

LUND UNIVERSITY

Quasielastic Scattering in the Dipole Model

Flensburg, Christoffer

Published in: Proceedings of 38th International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics ISMD08

DOI: 10.3204/DESY-PROC-2009-01/70

2009

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Flensburg, C. (2009). Quasielastic Scattering in the Dipole Model. In Proceedings of 38th International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics ISMD08 (pp. 194-199). International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics ISMD08. https://doi.org/10.3204/DESY-PROC-2009-01/70

Total number of authors: 1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

· You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

Quasielastic Scattering in the Dipole Model[†]

Christoffer Flensburg[‡]

Dept. of Theoretical Physics, Sölvegatan 14A, S-223 62 Lund, Sweden

Abstract

A series of previous papers [1] develops a dipole model in initial state impact parameter space that includes subleading effects such as running α_s , unitarity, confinement and saturation. Here some recent work [2] is presented, where the model is applied to a new set of data: vector meson production in $\gamma^* p$, DVCS and $d\sigma/dt$ in pp. This allows us to tune a more realistic model of the proton wavefunction from the pp data, and confirm the predictive power of the model in high Q^2 of DVCS and vector meson production. For low Q^2 vector meson resonances dominate the photon wavefunction, making our predictions depend on a tuned parametrisation in this range.

1 Why Dipoles?

To calculate cross sections for hadronic particles it is important to understand the evolution in the initial state. In a high energy collision, each of the two incoming particles will emit gluons before meeting and interacting. Enumerate the possible initial states with i, j and give each state a probability w_i such that $\sum_i w_i = 1$. With a scattering probability p_{ij} between state i and j the total interaction probability can be expressed as

$$T_{\rm tot}(\mathbf{b}) = 2\sum_{ij} w_i w_j p_{ij}.$$
 (1)

That means that the expectation value of p_{ij} , weighted by w_i can be measured. Similarly the diffractive, including elastic, cross section is

$$T_{\rm diff}(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{ij} w_i w_j p_{ij}^2.$$
 (2)

To get both these cross sections right, not only the expectation value of p_{ij} with respect to w_i is required, but also the fluctuations. That is, it is possible to measure if the cross section is dominated by frequently occuring states with a low interaction probability, giving a low $T_{\rm diff}/T_{\rm tot}$, or by rare states with a high interaction probability, giving a high $T_{\rm diff}/T_{\rm tot}$. Also the elastic interaction probability can be written in this way as

$$T_{\rm el}(\mathbf{b}) = \left(\sum_{ij} w_i w_j p_{ij}\right)^2.$$
(3)

This makes the form of the impact parameter profile important since the more spread out the interaction probability is, the smaller the elastic cross section will be.

These arguments show that to describe all the above cross sections, it is important to have a good description of the fluctuations, both in b and w_i .

Work supported in part by the Marie Curie RTN "MCnet" (contract number MRTN-CT-2006-035606).

[‡] In collaboration with Gösta Gustafson and Leif Lönnblad

2 Our Model

Our model uses colour dipoles in impact parameter space, based on the model by Mueller [3]. One of the reasons to do the calculations in impact parameter space is that each emission is on a shorter timescale than the previous ones, essentially freezing their transverse position. Each incoming particle is represented by a dipole state (for example the photon is represented as a single dipole), which is then evolved in rapidity before colliding. The evolution is equivalent to leading order BFKL, and we have made corrections for higher order effects.

2.1 Evolution

Each dipole is emitting gluons, forming two new dipoles with a probability density of

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dY} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}(r_{<})}{2\pi r_{\max}^2} d^2 z \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|} K_1(\frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|}{r_{\max}}) - \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}|} K_1(\frac{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}|}{r_{\max}})\right)^2 \tag{4}$$

where x and y are the transverse positions of the partons in the original dipole, while z is the position of the emitted gluon. $r_{<}$ is the size of the smallest of the three involved dipoles (the original one, and the two new ones), and is setting the scale for the **running coupling constant** for the emission. Also **confinement** is included in this emission density, which takes form in the modified Bessel functions K_1 which fall off exponentially for large arguments. The confinement scale is set by r_{max} , corresponding to a gluon mass $1/r_{\text{max}}$ in a screened Yukawa potential.

Energy conservation is accounted for by approximating the p_T of the partons as twice the inverse dipole size, from which p_+ can be calculated. Allowing only emissions that respect energy-momentum conservation gives a cutoff for emitting too small dipoles, that is, too large p_T , cutting away the poles in the emission probability (4).

Apart from the 1 to 2 emission above, the model also includes a 2 to 2 dipole swing, where dipoles of the same colour may recombine, changing the colour flow, but not the momenta. The swing favours small dipoles over large dipoles, which reduces the cross section and gives a **saturation** effect.

2.2 Interaction and Cross sections

To find the cross section, the interaction probability of two evolved states of dipoles is calculated for a given impact parameter. The probability that a dipole i from one state will interact with a dipole j in the other state is

$$f_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{8} \left(\log \left(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_j)^2 (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^2}{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^2 (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j)^2} \right) \right)^2,$$
(5)

with \mathbf{x}_i , \mathbf{y}_i the transverse positions of the partons of dipole *i*. This is then corrected for confinement, which introduces Bessel functions as was done for the emission probability (4). Using this, the total interaction probability of the two dipole states can be calculated in the **unitarised** form,

$$T(b) = 1 - e^{-\sum f_{ij}}.$$
 (6)



Fig. 1: Left: The total and elastic pp cross section. Right: Differential $d\sigma/dt$ cross section in pp. Data from [4].

This is again using the fact that the interactions are taking place during a short timescale, freezing the transverse positions of the partons. This evolution and interaction can be simulated in a Monte Carlo program to determine the interaction probability numerically. Integrating over the impact parameter then gives the total cross section, and modifications to the order of integration as in section 1 yields diffractive and elastic cross sections.

3 Results

By tuning the two evolution parameters $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ and $r_{\rm max}$ and the proton wavefunction we can describe the total and elastic pp cross section (fig 1). The tuned proton wavefunction is an equilateral triangle of dipoles with a radius of 3 GeV⁻¹. It should be noted that once the cross section is tuned for a total and elastic cross section at a given energy, the energy dependence of the cross sections depends very weakly on the tuning, so it is a direct result of the evolution in our model. The fourier transform of the elastic amplitude then gives also $\sigma(t)$. As the elastic amplitude is calculated through the optical theorem, only the imaginary part is included, which causes a dip to 0 amplitude at a certain t. With the real part included, this dip would be smoothed out. The fact that it is possible to describe the energy dependence of the cross sections, as well as following $\sigma(t)$ over many orders of magnitude is a sign of the predictive power of the model.

It is possible to calculate also $\gamma^* p$ using the virtual photon dipole wavefunction. For high Q^2 the wavefunction can be calculated perturbatively and the cross section as function of Q^2 and W is predicted directly from the pp tuning. The results agree with data (dotted line in fig 2), showing that the model can predict data without being tuned to it.

For low Q^2 (below 5-10 GeV²) the photon wavefunction will have important soft contributions. Confinement suppresses too large dipoles, which can be taken into account by shrinking large dipoles coming out from the perturbative wavefunction. This can be compared to the confinement used in the evolution and can be estimated using the confinement scale r_{max} from the evolution. The most important effect is when the quark-antiquark pair propagates as a vector meson, boosting the wavefunction at mesonic dipole sizes. This vector meson resonance is not well understood quantitatively, so it had to be parametrised and tuned to low Q^2 total $\gamma^* p$ cross



Fig. 2: Top: Total $\gamma^* p$ as function of Q^2 (left) and W (right). Bottom: DVCS for W = 82 GeV as function of Q^2 (left) and t (right). Data from [5,6]

section data. The result with both soft effects included in the photon wavefunction is shown in the full line in fig 2.

Once the photon wavefunction was determined, also for low Q^2 , the deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) cross section can be calculated, using a $Q^2 = 0$ photon wavefunction for the outgoing particle. The results agree with data in Q^2 , W and t dependence as can be seen in the plots in fig 2, further confirming the predictive power of our model.

By replacing the outgoing $Q^2 = 0$ photon wavefunction with a vector meson wavefunction, we can also calculate vector meson production cross sections. The vector meson wavefunction cannot be calculated perturbatively, but there are several models that estimate it, using normalisation and decay width to fix parametrisations. We used the DGKP [7] and the Boosted Gaussian [8] models in our calculations. For the light vector mesons, the Q^2 and W dependence on the total cross section agrees well with data, specially for the Boosted Gaussian model (fig 3). Also the t dependence agrees for high Q^2 , while for lower Q^2 , the slope is too steep. This is not surprising, as the vector meson dominance of the photon wavefunction dominates in this range. It was tuned only to the total cross section in $\gamma^* p$, and we can not expect this parametrisation to correctly describe also the impact parameter profile that determines the t dependence. Possibly, this is also the case in DVCS, but since the available experimental data for t dependence does not go below $Q^2 = 8 \text{ GeV}^2$, it is not observed. Moreover, the vector meson wavefunctions are approximative parametrisations, and they may yield incorrect t distributions.

Also ψ production can be calculated with this method, however, the results are not as good. One source of uncertainty is the vector meson resonance correction to the photon wavefunction,



Fig. 3: Rho production as function of Q^2 (left) and W (right). Data from [9, 10]

which would have to be retuned for a charm pair fluctuating into a ψ . More work is needed to achieve reliable results for heavy quark vector mesons.

4 Conclusions and outlook

Our dipole model has proven to describe a wide selection of data in both pp and in $\gamma^* p$ collisions. The pp data and the total $\gamma^* p$ cross section has been used for tuning the parameters of the evolution and the wavefunctions, while other aspects, like DVCS and the energy dependence of all processes, have been found without tuning, showing good predictive power of the model. For low Q^2 there are soft effects in the photon wave functions that we do not understand quantitatively, mainly the vector meson resonance.

Looking forward, we are currently working on using the information in the evolved states to determine not only the cross section, but also the exclusive final state. The evolution gives us the particles, their momenta, and even their colour connections. Some of the partons that have not collided will, however, have spacelike momenta and have to be reabsorbed as virtual fluctuations.

References

- [1] Avsar, Emil and Gustafson, Gösta and Lönnblad, Leif, JHEP 07, 062 (2005). hep-ph/0503181;
 Avsar, Emil and Gustafson, Gösta and Lönnblad, Leif, JHEP 01, 012 (2007). hep-ph/0610157;
 Avsar, Emil and Gustafson, Gösta and Lönnblad, Leif, JHEP 12, 012 (2007). 0709.1368.
- [2] Flensburg, Christoffer and Gustafson, Gösta and Lönnblad, Leif (2008). 0807.0325.
- [3] A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B415, 373 (1994);
 A. H. Mueller and B. Patel, Nucl. Phys. B425, 471 (1994). hep-ph/9403256;
 A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B437, 107 (1995). hep-ph/9408245.
- [4] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. D50, 5518 (1994).
- [5] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov et al., Nucl. Phys. B713, 3 (2005). hep-ex/0501060.
- [6] H1 Collaboration, F. D. Aaron et al., Phys. Lett. B659, 796 (2008). 0709.4114.
- [7] H. G. Dosch, T. Gousset, G. Kulzinger, and H. J. Pirner, Phys. Rev. D55, 2602 (1997). hep-ph/9608203.
- [8] J. R. Forshaw, R. Sandapen, and G. Shaw, Phys. Rev. D69, 094013 (2004). hep-ph/0312172.
- [9] H1 Collaboration, C. Adloff et al., Eur. Phys. J. C13, 371 (2000). hep-ex/9902019.
- [10] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov et al., PMC Phys. A1, 6 (2007). 0708.1478.