Reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicted radiographic knee osteoarthritis five years later.

Thorstensson, C A; Petersson, I F; Jacobsson, L T H; Boegård, T L; Roos, Ewa

Published in:
Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases

DOI:
10.1136/ard.2003.007583

2004

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Total number of authors: 5

General rights
Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.
• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicted radiographic knee osteoarthritis five years later

C A Thorstensson, I F Petersson, L T H Jacobsson, T L Boegård and E M Roos

doi:10.1136/ard.2003.007583

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://ard.bmjjournals.com/cgi/content/full/63/4/402

**References**

This article cites 28 articles, 7 of which can be accessed free at:
http://ard.bmjjournals.com/cgi/content/full/63/4/402#BIBL

4 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at:
http://ard.bmjjournals.com/cgi/content/full/63/4/402#otherarticles

**Rapid responses**

You can respond to this article at:
http://ard.bmjjournals.com/cgi/eletter-submit/63/4/402

**Email alerting service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

**Topic collections**

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Other Epidemiology (1355 articles)
- Osteoarthritis (282 articles)

**Notes**

To order reprints of this article go to:
http://www.bmjjournals.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* go to:
http://www.bmjjournals.com/subscriptions/
Reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicted radiographic knee osteoarthritis five years later

C A Thorstensson, I F Petersson, L T H Jacobsson, T L Boëgård, E M Roos

Background: Reduced quadriceps strength is an early finding in subjects with knee osteoarthritis, but it is not clear whether it is a cause or a consequence of knee osteoarthritis.

Objective: To determine whether reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicts the incidence or progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis.

Design: Prospective, epidemiological, population based cohort study.

Patients: 148 subjects (62 women), aged 35–54 (mean 44.8), with chronic knee pain from a population based cohort.

Measurements: Predictors analysed were age, sex, body mass index, baseline knee pain, and three tests of lower extremity functional performance: maximum number of one-leg rises from sitting, time spent walking 300 m, and timed standing on one leg. Weightbearing tibiofemoral knee radiographs were obtained at baseline and after 5 years (median 5.1, range 4.2–6.1), and classified according to Kellgren and Lawrence as no osteoarthritis (Kellgren and Lawrence = 0, n = 94) or prevalent osteoarthritis (Kellgren and Lawrence ≥ 1, n = 54).

Results: Fewer one-leg rises (median 17 v 25) predicted incident radiographic osteoarthritis five years later (OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.1 to 6.0). The association remained significant after controlling for age, sex, body mass index, and pain. No significant predictor of radiographic progression in the group with prevalent osteoarthritis was found.

Conclusion: Reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicted development of radiographic knee osteoarthritis 5 years later among people aged 35–55 with chronic knee pain and normal radiographs at baseline. These findings suggest that a test of one-leg rises may be useful, and interventions aimed at improving functional performance may be protective against development of knee osteoarthritis.

METHODS

Subjects

In 1990 a questionnaire was sent to 2000 randomly selected people aged 35–54 (963 women and 1037 men) in a district in the south west of Sweden, with a mixed urban and rural population, to estimate the prevalence of chronic knee pain. Chronic knee pain was defined as “pain in either of your knees that lasted for at least 3 months”.

In 1995 a further questionnaire was sent to all 1990 participants who had responded to the first questionnaire. One thousand eight hundred and fifty three people (93%) answered the questionnaire, of whom 279 (15%) reported chronic knee pain. Those who had chronic knee pain were offered a radiographic and a clinical examination, and 204 agreed to participate. One hundred and fifty two subjects were available for follow up five years later (fig 1). Because this study concerns the development of radiographic knee osteoarthritis, we decided to exclude four patients owing to diverging radiographic features in the right and left knee at follow up. These four patients each had one knee that had become worse and one that had become better. This made it difficult to determine whether they had progressed or not. Thus 148 subjects constituted the cohort of the present study. Table 1 describes the patient characteristics.

Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee, Medical Faculty, Lund University.
Reduced functional performance predicts knee OA

Time spent walking 300 metres indoors

Tests of functional performance

A trained physiotherapist recorded the functional performance of the lower extremities. These tests, which have been described earlier, were:

- **Maximum number of one-leg rises** from sitting on a stool (0.48 m). The patient was instructed how to perform the test, and told to place one foot on the floor in a self-chosen position. One attempt was allowed, and thereafter the foot position was not to be changed during the test. The lifted leg was held with straight knee, and arms were hanging along the body. The test should be performed with full muscle control—that is, the sitting down phase should be performed at constant speed and the uprising phase without adding arm or trunk movement. A pause of 5 minutes was prescribed between the right and left leg.

- **Time spent walking 300 metres indoors**, including one turn around. An indoor corridor was used for the test. A line on the floor marked start and stop, and another line at the other end of the corridor had to be passed before turning around. The patients were told to walk as fast as they could without running, to start on the command “ready, steady, go”, and to keep up the speed past the stop line. Time was measured in minutes and seconds using an analogue stopwatch. Patients answered the question “How much pain do you have in your knees right now?”

Radiographic and clinical examination

Posteroanterior radiographs of both tibiofemoral joints were obtained with straight knees in the weightbearing position and with the weight equally distributed on both legs. The medial aspects of the feet were aimed to be parallel with each other. The central x-ray beam was horizontal and aimed at the level of the centre of the popliteal fossa, and the right and left knees were reproduced by the same exposure.

The medical history was obtained and a rheumatologist made a clinical examination. Height and weight were recorded, and body mass index was calculated as kg/m². Patients with disorders, other than osteoarthritis, known to cause knee pain were identified and excluded from further analysis (fig 1).

Follow up

Radiographic examination

After 5 years (median 5.1, range 4.2–6.1) posteroanterior radiographs of both tibiofemoral joints were obtained in weight bearing in a fluoroscopy unit. The patients stood with almost the entire weight on the examined leg, with the knee flexed 30–50°, and with the patella and the big toe touching the table of the fluoroscopy unit. The medial aspect of the foot was parallel to the central x-ray beam, and the beam was adjusted to be tangential to the anterior and posterior aspect of the medial tibial condyle.

The baseline radiographs and the radiographs at the follow up were classified according to Kellgren and Lawrence by an experienced radiologist (TLB). The baseline radiographs and the radiographs at the follow up were evaluated on different occasions and the reader was unaware of the name and the age of the patient and the results from other examinations and tests. The intraobserver agreement for re-reading the baseline radiographs has been evaluated previously, showing high agreement (κ = 0.88). The inter- and intraobserver variation for minimum joint space and osteophytes in the semiflexed position varied from 0.72 to 0.98. Kellgren and Lawrence grade 1 was defined as prevalent osteoarthritis. A change of one grade or more according to Kellgren and Lawrence was defined as progression. The index knee was set as the knee with radiographic signs of progression at follow up for those who had radiographic changes in only one knee. If two knees were affected to different grades, the knee with the worst progression at follow up became the index knee, and for those who had no radiographic changes (Kellgren and Lawrence = 0), or equal changes in both knees, the right or left knee was randomly assigned as the index knee.

Statistics

Statistical testing was performed using SPSS 10.0 for Windows. Analyses were conducted using non-parametric tests. Calculations were made using data from the index knee only. Because progression was influenced by increased grade of radiographic changes at baseline, as determined by the Kruskal-Wallis test (p = 0.05), we decided to analyse two
groups separately: those with no radiographic changes at baseline and those with prevalent knee osteoarthritis at baseline (table 1). Firstly, the risk of developing incident radiographic knee osteoarthritis or progression of already existing knee osteoarthritis was determined for the two groups separately. The median value of each predictor was used to dichotomise the predictor variable into a category variable, with values above or below the median. Logistic regression was used to determine the odds ratio of progression of radiographic features 5 years later. To determine whether those whose radiographic changes had regressed differed from the remaining cohort, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Spearman’s test was used to determine covariation between predictors.

### Role of the funding source
The study sponsors had no role in the study design, in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or in the writing and publication of the report.

### RESULTS

#### No osteoarthritis at baseline (table 1)
Forty one of 94 patients (44%) developed incident osteoarthritis. The test of the maximum number of one-leg rises from sitting at baseline significantly predicted development of incident knee osteoarthritis 5 years later (median 17 v 25 times, table 2). Age, sex, body mass index, and baseline pain did not predict incident osteoarthritis. The impact of fewer one-leg rises on the development of incident osteoarthritis at follow up remained significant even when controlling for age, sex, body mass index, and baseline pain by one (table 3). The number of adequately performed one-leg rises, time spent walking, and timed standing on one leg were not significantly associated with baseline pain.

#### Prevalent osteoarthritis at baseline (table 1)
The radiographic status progressed in 29/54 (54%) patients. None of the variables analysed could explain the radiographic progression of already existing knee osteoarthritis, although where progression occurred patients tended to have higher body mass index, perform fewer one-leg rises, and manage a shorter time standing on one leg (table 4). Controlling the three tests of lower extremity functional performance for age, sex, body mass index, and pain did not change the results (data not shown). Radiographic features of 11 patients had regressed at follow up (table 1). A comparison of characteristics between them and the remaining cohort showed that they had a lower body mass index (23.4 v 25.5 kg/m², p = 0.05).

### DISCUSSION

#### Main message
This study supports the hypothesis that limitations in lower extremity functional performance can predict radiographic knee osteoarthritis. In this study cohort of subjects reporting knee pain before baseline examination we found that reduced functional performance in the lower extremities is an early sign of knee osteoarthritis, detectable before radiographic changes appear, and that reduced functional performance may in fact even be causative. Pain intensity in the knee on the day of examination did not affect functional performance, as measured with the tests in this study. The maximum number of one-leg rises from sitting, the test that significantly predicted radiographic osteoarthritis, may be of importance in the early identification of subjects who have poor prognosis. By directing preventive treatments, it might be possible to delay, or perhaps prevent, the development and the consequences of osteoarthritis.

### Comparison with other studies
Our results suggest that tests of lower extremity functional performance are valuable in predicting incident osteoarthritis. None of the factors analysed in this study could explain progression, and it has been suggested that different risk factors might influence the incidence and progression of osteoarthritis. Incidence in this study is chiefly defined as development of osteophytes, while progression is chiefly defined by joint space narrowing. Our decision to perform separate analyses of prevalent and incident cases was based on the fact that progress is influenced by increased radiographic changes at baseline. Recent studies have shown that existing radiographic changes due to osteoarthritis are strong predictors of progression, which supports our decision. It has previously been shown that quadriceps strength did affect the incidence of knee osteoarthritis, but not the progression of radiographic changes in elderly subjects with existing knee osteoarthritis. Our findings pointed in the expected direction. In the group with prevalent osteoarthritis, there was a trend towards worse functional performance and higher body mass index among those with radiographic progression. It is well known that being overweight is a risk factor for developing incident knee osteoarthritis, but in the present study, body mass index was not associated with the development of osteoarthritis in the group with normal radiographs at baseline. Possible explanations for this include chance or that the majority of cases analysed developed osteophytes and not joint space narrowing. Further follow up may be needed to show how many of these go on to develop joint space narrowing, for which body mass index may be a stronger predictor. The median body mass index (25.2 kg/m²) in the group developing incident knee osteoarthritis in the present study was just above what is considered normal. However, in our study those whose radiographic changes regressed turned out to have a lower body mass index than those who had stable or progressive radiographic changes. Also worth noticing is the fact that the median age of this cohort was about 10 years younger than, for instance, the women in the Chingford study.

---

### Table 1
Group characteristics for the total study cohort and the two subgroups with (a) no radiographic signs of osteoarthritis at baseline (no OA) and (b) prevalent OA at baseline (OA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age† (years)</th>
<th>Female No (%)</th>
<th>BMI‡ (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Progression§ No (%)</th>
<th>Kellgren and Lawrence grade 0/1/2/3/4 (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>45 (35–54)</td>
<td>62 (42)</td>
<td>25.3 (18.3–37.5)</td>
<td>70 (47)</td>
<td>94/0/0/0/0/0 53/33/4/3/1 0/36/9/9/0 8/13/12/12/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No OA</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>45 (35–54)</td>
<td>39 (41)</td>
<td>25.3 (18.3–37.0)</td>
<td>41 (44)</td>
<td>70/0/0/0/0 49/41/1/0/0 9/10/1/0/0 8/13/12/12/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45.5 (35–54)</td>
<td>23 (43)</td>
<td>25.6 (20.2–37.5)</td>
<td>29 (54)</td>
<td>94/0/0/0/0 53/33/4/3/1 0/36/9/9/0 8/13/12/12/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number of patients included; † age at baseline, median (range); ‡ number (%) of patients with progression of radiographic features at follow up (Kellgren and Lawrence ≥1 grade).
It has been suggested that knee pain affects quadriceps strength. In our study cohort all had chronic knee pain at inclusion, but lower extremity functional performance was not associated with baseline pain. This result is in accordance with previously findings by Slemenda et al. Furthermore, there was no association in this study between pain at baseline and development of radiographic osteoarthritis.

Critical assessments

The strengths of the present study are primarily the population based, prospective design, together with the younger age of the cohort. To our knowledge this is the first study of the role of functional performance in developing knee osteoarthritis in young or middle aged subjects. Osteoarthritis treatment today is symptomatic. If we could identify risk factors for osteoarthritis in younger patients, we might have a chance to intervene and influence the development of the disease.

One concern is the validity of the functional tests used. At baseline, in 1990, validated tests of functional performance in osteoarthritis of the lower extremity were lacking. The tests in this study were chosen because of their applicability to clinical situations; they were easy to perform, required no special equipment, and they tested functional performance used in daily situations. In an effort to validate the test of maximum number of one-leg rises, strength was measured on a small number of patients with a hand held dynamometer in knee extension (n = 47) r_s = 0.64, p = 0.0001 and knee flexion (n = 46) r_s = 0.45, p = 0.01 (unpublished data).

These associations between dynamometry and functional test are moderate as expected, considering both that the maximum number of one-leg rises is a complex performance, involving several muscle groups as well as coordination, and that the results for the reliability and usefulness of a hand held dynamometer are divergent. It is reasonable to think that the other two tests of functional performance—time spent walking 300 metres and timed standing on one leg—are influenced to a larger extent by other factors and other groups of muscles. If the quadriceps is the most important muscle group for knee joint stability, as stated by Mikesky et al., the lower predictability of these two tests, compared with the maximum number of one-leg rises, would be expected, and would further validate our findings. The fact that the maximum number of one-leg rises was not predictive when controlled for age, sex, body mass index, and pain in the same analysis is likely to be explained by the smaller number of patients in that analysis. At the beginning of the data collection, pain was not regularly recorded, which gives fewer available data in analyses including pain. The relatively small number of patients in subgroups, and the within-group variability, contribute to the lack of sufficient statistical power in multivariate analysis. However, the odds ratio in multivariate analysis (OR 2.67, 95% CI 0.92 to 7.76) very clearly points in the same direction as the corresponding odds ratios in the bivariate analyses, as is shown in table 3. Testing for the maximum number of one-leg rises should be further validated but might be a candidate as a screening test, useful in clinical practice and epidemiological studies.

Another possible concern is the difference in radiographic technique at baseline and follow up. At baseline, radiographs were taken with straight knees, and at follow up a semiflexed position was considered a more reliable radiographic technique, which has later been corroborated. Hence, radiographs could not be read “blinded” for their time sequence, although the baseline and follow up radiographs were read at different occasions, and the reader was unaware of all other clinical information. These methodological differences may have affected the occurrence and disappearance of radiographic findings, such as osteophytes. Such misclassification would, however, be non-differential in relation to evaluated predictors and would therefore not bias the results, although it may explain the high incidence of osteoarthritis in this cohort. The relevance of Kellgren and Lawrence grade 1 (minute osteophytes) could also be questioned, but the technique for reading and its relation to other features of osteoarthritis, both on plain radiographs and on magnetic resonance imaging, is well documented. The validity of our finding of regression of radiographic changes is also supported by other studies and by the association between radiographic regression and lower body mass index. The high

---

**Table 2** In the group with no radiographic changes at baseline, less endurance in one-leg rise from sitting was a significant predictor of developing incident knee osteoarthritis (OA) 5 years later. Variables dichotomised by the median value. Univariate odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variable (values from baseline)*</th>
<th>Incident OA at follow up (n = 41)</th>
<th>No OA at follow up (n = 53)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>124 (50–54)</td>
<td>163 (43–54)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.89 to 2.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (women/men)</td>
<td>80/20</td>
<td>40/60</td>
<td>0.47 (0.26 to 0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 (19.3–37)</td>
<td>25.4 (18.3–34)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.49 to 1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain VAS (0–140)</td>
<td>8 (0–51)</td>
<td>5 (0–84)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.62 to 5.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of one-leg rises (n)</td>
<td>90 (0–99)</td>
<td>25 (1–229)</td>
<td>2.46 (1.02 to 7.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent walking 300 m (min)</td>
<td>1.57 (1.94–3.67)</td>
<td>2.52 (1.93–4.08)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.72 to 3.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed standing on one leg (s)</td>
<td>17.5 (2–31)</td>
<td>18 (2–31)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.43 to 2.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variable expressed as median (range), except for sex where numbers are presented; †numbers of patients included in analysis; ‡lower value indicates better result; ‡‡higher value indicates better result.

---

**Table 3** In the group with no radiographic changes at baseline, less endurance in one-leg rise from sitting was a significant predictor of developing incident knee osteoarthritis (OA) 5 years later, controlled for one confounder at a time using logistic regression analyses. Variables dichotomised by the median value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.67 (0.92 to 7.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.30 (0.10 to 0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.95 (0.49 to 1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain VAS</td>
<td>2.46 (1.02 to 7.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of patients included in each analysis; †univariate analysis. Only one predictor at a time was included in the logistic regression together with maximum number of one-leg rises, because of the rather small samples; ‡maximum number of one-leg rises, controlled for age, sex, body mass index, and pain in multivariate logistic regression; ††odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for the maximum number of one-leg rises (less than v greater than the median).
CONCLUSION
Reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicted the development of radiographic knee osteoarthritis, mainly osteophytes, five years later in middle aged subjects with chronic knee pain at inclusion, even when controlled for age, sex, body mass index, and pain intensity. This result suggests that functional performance is of importance for the development of knee osteoarthritis. The test of the maximum number of one-leg rises is potentially useful to screen which patients with knee pain are at risk for developing knee osteoarthritis.
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Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variable (values from baseline)*</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Progress at follow up (n = 29) OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>No progress at follow up (n = 25) OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46 (36–53)</td>
<td>44 (35–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (women/men)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12 (11–13)</td>
<td>24 (12–31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16 (13–19)</td>
<td>20 (14–26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain VAS (0–100)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52 (46–58)</td>
<td>58 (52–64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of one-leg rises*</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50 (38–80)</td>
<td>82 (62–102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent walking 600 m (min)*</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>60 (36–90)</td>
<td>90 (60–114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time standing on one leg (s)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5 (0–50)</td>
<td>5 (0–50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variable expressed as median (range), except for sex where numbers are presented; †numbers of patients included; ‡lower value indicates better result; §higher value indicates better result.
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Rapid ELISA for PR3- and MPO-ANCA is a reliable emergency screen for small vessel vasculitis

A rapid test for serological markers of small vessel vasculitis developed for urgent assessment is as reliable as recommended tests, according to an audit in a regional immunology laboratory in the UK. The rapid enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) for proteinase 3 (PR3) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) are therefore suitable for emergency testing in suspected small vessel vasculitis in acute renal failure, pulmonary renal syndrome, and mononeuritis multiplex.

Good agreement was observed between the rapid (qualitative) PR3/MPO-ANCA ELISA and the international standard indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) test, with a reported sensitivity of 82%, specificity 97%, positive predictive value 92%, and negative predictive value 93%. Similar results were obtained between rapid PR3-ANCA ELISA and its standard quantitative ELISA counterpart (sensitivity 87%, specificity 93%, positive predictive value 93%, negative predictive value 88%), and complete correlation occurred between the rapid and quantitative MPO-ANCA ELISAs. Positive results in rapid and quantitative ELISAs correlated with small vessel vasculitis in all cases for which biopsy specimens were available (14 PR3-ANCA, 8 MPO-ANCA).

The audit screened serum from 103 consecutive patients over 12 months with rapid PR3- and MPO-ANCA ELISA and further tested positive samples with standard IIF and ELISA tests for ANCA. Clinical records were available for 31 of 34 patients with positive results on any test and biopsy specimens for 22.

Rapid PR3- and MPO-ANCA ELISAs are widely used in diagnostic laboratories to determine vasculitis in urgent situations but have not before been formally tested against the recommended standardised IIF and quantitative ELISA.

Journal of Clinical Pathology 2003;56;775–777.