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Radiographic stage of osteoarthritis or sex of the patient does not predict one year outcome after total hip arthroplasty


Abstract

Objective—To investigate whether patients with severe radiographic osteoarthritis (OA) have a different outcome at one year after total hip replacement than patients with moderate radiographic OA. To investigate sex related differences in preoperative radiographic and self reported status and in postoperative outcome.

Methods—184 patients (96 women) with a mean age at surgery of 71.4 years (50–92), with primary OA of the hip were investigated preoperatively and six and 12 months postoperatively with two self administered questionnaires, SF-36 and WOMAC. The radiographs were evaluated by two independent radiologists using an atlas. Minimal joint space narrowing, osteophytes, cysts, sclerosis, and deformity were assessed. A summary grade 0–3 was made, based on joint space, where 3 is severe OA. The reference population for SF-36 consisted of 2901 subjects matched for age and sex from the general Swedish population.

Results—162 patients fulfilled the study criteria. 113 had grade 3, 47 grade 2, and two grade 1 radiographic OA. There was no difference in preoperative or postoperative pain and physical impairment between patients with moderate and severe radiographic OA. There were no sex related differences in preoperative radiographic status, or in postoperative outcome. Neither were any differences in preoperative radiographic status of OA found in patients with previous total hip replacement of the contralateral hip, compared with those who had not been operated on before. All patients, regardless of preoperative radiographic OA stage, showed significant postoperative improvement and at one year achieved a health related quality of life similar to that of the reference group.

Conclusion—The severity of radiographic changes indicating OA often weighs heavily in the surgeon’s decision to perform a total hip replacement. Yet, the findings of this study emphasise that the preoperative radiographic stage of OA has no correlation with the postoperative outcome after one year. Furthermore, this study failed to detect any sex related differences in preoperative radiographic and self reported status or in postoperative outcome of hip replacement.

Hip arthroplasty is one of the most common orthopaedic interventions. In Sweden about 10 000 hip arthroplasties are performed annually, corresponding to an annual rate of about 400 per 100 000 inhabitants aged 50 and older. The patients’ experience of pain, clinical findings, functional impairment, and radiographic changes are taken into consideration when the surgical treatment decision is made. Patients and surgeons may differ in their concerns and priorities for total hip replacement. However, patients can provide a reliable and valid judgment of health status and the benefits of treatment, and there is consensus about the centrality of the patients’ point of view in monitoring medical outcome.

For osteoarthritis (OA), the OMERACT group has recommended four domains to be evaluated: pain, physical function, joint imaging, and patient global assessment. Several questionnaires for measuring health related quality of life have been published: the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP), Sickness Impact Profile (SIP) and Short Form-36 (SF-36). These instruments have been proved to be reliable and valid. Since the introduction of the SF-36 in 1988 it has been the most widely used generic health instrument. It has been translated into many languages and different cultures, which makes international comparisons possible.

WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index) is a disease-specific measure, developed for OA in the hip and knee. WOMAC has shown greater responsiveness to change over time than SF-36, whereas SF-36 discriminates better between subjects based on levels of self reported general health. In the measurement of outcome it is desirable to include both a generic instrument and a disease-specific instrument.

Plain radiography is at present the most economical and easily available imaging technique for semiquantitative measurement of the morphology of OA. It is vital that the techniques used to obtain and read the radiographs are standardised for comparative studies. Training and standardisation can be assisted by the use of atlases. Several studies of outcome after total hip replacement have been published in recent years, but none of them related the outcome to the preoperative radiographic classification.

The purpose of this prospective study was to investigate (a) the relation between the preoperative radiographic status and the postopera-
tive outcome in hip arthroplasty for OA; (b) the relation between the preoperative radiographic status of the hip and the patient’s own preoperative experience of wellbeing, pain, and physical impairment; and (c) if there were sex related differences in radiographic and self reported status or in postoperative outcome.

Patients and methods
Two hundred and thirty patients aged 50 and older were assigned to receive a total hip replacement because of primary OA during September 1995 to December 1997 at the department of orthopaedics in Halmstad, Sweden. One hundred and eighty four patients (96 women, 88 men) with a mean age at the time of surgery of 71.4 years (50–92) were included in the study. Forty six patients (30 women, 16 men) (mean age 72.6 years (56–88)) were not included during the early recruitment phase because of administrative errors (no relation to patient-relevant factors). There were no differences in intercurrent diseases or mental health for those not included compared with the study group.

All patients had a primary unilateral total hip replacement performed. Eight different surgeons carried out the operations, all experienced hip surgeons. The majority (n=139) of the replacements were performed with both components cemented, but in 45 (18 women, 27 men) the acetabular component was uncemented. Their mean age at surgery was 61.3 years (50–72).

RADIOGRAPHIC EVALUATION
The preoperative hip radiographs were obtained with the patient supine. Focus to film distance was 100 cm. Classification was made on plain anteroposterior views of the pelvis radiograph and the hip joint on the relevant side. Lateral views were available on the relevant side but were not evaluated. The films were independently read and classified by two radiologists (YA, A-KS) according to the OARSI criteria with a radiographic atlas as a guide. Minimal joint space, and osteophytes in the acetabulum and femoral head were graded visually by the atlas (0–3). Millimetre measurements of joint space were not made. Cysts, sclerosis, and deformity were recorded as present or absent. OA was graded from 0 to 3, based on the degree of joint space narrowing only, where grade 1 is mild, grade 2 moderate, and grade 3 severe OA. Before evaluating the radiographs the radiologists had learning sessions.

In five of 162 radiographs the radiologists did not agree in evaluation of OA grade, and a consensus decision was made. There was no test on inter- and intra-observer variability because previous studies have shown high interrater agreement when using a comparable method.

QUESTIONNAIRES
Evaluation with SF-36 was made at the hospital the day before the operation, and at six and 12 months postoperatively. The SF-36 measures three major health attributes (functional status, wellbeing, overall health) in eight subscales. These include physical function, role limitations due to physical health, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social function, role limitations due to emotional health, and mental health. The SF-36 scores are calculated on a 0–100 worst to best scale. Together, the eight subscales provide a health profile (fig 1). SF-36 has been translated and validated for Swedish conditions. The reference population for SF-36 was collected from norms of the general Swedish population, and comprised 2901 subjects matched for age and sex. WOMAC was used as the disease specific instrument. Evaluation with WOMAC was made preoperatively and at six and 12 months postoperatively. However, because this instrument was not available and validated for Swedish conditions when the study was started, it was used for the last 74 patients only. WOMAC is a self administered instrument validated for OA in the legs. It consists of 24 multiple choice questions on physical function, role limitations due to physical health, and pain.
Patients with severe preoperative radiographic OA did not differ in postoperative outcome as measured by SF-36 and WOMAC when compared with patients with only moderate preoperative radiographic OA (fig 1, table 1). Furthermore, the grade of preoperative OA did not influence the severity of preoperative symptoms and impairments as measured by SF-36 and WOMAC (fig 1, tables 1 and 2). Likewise, the degree of joint space narrowing did not influence the degree of pain as measured preoperatively by SF-36 or WOMAC (p=0.373 and p=0.369, respectively). Neither did the grade of osteophytes in acetabulum or the femoral head influence the severity of preoperative pain (p=0.458 and p=0.302 for osteophytes in acetabulum and p=0.761 and p=0.465 for osteophytes in the femoral head, respectively). Consistent with these individual analyses of data, ANOVA failed to show any influence on clinical variables by the radiographic grade of OA.

Patients with a previous total hip replacement in the contralateral hip did not differ in their preoperative grade of radiographic OA or symptoms from the patients who had their first hip replacement performed (data not shown). There were no sex related differences in preoperative radiographic grade of OA or symptoms, or in postoperative outcome (data not shown).

As commented on above, the variation in pain and physical function as measured by WOMAC 12 months postoperatively could not be explained by sex of the patient or the preoperative radiographic grade of OA (ANOVA). However, there was a positive relation between
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Table 3 Preoperative and six and 12 months' postoperative mean scores and (standard deviations) of the three WOMAC subscales for all patients investigated compared with the reference group. The scale is 0–100, worst to best

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WOMAC subscale</th>
<th>Preop (n=74)</th>
<th>6 m Postop (n=74)</th>
<th>12 m Postop (n=74)</th>
<th>Reference group (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>44.8 (17.1)</td>
<td>82.6 (16.3)</td>
<td>86.3 (15.5)</td>
<td>89.1 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness</td>
<td>39.3 (15.9)</td>
<td>75.0 (16.4)</td>
<td>78.4 (19.3)</td>
<td>88.2 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical function</td>
<td>39.0 (14.8)</td>
<td>74.1 (17.5)</td>
<td>78.7 (17.7)</td>
<td>85.1 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the level of preoperative pain and pain at 12 months postoperatively (r=0.107, p=0.011) and between physical function preoperatively and at 12 months postoperatively, respectively (r=0.125, p<0.006) (linear regression).

Compared with the SF-36 reference population, the patients had a worse preoperative mean score for bodily pain (patients (p)=36; reference (r)=66), physical function (p=30; r=79), and for role limitations due to physical function (p=9; r=69), while the subscales general and mental health were comparable. Six months postoperatively, there was an improvement of all subscales, especially bodily pain (p from 36 to 69) and physical function (p from 30 to 58). These improvements were statistically significant at p<0.0001 for all subscales despite general health. Further improvements of all subscales appeared after 12 months. Between 6 and 12 months there were significant further improvements in physical function and role limitations due to physical function (p<0.0001). At 12 months after hip arthroplasty the health related quality of life of the patients did not differ from that of the SF-36 reference group (fig 2).

The outcome measured by WOMAC also showed a significant improvement for all three dimensions between preoperative status and six and 12 months (p<0.0001) (table 3). In contrast with the SF-36 outcome, the WOMAC outcome at 12 months postoperatively differed from the WOMAC reference group of 59 subjects (86 v 89 for pain (p=0.018), 78 v 88 for stiffness (p=0.002), and 79 v 85 for physical function (p=0.001)).

Discussion

The severity of the radiographic findings is an important factor in the surgeon's decision to carry out a total hip replacement. There are, however, few studies of hip OA that support a relation between radiographic findings and clinical symptoms. Earlier studies of the knee have shown an association of osteophytes and radiographic narrowing, and narrowing correlated with the presence of self reported knee pain. Previous studies showed that hip joint space narrowing was strongly associated with other radiological features and most predictive of hip pain. Furthermore, progression of hip OA could be defined by a change in joint space narrowing, and narrowing correlated with changes in clinical status.

Here we show that the radiographic stage of OA bears no relation to clinical symptoms in patients with established hip OA. We further show that the preoperative radiographic grade of OA (moderate or severe) did not influence the clinical outcome one year after total hip replacement. Because the indication for surgery at the department where this study took place required the presence of significant radiographic OA, it was not possible to draw any conclusions about the outcome of hip arthroplasty for patients with hip symptoms and only mild radiographic changes, compared with more advanced changes. A study to answer this question would require an acceptance that patients with significant symptoms of hip OA in the presence of mild or perhaps only borderline radiographic changes consistent with hip OA provide a valid indication for hip replacement surgery. Nevertheless, based on the findings of this study, we suggest that if the diagnosis of OA is unequivocal, symptoms and the degree of radiographic change should provide the indication for surgery. Fortin and coworkers have shown that the postoperative outcome after hip replacement for OA is better when the preoperative functional status is better. The results of our study, together with those of Fortin and coworkers, therefore suggest that the practice of delaying hip replacement until severe radiographic changes, pain, and functional impairment are present should be re-evaluated.

Patients from a single hospital were included in this study, so that uniform inclusion criteria for hip replacement were used. The surgical procedure was similar, except in 40 of the cases where an uncemented acetabular component was used, because this was routine in younger patients. This group of patients who were on average 10 years younger recovered more quickly and had a significantly better outcome at 12 months after hip replacement (data not shown), contrasting with the results of a previous study.

In contrast with some previous studies, we found no sex related difference in preoperative status or in postoperative outcome. This may be due to differences in healthcare system or social structure between different countries.

The present prospective, consecutive study showed a pronounced bodily pain and impaired physical function in patients with OA of the hip before total hip replacement, as measured by the SF-36 and WOMAC. Still, their general and mental health was unaffected as compared with a reference population. Total hip replacement resulted in pain relief and improvement of the physical function to a level close to that of a reference population matched for age and sex. However, the patients needed at least one year after surgery to reach the full benefits of the intervention.
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