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## ABSTRACT

The method of normal form originating from the work of A.D. Brjuno has been used in the analysis of two-degrees-of-freedom discrete systems with eccentric follower force. Various types of external and internal damping has been taken into consideration. The program NORFOR2 is compared with program BIFOR2, which is based on Hopf Bifurcation, as to the capacity and speed in solving non-linear, non-conservative systems. The post-critical flutter of the systems has been classified into hard and soft types of flutter.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A wide class of physical systems when analyzed for instability or local dynamical bifurcation is modelled by 4 dimensional differential equations with some varying controlling parameters. The equations being of mathematical as well as practical challenge have been the subject of extensive academic interest /1, $2 /$.

Reduction of the periodic differential equations systems to a simple form or a normal form originates from a thesis by Poincare $/ 3 /$ and the ideas of Birkoff $/ 4 /$ and more recently of Brjuno /5, 6, 7/.

The systems we are concerned with are non-conservative and non-linear in which stability can be lost by divergence (saddle post instability) and Hopf bifurcation (flutter instability).

An example of non-conservative force is the follower or slave force which has no potential. The simplest example which then has been used by researchers is a double pendulum acted upon by a follower force.

Non-conservative forces appear in many problems such as fluid conveyed by flexible pipes and the motion of flexible missiles propelled by rockets /8, $9 /$. Many other important problems are associated with non-conservative forces in aerospace engineering, applied mechanics, astro-elasticity, electrical engineering as well as automatic control.

The stability of columns subjected to follower forces has witnessed a great surge of academic interest since the early 1950's, even though the first studies on the subject were published by E. Nikolai as early as $1928 / 10$, 11, 12/.

Stability of non-linear, non-conservative systems has been treated in several papers by Plaut $/ 13,14,15 /$. Wiley solved the non-linear problem of a beam subjected to a partial follower force by the method of finite differences /16/. Burgess and Levinson investigated the postbuckling stability of discrete structural systems under non-conservative loading /17/. Burgess /18/ studied the non-linear and non-conservative systems using a perturbation method. Mandadi extended Huseyin's multiple parameter non-linear theory of stability to non-gradiant systems /19/.

Hagedorn used a procedure given by Salvadori to investigate the effect of non-linear damping on the stability of a double pendulum acted upon by a slave load /20/.

Hopf bifurcation of a double pendulum with a follower loading has been studied by Sethna and Shapiro using mathematical methods based on the method of integral manifolds and the method of averaging $/ 21 /$.

Generalization of the Mandadi and Sethna studies /22/ has been achieved by Scheidl et al /23/ using a centre manifold theory and normal form theory of vector fields.

Normal form has been used by Hsu /24/ in the analysis of critical and post--critical buckling behaviour of non-linear systems acted upon by a slave load.

In practice, structural systems always contain influences of imperfections due to load eccentricity and/or influences of geometrical and material non-linearity. These influences must be taken into account in the post-buckling behaviour of structural systems. In this paper, the computer programs BIFOR2 and NORFOR2 have been used in the investigation of non-linear and non-conservative systems acted upon by eccentric slave forces. The effect of external, internal, linear, cubic and hysteresis damping have been included in the analysis.

## 2. THE MECHANICAL MODEL

The double pendulum shown in Figure 1 will be considered. It has been used by many researchers as a discrete two-degree-of-freedom structural form of an elastic bar. It comprises two rigid links of lengths $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ connected by elastic springs $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. The configuration of the system is completely specified by the angles $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ from the vertical and the angular misalignments (initial equilibrium angles) $\phi_{\mathrm{ko}}(\mathrm{k}=1,2)$.

Three types of internal damping have been introduced at the hinges, these are linear $\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$, cubic $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cl}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\right)$ and hysteresis $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{h} 1}, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{h} 2}\right)$. Linear external damping in the form of external damping force $D$ and Coriolis force $q$ have been included as well in the system.

The upper end of the pendulum is acted upon by an eccentric follower force $\mathrm{P}=\overline{\mathrm{P}}\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{1} \phi_{2}^{\mathrm{k}_{2}}\right)$, with $\mathrm{k}_{2}=1$ or 2 in the case without eccentricity. In the eccentric case, a tangential load has been used, i.e. $\mathrm{k}_{1}=0$. The dimensionless differential equations for the system are:


Fig. 1 A two-degree-of-freedom system:
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ci}}=$ Moments at the hinges due to cubic damping;
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{hi}}=$ Moments at the hinges due to hysteresis

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}_{1} \ddot{\phi}_{1} & +\mathrm{E}_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{2} \cos \phi=\mathrm{E}_{2} \dot{\phi}_{2}^{2} \sin \phi-\mathrm{c}_{3} \phi_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{2} \phi_{2}-\mathrm{b}_{3} \dot{\phi}_{1}+ \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{2} \dot{\phi}_{2}-\mathrm{c}_{2} \phi_{20}+\mathrm{c}_{3} \phi_{10}-\beta \phi_{1} \cos \phi_{1} \cos (\phi-\psi)- \\
& -r \dot{\phi}_{2} \cos \phi-\beta \alpha \dot{\phi}_{2} \cos \phi_{2} \cos (\phi-\psi)-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k} 1} \dot{\phi}_{1}^{3}- \\
& -\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\left(\dot{\phi}_{2}-\dot{\phi}_{1}\right)^{3}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h} 1} \dot{\phi}_{1}^{2} \dot{\phi}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h} 2}\left(\Phi_{2}-\Phi_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\phi}_{2}-\dot{\phi}_{1}\right)+ \\
& +\mathrm{F} \sin \phi \\
\mathrm{E}_{3} \ddot{\phi}_{2} & +\mathrm{E}_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{1} \cos \phi=\mathrm{E}_{2} \dot{\phi}_{1}^{2} \sin \phi+\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)+ \\
& +\left(r \alpha+\mathrm{b}_{2}\right) \dot{\phi}_{2}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \dot{\phi}_{1}-\beta \alpha^{2} \dot{\phi}_{2} \cos \phi_{1} \cos \psi+ \\
& +\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(\phi_{20}-\phi_{10}\right)-\beta \alpha \dot{\phi}_{1} \cos \phi_{1} \cos \psi+ \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\left(\dot{\phi}_{1}-\dot{\phi}_{2}\right)^{3}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h} 2}\left(\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\phi}_{1}-\dot{\phi}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{F} \mathrm{e}  \tag{1}\\
\text { with } & \\
\quad \phi & =\phi_{1}-\phi_{2} \\
\Phi_{1} & =\phi_{1}-\phi_{10}, \Phi_{2}=\phi_{2}-\phi_{20} \tag{1.a}
\end{align*}
$$

where dots represent derivation with respect to the transformed time $t$. The following dimensionless quantities have been used

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{t}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}^{2}}} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}, \mu=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{1}}, \mathrm{~F}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \mathrm{~L}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}}, \\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}}, \quad r=\mathrm{f} / \sqrt{\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}}, \quad \alpha=\frac{\mathrm{L}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$M_{1}=\frac{M_{1}}{m_{1}}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{M_{2}}{m_{1}}, \beta=\frac{b L_{1}}{\sqrt{m_{1} C_{1}}}$
$\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{L}_{1}}, \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{L}_{1} \sqrt{\mathrm{~m}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}}}$,
$\gamma=\overline{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}{ }^{2}}$,
$b_{c i}=B_{c i} \sqrt{\frac{C_{1}}{\left(m_{1} L_{1}^{2}\right)^{3}}} ; b_{h i}=\frac{B_{h i}}{\sqrt{C_{1} m_{1} L_{1}^{2}}}$
$\mathrm{e}=\overline{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{L}_{1}$
where $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3} c_{3}$ and $b_{3}$ are given by
$\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+\mathrm{M}_{2}+\alpha_{1}^{2}+\mu+\mathrm{I}_{1}$
$\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{M}_{2}(\alpha+\gamma)+\mu \alpha_{2}$
$\mathrm{E}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{2}(\alpha+\gamma)^{2}+\mu \alpha_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{I}_{2}+\mathrm{I}_{3}$
$c_{3}=c_{1}+c_{2}$
$b_{3}=b_{1}+b_{2}$
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{f} \dot{\phi}_{2}$
Changing the system of equation (1) to first degree differential equations of the form $\eta=F(z, \eta)$ is achieved by denoting the variables as
$\bar{Z}_{1}=\phi_{1}, Z_{2}=\dot{\phi}_{1}, \bar{Z}_{3}=\phi_{2}$ and $Z_{4}=\dot{\phi}_{2}$
In order to be able to use programs NORFOR2 and BIFOR2 a change of coordinates must be made in the following manner.

The equations of the double pendulum acted upon by a static load are
$\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}=\mathrm{G}$
$c_{3} \phi_{1}-c_{2} \phi_{2}=F \sin G+R$
where
$G=K_{4}-K_{3}$
$R=c_{2}\left(\phi_{10}-\phi_{20}\right)+c_{1} \phi_{10}$
and
$\mathrm{K}_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{Fe}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$
$K_{4}=\phi_{10}-\phi_{20}$
thus the new variables are given by
$Z_{1}=\phi_{1}-\stackrel{*}{Z_{1}}$
$\mathrm{Z}_{3}=\phi_{2}-\mathrm{Z}_{3}$
where
$\mathrm{Z}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{F}(\sin \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{e})}{\mathrm{C}_{1}}+\phi_{10}$
$\mathrm{Z}_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{ec}_{3}+\mathrm{c}_{2} \sin \mathrm{G}\right)}{\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}}+\phi_{20}$
and
$\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}=Z_{1}-Z_{3}+G$
The characteristic equation of the linear part of the changed system of equations (1) is:
$Q(F)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44}\end{array}\right|$
in which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{a}_{21}= & \mathrm{A}_{1}\left[\mathrm{E}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~F} \cos \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{c}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cos \mathrm{G}\right] \\
\mathrm{a}_{22}= & \mathrm{A}_{1}\left[\mathrm{E}_{3}\left(-\mathrm{b}_{3}-\beta \cos \mathrm{K}_{5} \cos \mathrm{G}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2}-\beta \alpha \cos \mathrm{K}_{5}\right) \cos \mathrm{G}\right] \\
\mathrm{A}_{23} & =\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{3} \mathrm{c}_{2}+\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cos \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{E}_{3} \mathrm{~F} \cos \mathrm{G}\right) \\
\mathrm{a}_{24}= & \mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2}-\tau \cos \mathrm{G}-\beta \alpha \cos \mathrm{K}_{6}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\tau \alpha+\mathrm{b}_{2}+\beta \alpha^{2} \cos \mathrm{~K}_{6}\right) \cos \mathrm{G}\right] \\
\mathrm{a}_{41}= & \mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}-\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{~F} \cos ^{2} \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{c}_{3} \cos \cdot \mathrm{G}\right) \\
\mathrm{a}_{42}= & \mathrm{A}_{1}\left[\mathrm{E}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2}-\beta \alpha \cos \mathrm{K}_{6}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{3}+\beta \cos \mathrm{K}_{5}\right) \cos \mathrm{G}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{43}= & A_{1}\left(-E_{1} c_{2}-E_{2} c_{2} \cos G+E_{2} F \cos ^{2} G\right) \\
a_{44}= & A_{1}\left[E_{1}\left(-\tau \alpha-b_{2}-\beta \alpha^{2} \cos K_{6}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-E_{2}\left(b_{2}-\tau \cos G-\beta \alpha \cos K_{6}\right) \cos G\right] \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$A_{1}=\frac{1}{P_{1}^{2}}\left(P_{1}-E_{2}^{2} \sin ^{2} G\right)$
and
$P_{1}=E_{1} E_{3}-E_{2}^{2}, K_{5}=\frac{F(\sin G+e)}{c_{1}}$
$\mathrm{K}_{6}=\frac{\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{c}_{3} \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{c}_{2} \sin \mathrm{G}\right)}{\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}}$
The critical values for dimensionless load $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the damped case are found from application of the Routh-Hurwitz criterion.

The critical load values and the critical eigenvalues are found by subroutines FAZA2 and CRIT.

The critical eigenvalues are
$\lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{2}=\mathrm{i} \Omega_{\mathrm{o}}$
where
$\Omega_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathrm{n}_{3} / \mathrm{n}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$
$n_{3}=a_{43} a_{22}-a_{23} a_{42}+a_{44} a_{21}-a_{24} a_{41}$
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=-\mathrm{a}_{22}-\mathrm{a}_{44}$
The influence of eccentricity and the initial angular misalignments on the critical loading depending on damping are shown in Figures $2-6$. The calculations were performed for Pettersson's model $\left(\mu=1.0, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0.5\right)$ and for Herrmann's model ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}=2.0, \mathrm{M}_{2}=1.0, \alpha=1.0$ ). Equation system (1) was integrated by fourth order Runge-Kutta method $\left(b_{h 1}=b_{h 2}=b_{c 1}=\right.$
$=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 2}=\tau=\beta=0$ ) and the solution trajectories obtained were projected from $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{3}, Z_{4}\right)$ space on the $\left(Z_{2}, Z_{1}\right)$ space.

In Figures $(6,10)$ time histories for $\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{3}$ as well as phase portraits for various values of $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ and 3 different values of eccentricity are shown.


Fig. 2 Critical buckling load $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ versus eccentricity for Pettersson's model


Fig. 3 Critical buckling load $F_{c}$ versus initial angular misalignments for Pettersson's model


Fig. 4
Critical buckling load $F_{c}$ versus eccentricity for Herrmann's model with weak damping at both hinges


Fig. 5 Critical buckling $F_{c}$ load versus eccentricity for Herrmann's model with moderate damping


Fig. 6 Critical buckling load $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ versus eccentricity for Herrmann's model for small damping $b_{i} \leq 1.0$



Fig. 7 Angular displacements versus time t (sec) and phase portrait of undamped nonlinear Herrmann's model with $\mathrm{e}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}=$ 2.086


Fig. 8 Angular displacements versus time t ( sec ) and phase portrait of nonlinear Herrmann's model with $\mathrm{e}=0.1, \mathrm{~F}=2.019$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{2}=0.001$



Fig. 9 Angular displacements versus time $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{sec})$ and phase portrait of a damped nonlinear Herrmann's model with $\mathrm{e}=0.2, \mathrm{~F}=2.0842$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{2}=0.001$


Fig. 10 Angular displacements versus time $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{sec})$ and phase portrait of a damped nonlinear Herrmann's model with $\mathrm{e}=0.3, \mathrm{~F}=2.0842$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=0.001$

## 3. HOPF'S METHOD

Method of Hopf has been used in this section to distinguish between the hard and the soft flutter of the system of equations(1).

Hopf treated the bifurcation of periodic orbits at a simple complex eigenvalue of a real $n$ dimensional ( $n \geq 2$ ) first order system of autonomous ordinary differential equations.

In order to explain Hopf's work briefly: consider an autonomous system characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dz}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z}, \eta) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z \in\left|\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \eta \in\right| \mathrm{R}$
where $\eta$ is a real parameter. It is assumed that the function $F$ is real and smooth at least in the region of $(z, \eta)=\left(a^{0}, 0\right)$ and that the Jacobian matrix $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{a}^{0}, 0\right)$ has exactly two, nonzero, purely imaginary eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{1,2}(\eta)=\right.$ $\left.= \pm i \omega_{0}\right)$.

Suppose that $\eta$ has been increased gradually, then at a critical value ( $\eta=\eta_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{1,2}(\eta)=\xi(\eta)+\mathrm{i} \omega(\eta)\right)$ crosses the imaginary axis with non-zero velocity such that
$\dot{\xi}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{d} \eta} \neq 0$
Hopf's bifurcation theorem provides sufficient conditions for the existance and uniqueness as well as information regarding stability of time periodic solutions of a system of ordinary differential equations.

The three theorems will not be given here. The interested reader is referred to, for instance, Hassard et al /25/.

The program BIFOR2 was developed by Hassard for CDC Machines and it was implemented on a Sperry Univac 1100/80 Machine at Lund University. All the calculations have been done in double precision.

Three subroutines CRIT, PC and PCFUN have been added to the program BIFOR2.

The bifurcation formulae, primarily applied in present study from /25/, are
$\mathrm{F}(z, \eta)=\mathrm{F}_{*}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\left[\frac{\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mu_{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\left.2 \pi \mathrm{i} z / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{v}_{1}}\right)+o\left(\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{c}}\right), ~}\right.$
$\mathrm{T}(\eta)=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\left[1+\tau_{2}\left[\frac{\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mu_{2}}\right]+\mathrm{o}\left(\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right]$
$\beta(\eta)=\beta_{2}\left[\frac{\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mu_{2}}\right]+o\left(\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$
where
$\mu_{2}=-\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{c}_{1}(\mathrm{o}) / \alpha^{\prime}(\mathrm{o})$
$\tau_{2}=-\left(\operatorname{Im} c_{1}(0)+\mu_{2} \omega^{\prime}(0) / \omega_{0}\right)$
$\beta_{2}=2 \operatorname{Re} c_{1}(0)$
and
$\alpha^{\prime}(0)=\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{c}\right)$
$\omega^{\prime}(0)=\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$
$c_{1}(0)$ is given in Ref /25/pp 86-90. $\mathrm{F}_{*}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is the stationary point satisfying the hypotheses of Hopf's theorem and $v_{1}$ is the right eigenvector.

In the above formulae, $T(\eta)$ is the period of oscillation of the periodic solutions and $\beta(\eta)$ is the characteristic exponent which determines their orbital stability, For classification of the type of instability, the following rules are employed:

1. If $\mu_{2}>0$ and $\beta_{2}<0$ the bifurcation is supercritical
2. If $\mu_{2}<0$ and $\beta_{2}>0$ the bifurcation is subcritical
3. If $\mu_{2}<0$ and $\beta_{2}<0$ the system has stable small amplitude oscillations.

### 3.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In BIFOR2 program the values of $\mu_{2}, \tau_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are found numerically for a selected bifurcation parameter $\eta\left(\eta_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$. The recipe is summarized in Hassard /25/ pp 86-91.

The effect of various parameters on the stability of the system (1) has been shown in the tables 1-9.

As an explanation of the results of BIFOR2 program in table (1) (see program output in Appendix 1), the calculations have been carried out for Herrmann's model $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}=2.0, \mathrm{M}_{2}=1.0\right.$ and $\left.\alpha=1.0\right)$ with $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$ unless
otherwise stated. The critical load value is $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.469$ (ANU in the program) and the critical pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\mathrm{i} \omega_{\mathrm{cr}}$ (EV1 in the program), where $\omega_{\text {cr }}=0.5345$. The values of $\mu_{2}>0$ and $B_{2}$ $<0$ from table (1) provide the stability criterion and the bifurcation is unstable (supercritical).

In the case of cubic damping, table (3), the stability of the system depends on the ratio of the damping coefficients if $F$ is maintained constant. In table (6) the results show insensitivity to the change in the ratio of the hysteresis damping coefficients. The damping at the intermediate articulation point in all the cases were very weak in comparison to the strong damping at the fixed articulation hinge which might explain the slow change in the results. However Hagedorn, ref $/ 20 /$, concludes that the critical loading coincides for $b_{h i}=0$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{hi}} \Rightarrow \infty$ with those of a linearly damped system.

In the event of one or more of $\mu_{2}, \tau_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$ is 0 , then one must calculate $\mu_{4}, \tau_{4}, \beta_{4}$, (tables 1 and 3 ). The hand calculations are cumbersome and the method of normal form has been relied upon in those cases.

Table 1. Effect of internal damping on the stability of the system

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}} & \text { Amu2 } & \text { Tau2 } & \text { Beta2 } & \mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

| 1.469286 | 0.443266 | -0.1317021 | -0.0203905 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.801567 | 0.8721479 | -0.286852 | -0.1337239 | 2.0 |
| 1.945556 | 1.323841 | -0.473116 | -0.478738 | 3.0 |
| 2.020 | 1.811652 | -0.688839 | -1.207822 | 4.0 |
| 2.062879 | 2.336991 | -0.933049 | -2.315393 | 5.0 |
| 2.089524 | 2.899150 | -1.2033668 | -3.5607143 | 6.0 |
| 2.107115 | 3.496927 | -1.498744 | -4.680442 | 7.0 |
| 2.119365 | 4.128765 | -1.817736 | -5.576004 | 8.0 |
| 2.128333 | 4.793003 | -2.158962 | -6.274476 | 9.0 |
| 2.135227 | 5.487918 | -2.520903 | -6.835324 | 10 |
| 2.190136 | 18.388513 | 0.0 | 0.5269954 | 25 |

Table 2. Effect of Coriolis force on the stability of the system $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $\tau$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2.000 | 0.7499612 | -0.1250157 | -0.749964 | 1.0 |
| 2.000 | 0.312498 | 0.118055 | -0.1736111 | 2.5 |
| 2.000 | 0.220587 | 0.169170 | -0.064878 | 5.0 |
| 2.000 | 0.202367 | 0.179240 | -0.0401169 | 7.5 |
| 2.000 | 0.195894 | 0.182834 | -0.029382 | 10.0 |
| 2.000 | 0.192882 | 0.184509 | -0.023072 | 12.5 |

Table 3. Effect of cubic damping on the stability of the system $b_{1} / b_{2}$ $=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 1} / \mathrm{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.801667 | 0.862287 | -0.281425 | -0.1322120 | 1 |
| $\prime \prime$ | 0.9141806 | -0.307854 | -0.140154 | 10 |
| $\prime \prime$ | 0.971739 | -0.337132 | -0.148978 | 20 |
| $\prime \prime$ | 1.432207 | -0.57150728 | -0.2195733 | $10^{2}$ |
| " | 0.006124 | 0.020822 | 0.0137667 | $10^{3}$ |
| $\prime \prime$ | 0 | 0 | 0.955700 | $10^{5}$ |

Table 4. Effect of initial deflection on stability of damped systems $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $\phi_{10}$ | $\phi_{20}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.469286 | 0.444326 | -0.131762 | -0.0203905 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.469286 | 0.4443405 | -0.1317062 | -0.0203905 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1.48446 | 0.49897995 | -0.1361530 | -0.0228096 | 0.25 | 0.1 |
| 1.58361 | 1.0450381 | -0.232959 | -0.046355 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 1.809897 | 3.8253977 | -0.887019 | -0.1560687 | 0.75 | 0.1 |
| 2.275186 | $9.086790-2$ | 0.028614 | -0.3112677 | 1.0 | 0.1 |

Table 5. Effect of external damping on the stability of the system $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Beta |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 1.828909 | -0.728811 | -0.718040 | 0.1 |
| 2.0 | 1.624999 | -0.0638888 | 0.44444 | 0.25 |
| 2.0 | 1.124999 | -0.041666 | 0.499999 | 0.5 |
| 2.0 | 0.3749108 | -0.083350 | -0.499912 | 1.0 |

Table 6. Effect of hysteresis damping on the stability of the system $b_{1} / b_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h} 1} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h} 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.469286 | 0.436099 | -0.128865 | -0.020012 | 0.1 |
| 1.469286 | 0.436096 | -0.128864 | -0.020012 | 1.0 |
| 1.469286 | 0.436099 | -0.128865 | -0.020012 | 10 |
| 1.469286 | 0.436099 | -0.128865 | -0.020012 | 100 |
| 1.469286 | 0.436099 | -0.128865 | -0.020012 | 1000 |
| 1.469286 | 0.436099 | -0.128865 | -0.020012 | 10000 |
| 1.469286 | 0.436099 | -0.128865 | -0.020012 | 100000 |

Table 7. Effect of stiffness coefficients on the stability of the system for $b_{1} / b_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $c_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.801668 | 0.971637 | -0.337084 | -0.148978 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 3.743095 | 0.687978 | -0.0977537 | -0.0280407 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 6.308571 | 0.8911043 | -0.893746 | -0.0415708 | 3.0 | 1.0 |

Table 8. Effect of end mass on the stability of the system $\mu=1.0$. $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 3.204545 | 0.3671728 | -0.043208 | -0.046301 | 0.5 |
| 1.79749 | 0.4028528 | -0.116627 | -0.059982 | 1.0 |
| 1.797619 | 0.402852 | -0.116627 | -0.059982 | 1.5 |
| 1.644231 | 0.389450 | -0.153600 | -0.054561 | 2.0 |

Table 9. Effect of coefficients $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ on the stability of the damped system $b_{1} / b_{2}=1.0$.

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.973590 | 0.410673 | -0.102141 | -0.0720136 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 2.002155 | 0.407260 | -0.096965 | -0.069244 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2.125 | 0.397157 | -0.0799058 | -0.0598072 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

## 4. THE METHOD OF NORMAL FORM

The method of normal form and the two theorems related to Brjuno and Poincaré has been discussed in detail in Refs/24, 26, 27, 28/.

The method can be regarded as a generalization of Jordan's canonical form applied to nonlinear systems.

Consider the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z}, \eta)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}, \eta)+\mathrm{Q}(\eta) \mathrm{z} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a vector function analytic in its arguments, $z \in\left|R^{n}, \eta \in\right| R$
and Q is strictly nonlinear in $z ; f(0, \eta)$. For flutter analysis, we assume that $\eta$ is in a small vicinity of the critical controlling parameter $\eta_{C}$, the matrix $Q$ posses a pair of non-zero imaginary eigenvalues. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that the eigenvalues are complex with negative real parts for $\eta<$ $\eta_{c}$ (damped system). As $\eta$ is increased, the real part of at least one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues vanishes and then becomes positive.

The equation system (12) has been reduced by Hsu by means of a regular analytic transformation to the following normal form, see Ref /24/
$\dot{y}_{1}=y_{1}\left[\lambda_{1}(\epsilon)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{1 k}(\epsilon)\left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)^{k}\right]$
$y_{2}=y_{1}{ }^{*}$
where $\lambda_{1}(\epsilon)=\mathrm{j} \omega+o\left(\epsilon^{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ik}}(\epsilon)$ are complex power series in $\epsilon$.

The flutter bifurcation of the system (12) can be classified into benign and explosive flutter pending on the sign of the real part of the resonant term $\mathrm{g}_{11}(0)$ :
a) if $\operatorname{Re}\left[g_{11}(0)\right]<0, z=0$, then the system experiences subcritical (benign
flutter) bifurcations
b) if $\operatorname{Re}\left[g_{11}(0)\right]>0 \quad z=0$, then explosive flutter occurs (supercritical bifurcations).

The amplitude of vibration $\bar{a}$ and the periodic solutions $z(t)$ are given by the following expressions from Ref /27/
$\bar{a}^{-2}=-\left[\operatorname{Re}\left[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(0)\right] / \operatorname{Re}\left[g_{11}(0)\right]\right] \epsilon$
$z(\mathrm{t})=2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\mathrm{u}_{1} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{t}}\right]$
where $\left.\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\mathrm{v}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}}{\partial \epsilon}\right]_{\epsilon=0} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{u}_{1}$
and $u_{1}, v_{1}$ are the left and right eigenvalues of $Q(0)$ respectively found with respect to the critical eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=\mathrm{i} \omega$.

The equations of motion (1) have been recasted in the system form (12) (local coordinates) and expanded into power series up to third order.

The program NORFOR2 has been utilized in this section which calculates the normal transformation and the reduced form. The input data for the program is given in Appendix 2 (reproduced from professor Hsu's notes without change) and a typical example of output is given in Appendix 3.

The results are given in tables $10-14$ and the coefficient of the resonent term are given as FI, 2, $122 \rightarrow \varphi_{2(1,2)}=g_{2}(1,2)$, see Appendix 2 .

Table 10. Effect of initial deflection on the stability of the system $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\operatorname{Re~} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\operatorname{Im~} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\phi_{10}$ | $\phi_{20}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.469286 | 1.4950 | 7.4120 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.475996 | 1.4915 | 7.3919 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1.484460 | 1.4871 | 7.3670 | 0.25 | 0.1 |
| 1.58361 | 1.4395 | 7.1106 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 1.809897 | 1.3528 | 6.68 | 0.75 | 0.1 |
| 2.275186 | 1.2340 | 6.0978 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| 7.869113 | 0.93399 | 4.1761 | 1.50 | 0.1 |

Table 11. Effect of cubic damping on the stability of the system $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 1} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1.469286 | 2.2267 | 7.3928 | 1.0 |
| 1.469286 | 2.0727 | 7.3931 | 10 |
| 1.469286 | 5.3276 | 7.3961 | $10^{2}$ |
| 1.469286 | -14.867 | 7.4263 | $10^{3}$ |
| 1.469286 | -16.886 | 7.7281 | $10^{4}$ |
| 1.469286 | -17.088 | 107.46 | $10^{5}$ |

Table 12. Effect of internal damping on the stability of the system

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\operatorname{Re~} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1.469286 | 1.4950 | 7.4120 | 1.0 |
| 1.801667 | 1.8699 | 6.6151 | 2.0 |
| 1.945556 | 2.2124 | 5.6989 | 3.0 |
| 2.02 | 2.5067 | 4.8727 | 4.0 |
| 2.062879 | 2.7531 | 4.1533 | 5.0 |
| 2.089524 | 2.9548 | 3.5221 | 6.0 |
| 2.107115 | 3.1138 | 2.9596 | 7.0 |
| 2.119365 | 3.2313 | 2.4513 | 8.0 |
| 2.128333 | 3.3075 | 1.9877 | 9.0 |
| 2.135227 | 3.3427 | 1.5636 | 10.0 |
| 2.190136 | -0.09279 | 1.0370 | 25.0 |

Table 13. Effect of end mass on the stability of the system $b_{1} / b_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\operatorname{Re~} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.654615 | 0.359 | 1.2016 | 0.25 |
| 1.815556 | 0.70115 | 3.1335 | 0.5 |
| 1.575507 | 1.0809 | 5.271 | 0.75 |
| 1.469286 | 1.4950 | 7.4120 | 1.0 |
| 1.37614 | 2.4061 | 11.403 | 1.5 |
| 1.335833 | 3.4002 | 14.836 | 2.0 |

Table 14. Effect of stiffness coefficients on the stability of the system $b_{1} / b_{2}=1.0$

| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{Re} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\operatorname{Im~} \mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.376428 | 6.1233 | 1.4468 | 1.0 | 0.25 |
| 0.624076 | 2.4212 | 7.9251 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| 1.005 | 1.7401 | 7.2518 | 1.0 | 0.75 |
| 1.469286 | 1.4950 | 7.4120 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2.547857 | 1.3276 | 8.3334 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| 3.743095 | 1.2856 | 9.4469 | 1.0 | 2.0 |

### 4.1 DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

In table (10) the effect of initial equilibrium angles on the stability of Herrmann's model is shown with $\left(\mu=1.0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=2.0, \mathrm{M}_{2}=1.0\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0\right)$, see Appendix 3. The coefficient $\mathrm{g}_{2}(1,2)$ obtained from NORFOR2 program for $\phi_{10}=\phi_{20}=0$ has a positive real part and one concludes that supercritical bifurcation occurs. Other combinations of initial deflections give similar results.

For cubic damping (table 11) the results obtained from NORFOR2 program $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 1} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c} 2}=10^{3}\right)$ differs from those obtained by BIFOR2 program (Table 5). In the first, the bifurcation is supercritical and in the later subcritical. Further increase in the value of the coefficient $\left(b_{c 1} / b_{c 2}\right)$ requires the
calculation of $\mu_{4}, \tau_{4}$ and $\beta_{4}$ for Hopf bifurcation as Amu2 $=$ Tau2 $=0$ however the calculation tends to be a tedious procedure. For details see ref /25/ pp. 97.

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The investigation carried out in this paper is divided essentially into two parts. The first part deals with the stability analysis of equations (1) by means of Hopfs bifurcation theorem. This was achieved by using program BIFOR2. The second was to give an analysis of the same system by means of normal form method; employing the program NORFOR2.

The paper illustrates the applicability of BIFOR2 and NORFOR2 to flutter instability in imperfect structural systems. The program NORFOR2 is cheaper to run, but the system of equation (1) must be expressed in power series, which tends to be tedious and error prone for systems with three or more degrees of freedom. Some discrepancies have been found between the results obtained by NORFOR2 and BIFOR2. These differences have been noticed by Hsu as well, when applying the method of normal form to similar problems.

For example, in Lorenz equations analysis, Hsu found unstable regions where the Hopf bifurcation occurs which were contrary to the stable regions findings of Marsden and McCracken $/ 25 /$, using an analytical method.

The effect of eccentricity on the Hopf bifurcations is similar to that of initial misalignments. The results are displayed in table 15 with small vanishing damping $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{2}=0.001\right)$.

Table 15 Effect of eccentricity on the stability of the damped system $\mathrm{b}_{1} / \mathrm{b}_{2}=1.0$

| Eccentricity | Amu2 | Tau2 | Beta2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 0.52737 | -0.142722 | -0.00024 |
| 0.2 | 0.94915 | -0.213674 | -0.000398 |

These results show that the bifurcation is supercritical.
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## 7. NOTATIONS

$c_{1}, c_{2}$ stiffness of the springs at lower and upper hinges, respectively
$b_{1}, b_{2}$ linear damping at the lower and upper hinges, respectively $b_{c 1}, b_{c 2}$ cubic damping at the lower and upper hinges, respectively $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h} 1}, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{h} 2}$ hysteresis damping at the lower and upper hinges, respectively
b external damping coefficient
$\beta$ dimensionless external damping coefficient
$\tau \quad$ coriolis coefficient
$\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ configuration angles from the vertical
$\phi=\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}$
e eccentricity of the applied load
$\phi_{10}, \phi_{20}$ initial rotations of the lower and upper link, respectively, in the unstrained configuration
$\Phi_{1}=\phi_{1}-\phi_{10}$
$\Phi_{2}=\phi_{2}-\phi_{20}$

| $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ | masses of the links |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | concentrated masses |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | length of the rigid links |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | moment of inertia of the lower and upper link, respectively |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | moment of inertia of the concentrated mass at the end of the upper link |
| P | follower force acting at the end of the upper bar |
| $\mu$ | ratio of distributed masses |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} 1$ | distance of centre of gravity of the lower link from lower hinge |
| $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | distance of the centre of gravity from the upper hinge for the 2nd link |
| $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | distance of the centre of gravity of the end mass from the end of the 2nd link |
| $\Omega, \omega$ | frequency |
| $\alpha$ | length ratio |
| $\gamma$ | length ratio |
| $\lambda_{1,2}$ | eigenvalues |
| D | external damping force at the free end |
| q | coriolis force |
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## APPENDICES

## EXQT FHOPD.CPUI



CRITICAL OYNAHIC LOADING
$A N U \ggg \quad .1469286+001$

CRITICAL FREQUENCY


100000-013


C- $U=\quad .100000-015$


C- $\quad U=\quad .100000-019$


[^0]EXRT FHOPD.CPUI

## APPENDIX 2

## NORFOR 2: INPUT DATA

## INTEGERS

ITER: only odd powers ITER=3; even and odd powers ITER=2

LZ: number of critical equations (include parameter equation, $\dot{\epsilon}=0$ )

NZ : total number of equations ( $\mathrm{NZ} \supseteq \mathrm{LZ} \mathrm{)}$

LP: order number of the auxiliary parameter equation. If there is not a parameter equation, set $\mathrm{LP}>\mathrm{NZ}$

LMT: total number of equations which have nonlinear terms (at the input)

LEVIT: $\quad=2$; no quadratic terms at input
$=3$; no cubic terms at input
$=5$; no 5 th order terms at input
$=1$; other cases

Remark: 3 and 5 applies to "odd" system (only odd powers)

NJI: number of equations to be printed at the output

NNLM: upper limit (estimated) of the number of nonlinear terms at input

## INTEGRAL VECTORS

ITM (LMT): order number of equations which have nonlinear terms (at input)

IPRT (NJI): order number of equations to be printed at the output

## COMPLEX VECTORS

LBD (LZ): vector of critical eigenvalues

## COMPLEX MATRICES

U (LZ,NZ): critical right-eigenvectors

V (LZ, NZ): critical left-eigenvectors

A (NZ,NZ): linear part matrix

## COEFFICIENTS OF NONLINEAR TERMS

$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{JI}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{VI}(\mathrm{J}), \mathrm{J}=1,5)$

N : módulus ( $|\nu|$ ) of the exponent vector VI

JI : order number of corresponding equation

Z: (complex) coefficient of the nonlinear term

VI: exponent vector in $\delta$-notation

A blank line (or card) should follow last nonlinear term in order to stop the reading.
$\delta$-notation of vector $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2} \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{n}}\right):(\nu)_{\delta}$

Let $|\nu|=\mathrm{M}$. Then
$\nu=\delta_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}+\delta_{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \ldots+\delta_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{M}}}$
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=(1,0,0, ., 0) \\
\delta_{2}= & (0,1,0, ., 0) \\
\delta_{\mathrm{n}}= & (0, \ldots, 0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{M} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2} \ldots \leq s_{M}$.
$(\nu)_{\delta}=\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$
Ex.: $\quad \nu=(1,2,1) \leftrightarrows(\nu)_{\delta}=(1,2,2,3) \quad(|\nu|=\mathrm{M}=4)$
$\nu=(1,0,2,1,0) \quad(\nu)_{\delta}=(1,3,3,4) \quad(|\nu|=\mathrm{M}=4)$

The input-data are entered according to the "READ" instructions in the MAIN PROGRAM.

## DIMENSION PARAMETERS

(These data are specified in the MAIN PORGRAM by 3 cards after "REAL R5 ( ) ${ }^{\text {II }}$ )
.LIMA: any integer $\geq \mathrm{NZ}$
.LIMF: upper bound for number of nonlinear terms ( $\phi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\nu}$ ) in each equations. Estimate:

LIMF $\geq \sum_{\mathrm{I}=2}^{2}($ ITER $)-1 \frac{(\mathrm{NZ}+\mathrm{I}-1)!}{(\mathrm{NZ}-1)!!!}$

LIMB: upper bound for number of coefficients $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mu}$ in each equation of Normal Transformation. Estimate:

$$
\text { LIMB } \geq \sum_{\mathrm{I}=2}^{\text {ITER }} \frac{(\mathrm{NZ}+\mathrm{I}-1)!}{(\mathrm{NZ}-1)!!!}
$$

Other Dimension data to be introduced in MAIN PROGRAM

OR(5,NZ), MM(LIMB); VI(5), ITM(LMT), IPRT(NJI), C1(NZ,NZ), C2(NZ,NZ), C3(NZ,NZ), C4(NZ,NZ), C5(NZ), A(NZ,NZ), LBD(NZ),FV1(LIMF,NZ), FII(LIMF,NZ), B1(LIMB, NZ), A22(NZ,NZ), Z, V(NZ,NZ), U(NZ, NZ)

NOTE: Actual listed Program is good for Systems of dimension 13. Insert new numerical values in the "Variable type" cards of MAIN PROGRAM if other dimension is desired.

## EXTERNAL SUBROUTINES

Form IMSL (International Mathematical and Statistical Library):
"SUBROUTINE LEQT 1C".

```
OYQT GAZI1.PCRIT
```



CRITICAL DYNAMIC LOADING
$A N U \backslash \gg \quad .1469286+001$

CRITICAL FREQUENCY


| 1 | $.10000+001+1$ | .00000 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $.10000+001+1$ | .00000 |  | .00000 | +1 | $.53452+000$ |
| 3 | .00000 | +1 | .00000 |  | .00000 | +1 |
| 4 | .00000 | +1 | .00000 |  | .00000 | .00000 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | .00000 |  |
| 1 | $.10000+001+1$ | .00000 |  | $.10000+000+1$ | $-.18708+001$ |  |
| 2 | $.10000+001+1$ | .00000 |  | $.10000+000+1$ | $.18708+001$ |  |


| 3 | . $00000+1$ | . 00000 |  | . 00000 +1 | . 00000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | . $00000+1$ | . 00000 |  | . $00000+1$ | . 00000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . $00000+1$ | . 00000 | 1 | 10000+001+1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 2 | $-.15000+001+1$ | . 00000 |  | . $15000+000+1$ | . 00000 |  | . 00000 + I | . 00000 | . 00000 +1 | . 00000 |
| 3 | . 00000 +1 | . 00000 |  | $-.15000+000+1$ | . 00000 |  | . 10000+001+1 | . 00000 | $.10000+000+1$ | . 00000 |
|  |  | . 00000 |  | -00000 +1 | . 00000 |  | . $00000+1$ | . 00000 | $.10000+001+1$ | . 00000 |
| 4 | -25000+001+1 | . 00000 |  | . $25000+000+1$ | . 00000 |  | $-.20000+001+1$ | . 00000 | $-.20000+000+1$ | . 00000 |
|  | . 00000 | + I | . 00000 | 0 = ==aFi, | 4 , | 1 |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    PC- EFFECT OF NUMERICAL DIFFERENCING
    

