

Array-based comparative genomic hybridization characterization of cytogenetically polyclonal myeloid malignancies.

Davidsson, Josef; Heidenblad, Markus; Borg, Åke; Johansson, Bertil

Published in: Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics

10.1016/j.cancergencyto.2006.03.002

2006

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Davidsson, J., Heidenblad, M., Borg, Å., & Johansson, B. (2006). Array-based comparative genomic hybridization characterization of cytogenetically polyclonal myeloid malignancies. *Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics*, 169(2), 179-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cancergencyto.2006.03.002

Total number of authors:

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 19. Dec. 2025

This is an author produced version of a paper published in Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics. This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Citation for the published paper: Davidsson J, Heidenblad M, Borg A, Johansson B.

"Array-based comparative genomic hybridization characterization of cytogenetically polyclonal myeloid malignancies"

Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics, 2006, Vol. 169, Issue: 2, pp. 179-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cancergencyto.2006.03.002

Access to the published version may require journal subscription.

Published with permission from: Elsevier

Letter to the editor

Array-based comparative genomic hybridization characterization of cytogenetically polyclonal myeloid malignancies

The paradigmatic view in cancer biology today is that neoplasia has a monoclonal origin [1]. Occasionally, however, clones with different aberrations are found within individual tumors [2]. Whether such a cytogenetic polyclonality represents a true polyclonal origin of the different clones or whether they share a submicroscopic primary change, indicating a monoclonal origin, is unknown. Recently, we reported that multicolor fluorescence in situ hybridization did not reveal any primary cryptic changes in fourteen investigated polyclonal hematologic malignancies [3]. We concluded that other methods to screen for common cryptic changes, such as genome-wide array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH), perhaps could be fruitful.

We have performed aCGH on 6 polyclonal myeloid malignancies, comprising four acute myeloid leukemias and two myelodysplastic syndromes (Table 1), using a 32K array set, consisting of 32,433 BAC clones covering 98% of the human genome, produced at the SWEGENE DNA microarray resource center (Lund University, Sweden). In all hybridizations, male reference genomic DNA was used (Promega, Madison, WI). Analyses of the microarray images were performed with GenePix Pro 4.0 software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). To identify imbalances, the MATLAB toolbox CGH plotter was used, applying moving mean average of 3 clones and amplification/deletion limits of log2>0.2. Mapping of gains or losses was based on identification as such by the CGH plotter and by visual inspection of the log2 ratios. All these analyses were performed in the BioArray Software Environment database [4].

Apart from 15 copy number polymorphisms [5], not expected to be involved in the

leukemogenic process, no cryptic changes, linking the different clones together, were found in

the aCGH analyses. However, it should be stressed that this does not necessary exclude the

presence of such abnormalities. First, a large admixture of normal, nonneoplastic cells could

hamper detection of common cryptic changes. Second, aCGH does not identify balanced

abnormalities; thus, if the cryptic change is a translocation or inversion it would remain

undetected. A final possibility could, of course, be that the cytogenetic polyclonality really

indicates a true polyclonal origin of the tumor cells.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Society, the Ingabritt and Arne

Lundberg Foundation, and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation via the SWEGENE

program.

Josef Davidsson^a

Markus Heidenblad^a

Åke Borg^{b,c}

Bertil Johansson^a

Departments of ^aClinical Genetics and ^bOncology and the ^cLund Strategic Research Center

for Stem Cell Biology and Cell Therapy, Lund University Hospital

SE – 221 85 Lund, Sweden

E-mail address: josef.davidsson@med.lu.se (J Davidsson)

2

References

- [1] Nowell PC. The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. Science 1976;194:23-8.
- [2] Johansson B, Billström R, Broberg K, Fioretos T, Nilsson P-G, Ahlgren T, Malm C, Samuelsson BO, Mitelman F. Cytogenetic polyclonality in hematologic malignancies.

 Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1999;24:222-9.
- [3] Davidsson J, Paulsson K, Johansson B. Multicolor fluorescence in situ hybridization characterization of cytogenetically polyclonal hematologic malignancies. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2005;163:180-3.
- [4] Saal L.H., Troein C, Vallon-Christersson J, Gruvberger S, Borg Å, Peterson C.

 Bioarray software environment: A platform for comprehensive management
 and analysis of microarray data. Genome Biol. 2002;3:software0003.1-0003.6
- [5] The Database of Genomic Variants [Internet]. Updated December 2005. Available at http://projects.tcag.ca/variation/.

Table 1

Clinical and cytogenetic features of the 6 analyzed myeloid malignancies

| Case | Sex/ | Diagnosis | Karyotype |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| No. | age (yrs) | | |
| 1 ^a | M/66 | AML | 47,X,der(Y)t(Y;3)(q12;q21),+8[7]/46,XY,+1,der(1;3)(q10;q10)[3]/ |
| | | | 46,XY[15] |
| 2 | F/36 | AML (rel) | $46,\!XX,\!t(1;\!6)(q21;\!p21)[11]/46,\!XX,\!t(6;\!15)(p25;\!q22)[8]/46,\!XX[6]^b$ |
| 3 ^a | M/49 | AML | 46,XY,der(18)t(11;18)(q13;p11)[15]/47,XY,+11[6]/46,XY[4] |
| 4 | M/77 | AML | 46,XY,+13,der(13;13)(q10;q10)[8]/47,XY,+13[5]/46,XY[11] |
| 5 | F/66 | t-MDS | 46,XX,del(20)(q11)[5]/46,XX,t(1;8)(q24;p12)[3]/46,XX[14] |
| 6 | M/51 | t-MDS | $46,\!XY,\!del(12)(q21q24)[10]/46,\!XY,\!+1,\!der(1;7)(q10;\!p10)[4]/46,\!XY[14]$ |

Abbreviations: M, male; F, female; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; rel, relapse; t-MDS, treatment-related myelodysplastic syndrome.

^aThe original karyotypes of cases 1 and 3 have previously been published in [3].

^bNormal karyotype at diagnosis.