



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## **Review: Black and minority ethnic people are more likely to be detained under the Mental Health Act 1983--no clear evidence why.**

Cantor-Graae, Elizabeth

*Published in:*  
Evidence-Based Mental Health

*DOI:*  
[10.1136/ebmh.11.2.61](https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmh.11.2.61)

2008

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Cantor-Graae, E. (2008). Review: Black and minority ethnic people are more likely to be detained under the Mental Health Act 1983--no clear evidence why. *Evidence-Based Mental Health*, 11(2), 61.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmh.11.2.61>

*Total number of authors:*  
1

### **General rights**

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00





## Review: Black and minority ethnic people are more likely to be detained under the Mental Health Act 1983 no clear evidence why

Elizabeth Cantor-Graae

*Evid. Based Ment. Health* 2008;11;61-  
doi:10.1136/ebmh.11.2.61

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://ebmh.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/11/2/61>

---

*These include:*

### Rapid responses

You can respond to this article at:  
<http://ebmh.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/11/2/61>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

---

### Notes

---

To order reprints of this article go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *Evidence-Based Mental Health* go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/>

# Review: Black and minority ethnic people are more likely to be detained under the Mental Health Act 1983—no clear evidence why

## QUESTION

**Question:** Compared with White people, how frequently are people from Black and Minority Ethnic groups detained under the Mental Health Act 1983?

**Outcomes:** Rates of compulsory detention under the Mental Health Act.

## METHODS

**Design:** Systematic review with meta-analysis.

**Data sources:** MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, ASSIA, SIGLE, HMIC, Web of Science, Cochrane database and the National Research Register; 1984 to April 2005. CD-ROM for the British National Bibliography was also searched for relevant literature.

**Study selection and analysis:** English language studies relating to compulsory detention under the Mental Health Act 1983 and including terms related to mental illness or forensic psychiatry, and with inclusion of  $\geq 2$  ethnic groups (any non-White). Odds of compulsory detention of people from Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) groups compared with White groups were combined using a fixed effects meta-analysis. Meta-regression was then used to explore reasons for heterogeneity between studies. It was based on subgroup analyses according to episode (first, second, etc), patient type (for example, civil or forensic), study quality, and year of publication. The authors then narratively discuss the possible theories for the difference in detention rates.

## MAIN RESULTS

Forty nine studies met the inclusion criteria and 19 were included in the meta-analysis. Most were cross-sectional studies with 53% including  $<120$  patients; 71% of studies were conducted in London. BME groups were more likely to

be detained under the mental health act than Whites (OR 3.35, 95% CI 3.05 to 3.73;  $p<0.0001$ ). Separate analysis by ethnic group found that Blacks were detained more frequently than Whites or Asians (OR for Black vs White: 3.83, 95% CI 3.42 to 4.29; OR Black vs Asian: 2.25, 95% CI 1.72 to 2.94). By detention type, more BME groups than White were detained for non-forensic reasons (OR 4.03, 95% CI 3.37 to 4.81;  $p<0.0001$ ) than for forensic reasons (OR 2.29, 95% CI 1.50 to 3.50;  $p<0.0001$ ), and more BME people with first episode illness were detained compared to Whites. Higher quality studies showed less of a difference between Black and White detention rates, although there was no effect of study quality on comparisons of total BME population with Whites. Similarly, the difference in risk decreased with more recent publication dates (and there was a link between recent publication date and study quality). In 31% of studies, racial labelling, discrimination and stereotyping were the most frequently discussed reasons for greater detention rates among BME groups; 26% of studies discussed dissatisfaction and negative perceptions of psychiatric services; and 22% each to higher rates of psychosis amongst BME groups, and to greater perception of violence. The researchers conclude that there is no primary evidence to confirm the effects of any of these factors on the difference in detention rates.

## CONCLUSIONS

There is an excess in rates of psychiatric detention of Black Minority Ethnic people compared with Whites. However there is no reliable explanation for this difference.

## ABSTRACTED FROM

Singh SP, Greenwood N, White S, *et al.* Ethnicity and the Mental Health Act 1983: systematic review. *Br J Psychiatry* 2007;**191**:99–105.

**Notes:** The underlying studies used in the meta-analysis were of questionable quality. Researchers report that “few studies were hypothesis-driven and only 39% stated inclusion and exclusion criteria”. Meta-regression revealed a significant effect of study quality on the differences in risk between ethnic groups.

**Correspondence to:** Swaran P Singh, Health Sciences Research Institute, Warwick Medical School, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK; [S.P.Singh@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:S.P.Singh@warwick.ac.uk)

**Source of funding:** Department of Health.

Excessive rates of psychiatric detentions among Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) groups in the UK raise important questions concerning equitable treatment. Previous findings indicate a fourfold increase in rates of compulsory admissions in Black patients compared to White patients.<sup>1</sup> A variety of hypotheses have been offered for excessive detention rates in BME patients. These include higher rates of psychosis, delayed help-seeking due to social isolation and/or lack of community involvement, and increased referral by police and court authorities. A more controversial hypothesis attributes increased detention rates in BMEs to racial stereotyping and discrimination within psychiatry.<sup>2</sup> The current review and meta-analysis by Singh and colleagues represents a much-needed systematic examination of all UK literature on ethnicity and detentions, with particular focus on the strength of

the evidence in support of the various hypotheses—that is, patient-related, service-related, culture-related, or patient-service interaction. An innovative feature of the current review is the categorisation of the level of supportive evidence (that is, primary, secondary, no evidence) provided by each individual study. Singh and colleagues' approach to the data is appropriately cautious, and takes into consideration the sensitive nature of the topic.

A finding of particular relevance for clinicians is the increase in detention rates over time, suggesting that the BME patient's relationship with mental health services may become increasingly problematic with successive admissions. However, the review finds little primary support for dissatisfaction or mistrust of mental health services by BME patients or for racism and racial stereotyping of BME patients. Thus, explanations that invoke institutionalised racism in

psychiatry as the underlying mechanism contributing to ethnic patterns in compulsory detentions seem to be lacking any firm empirical basis. Although clinicians should be aware of the processes that influence detention decisions, the complex factors that contribute to excess psychiatric detention rates in BMEs may well lie outside the healthcare system.

**Elizabeth Cantor-Graae, PhD**

Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

**Competing interests:** None.

1. Bhui K, Stansfeld S, Hull S, *et al.* Ethnic variations in pathways to and use of specialist mental health services in the UK. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003;**182**:105–16.
2. Littlewood R, Lipsedge M. *Aliens and alienists: ethnic minorities and psychiatry*. 3rd ed. London: Routledge, 1997.