```

064      C      MOVE
065      C      CORI
066      C      NORM
067      C
068      DIMENSION U(1),Y(1),TH(1),P(1,1),S(1,1)
069      COMMON/SLASK/DUM(272),AS(8),BS(8),US(8),YS(8),X(8),AL(8)
070      1,R(8,8),FI(64),DUM8(64)
071      C
072      N=MAX0(NA,NB+K)
073      NM1=N-1
074      NAM1=NA-1
075      NAP1=NA+1
076      NBM1=NB-1
077      NP1=N+1
078      NP=NA+N
079      C
080      C      SET FIXED PARAMETERS
081      C
082      Q2=0.
083      EPS=1.E-5
084      NLOOP=10
085      IR=8
086      C
087      C      ORGANIZE DATA FOR IDENTIFICATION ROUTINE
088      C
089      YIN=Y(1)
090      DO 10 I=1,NA
091      10   FI(I)=~Y(I+1)
092      IF(NB) 12,12,11
093      11   NS=2*N
094      CALL MOVE(U(K+2),FI(NA+1),NS)
095      C
096      12   CALL RTLSID(TH,P,FI,YIN,NP,IP,RL,RES,DENOM)
097      C
098      C      SET PARAMETERS OF STATE MODEL
099      C
100      CALL MOVE (TH(1),AS(1),NA+NA)
101      IF (N-NA-1) 20,21,22
102      22   AS(NA+1)=0.0
103      NS=N-NA-1
104      CALL MOVE (AS(NA+1),AS(NA+2),NS+NS)
105      GO TO 20
106      21   AS(NA+1)=0.0
107      20   IF (K-1) 24,25,26
108      26   BS(1)=0.0
109      NS=K-1
110      CALL MOVE (BS(1),BS(2),NS+NS)
111      GO TO 24
112      25   BS(1)=0.0
113      24   CALL MOVE (TH(NA+1),BS(K+1),NB+N)
114      N1=NB+K+1
115      IF (N-N1) 28,29,30
116      30   BS(N1)=0.0
117      NS=N-N1
118      CALL MOVE(BS(N1),BS(N1+1),NS+NS)
119      GO TO 28
120      29   BS(N1)=0.0
121      C
122      C      SCALE SYSTEM PARAMETERS
123      C
124      28   SF=1,
125      DO 32 I=1,N
126      SF=S*RO
127      AS(I)=AS(I)/SF

```

```

32      BS(1)=BS(1)/SF
C
C      SCALE U AND Y
C
34      SF=1.
      DO 34 I=1,NA
      SF=SF*R0
      YS(I)=Y(I)*SF
      SF=1.
      NBK=NB+K
      DO 36 I=1,NBK
      SF=SF*R0
36      US(I)=U(I)*SF
C
C      COMPUTE STATE VARIABLES
C
      X(1)=YS(1)
      IF (NA-NB-K) 40,41,42
40      IF (NA=2) 70,71,71
      DO 43 I=2,NA
      NS=NA-I+1
      NSB=N-I+1
      X(I)=SCAPRO(AS(1),1,YS(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,US(2),1,NSB)
      DO 44 I=NAP1,N
      NS=N-I+1
      X(I)=SCAPRO(BS(1),1,US(2),1,NS)
      GO TO 49
41      DO 45 I=2,N
      NS=N-I+1
      X(I)=SCAPRO(AS(1),1,YS(2),1,NS)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,US(2),1,NS)
      GO TO 49
42      N1=NB+K
      DO 46 I=2,N1
      NSA=N-I+1
      NS=N1-I+1
      X(I)=SCAPRO(AS(1),1,YS(2),1,NSA)+SCAPRO(BS(1),1,US(2),1,NS)
      N1=N1+1
      DO 47 I=N1,N
      NS=N-N1+1
      X(I)=SCAPRO(AS(1),1,YS(2),1,NS)
C
C      PREDICT STATE VECTOR
C
49      RS=X(1)
      NM1=N-1
      DO 50 I=1,NM1
      X(I)=AS(I)*RS+X(I+1)+BS(I)*US(1)
50      X(N)=AS(N)*RS+BS(N)*US(1)
C
C      COMPUTE CONTROL LAW
C
      NLOOP=0
60      NLOOP=NLOOP+1
      DO 62 I=1,N
      DO 62 J=1,N
      R(I,J)=S(I,J)
62      C
      CALL CORI(AS,B5,Q2,S,AL,N,R3,IS)
C
      DO 64 I=1,N
      DO 64 J=1,N
      R(I,J)=R(I,J)-S(I,J)
64      C
      TEST IF ITERATION HAS CONVERGED

```

192 C
193 CALL NORM(R,N,IR,RNORM)
194 CALL NORM(S,N,IS,ANORM)
195 IF (RNORM-EPS*SNORM) 66,66,65
196 66 IND=NLOOP
197 GO TO 68
198 65 IF (NLOOP=NLOOP) 60,67,67
199 67 IND=1
200 68 CONTINUE
201 C
202 C
203 C REORGANIZE DATA FOR NEXT STEP
204 C
205 NS=2*NA
206 CALL MOVE(Y(1),Y(2),-NS)
207 NS=2*(NB+K)
208 CALL MOVE(U(1),U(2),-NS)
209 C
210 C COMPUTE CONTROL SIGNAL
211 C
212 C U(1)=SCAPRO(AL(1),1,X(1),1,N)
213 C
214 C THE CELL YS(1) IS NOW READY TO RECEIVE THE NEXT SCALED
215 C PROCESS OUTPUT Y(T+1) AND THE CELL U(1) NOW CONTAINS THE
216 C CONTROL SIGNAL U(T+1) TO BE USED AT TIME T+1
217 C
218 RETURN
219 END