

Grammatical Voice and Tense-Aspect in Slavic

Toyota, Junichi; Mustafovic, Melisa

Published in:

Passivization and Typology

2006

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Toyota, J., & Mustafovic, M. (2006). Grammatical Voice and Tense-Aspect in Slavic. In W. Abraham, & L. Leisiö (Eds.), Passivization and Typology John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Total number of authors:

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

 • You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 17. Dec. 2025

- Leino, P. (1986). Infinitiivin asema lauseessa. In P. Leino & J. Kalliokoski (Eds.), Kieli I (pp. 103-156). Helsinki: Helsingin yliopiston suomen kielen laitos.
- Mészáros, E. [= Mesaros, È.] (1999). Slovoobrazovateľ rye suffiksy glagola v èrzjanskom jazyke [Studia uralo-altaica 42.] Szeged: JATE Finnugor Tanszék.
- Mosin, M. V., & N. S. Bajuškin (1979). *Ērzjanskij jazyk. Učebnoe posobie*. Saransk: Mordovskij gosudarstvennyj universitet.
 - Mosin, M. V., & N. S. Bajuškin (1983). Ersämordvan oppikirja. [Hilfsmittel für das Studium der finnisch-ugrischen Sprachen 8.] Helsinki: Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura.
- Ornatov, P. (1838). Mordovskaja grammatika, sostavlennaja na narečii mordvy mokši. Moskva: Sunodal'naja Tipografija.
- Poljakov, O. E. (1993). Mokšanskij jazyk. Učebnoe posobie. Saransk: Izdateľstvo Mordovskogo
 - Poljakov, O. E. (1995). *Učimsja govoriť po-mokšansk*i. Saransk: Mordovskoe knižnoe izdateľstvo. universiteta.
- Potapkin, S. G. (1949). Kratkaja grammatika mokšanskogo jazyka. In S. G. Potapkin & A. K. Imjarekov, Mokšansko-russkij slovar' (pp. 323-359). Moskva: Gos. izd. inostrannyh i nacional nyh słovarej.
 - Raun, A. (1988). The Mordvin language. In D. Sinor (Ed.), The Uralic languages [Handbuch der Orientalistik, Achte Abteilung, Handbook of Uralic Studies] (pp. 96-110). Leiden: E. J. Brill.
 - Räisänen, A. (1988). Suomen kielen u-johtimiset verbit [Suomi 141.] Helsinki: Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura.
- Salo, M. (1990). Mordwinische Verben mit dem Ableitungssuffix v. In Congressus septimus internationalis fenno-ugristarum, 3C, 31-36. Debrecen.
 - Schlachter, W. (1953). Lappische Passivsyntax I. Ural-Alaische Jahrbücher, 25, 178–208. (= Schlachter, W. 1968. Arbeiten zur strukturbezogenen Grammatik (pp. 120-149). München: Wilhelm
- Schiefer, E. (1983). Überlegungen zur Tauglichkeit des Passivbegriffs und bisheriger Passivuntersuchungen, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung finnisch-ugrischer Sprachen [Veröffentlichungen der Societas Uralo-Altaica 17]. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
 - Siewierska, A. (1984). The passive. A comparative linguistic analysis. London: Croom Helm.
- Stipa, G. J. (1990). Finnisch-ugrische Sprachforschung von der Renaissance bis zum Neopositivismus [Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne 206.] Helsinki: Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura.
 - Wiedemann, F. J. (1865). Grammatik der Ersa-Mordwinischen Sprache. Nebst einem kleinen Mordwinisch-Deutschen und Deutsch-Mordwinischen Wörterbuch. (Mémoires de L'Académie impériale des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg. 7th series, 9th vol., No. 5.) St. Petersburg: die Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- kielioppi (pp. 1253-1281). [Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seuran Toimituksia 950.] Helsinki: Vilkuna, M. (2004). Passiivi ja passiivimaiset rakenteet. In A. Hakulinen et al. (Eds.), Iso suomen Suomalaisen Kirjallísuuden Seura.
- Zaicz, G. (1998). Mordva. In D. Abondolo (Ed.), The Uralic languages (pp. 184-218). London:

Grammatical voice and tense-aspect in Slavic*

funichi Toyota and Melisa Mustafović

Lund University and University of Freiburg

In this paper, we analyse the prototypicality of the passive voice in the Slavic languages. We argue that there are some variations in the periphrastic passive, which stem from while in other languages they are still preserved. The periphrastic construction in every Slavic is better considered as a resultative. This diversity motivates the continuum of branch of Slavic has been considered passive in previous works. However, we claim construction in some languages has abandoned the earlier tense-aspectual features, the historical development of the tense-aspect system, particularly from an earlier that it is a case of the passive in East and some of West Slavic, while that in South resultative construction in the case of Indo-European languages. The periphrastic tense-aspect and passive in Slavic.

i. Introduction

ered passive. We question whether these periphrastic constructions are really cases of in this paper we demonstrate the complexity involved in the category of passive voice diachronically, the definition becomes even more complex. The passive voice in Slavic has been much discussed in the literature, and the periphrastic construction with the passive participle, found in all branches of the Slavic languages, is collectively considof the periphrastic construction over the different branches of the Slavic languages. In chronically and diachronically. In particular, we demonstrate that the historical aspect and analyse its prototypicality in Slavic languages. The passive is an elusive category, and various constructions are considered under this term. When this fact is considered the passive voice, following similar questions raised earlier, e.g. Comrie (1981); Abraham (1999, 2004). We also analyse whether there is a difference in the interpretation order to answer these questions we examine the periphrastic constructions both synof this construction plays an important role in our analysis.

We organise this paper as follows: first, we review the relationship between the ense-aspectual system and the passive, paying particular attention to the periphrastic considered to be passive in the Slavic languages: we question the passiveness of these passive construction and passive prototype. We then examine constructions generally ual difference and the causer-causee relationship. Finally, we analyse the periphrastic constructions and analyse them synchronically, focusing on the stative-dynamic aspec-

Table 1. Slavic languages

East Slavic	West Slavic	South Slavic
Belarusian	Czech	Bulgarian
Russian	Kashubian	Macedonian
Ukrainian	Rusyn	Serbo-Croatian
	Slovak	Slovene
	Sorbian (Upper)	
	Sorbian (Lower)	
	Polish	

passive construction diachronically. This allows us to clarify the prototypicality of the passive in relation to tense and aspect because the periphrastic construction is not necessarily the passive in every language.

it will be useful in our later argument. Ruhlen's list of Slavic languages, however, does not include Rusyn. As noted in Tommola (2000:471, Fn. 1), whether Rusyn belongs the Ukraine) or even something else is questionable. In this work, we adopt the view The languages shown in Table 1 are what we consider as modern Slavic languages vide them into three groups, i.e. East, West, and South. We adopt this distinction since to West Slavic (due to the similarity to Slovak), East Slavic (since most speakers live in for purposes of this paper. It is a common practice, following Ruhlen (1990:327), to dithat, based on the grammatical characteristics, it belongs to the West Slavic group.

there is another extinct Slavic language called Polabian, which was spoken as late as the In addition to the languages listed in Table 1, there are several more in the course of development. Proto-Slavic (sometimes known as Common Slavic) was the origin of the Slavic branch of Indo-European languages. It dates from approximately 3,000 century AD. Some grammatical and phonological characteristics of Proto-Slavic are development. This involves Old Church Slavonic (as early as the 10th-11th century BC), Old East Slavic (also known as Old Russian), Old Ukrainian, Old Polish, etc. Also, BC. The division into the current three branches occurred between the 6th and the 9th reconstructed, but there were various written records in the later stage of the historical 8th century. As we will see, the data from this language also helps in our analysis.

2. Relationship between passive and tense-aspect

2.1 Tense-aspect and periphrastic passive

tem and the passive. For example, Givón (1990:571-572) claims that stativisation is one of the main functions of the passive in the sense that passivization includes the use of the auxiliary 'be' with less finite verb forms such as the adjectival, perfect participial, or nominal form. There are varying degrees of restrictions according to each language: in Germanic languages, for example, it is common to find two auxiliaries, e.g. 'be' and A number of scholars have identified the relationship between the tense-aspectual sys-

the boundary of Indo-European, a case similar to the latter can be found in Finnish, as where 'be' is exclusively used to express the perfective aspect; cf. (1) for an example in Dutch), while the other choice, 'become', exclusively expresses the dynamic aspect. In have this binary choice of auxiliary and 'be' often stands on its own, expressing mainly state. However, the dynamic aspect is often expressed by the same auxiliary. Beyond become, with 'be' normally used for expressing the state (except in Dutch and Frisian, these cases, the aspectual difference is achieved by the choice of auxiliary. Other language families in Indo-European languages, such as the Romance languages, do not n (2), where the perfect, as well as the resulting state, is optionally expressed.

Dutch e

(1) Jan is slecht behandeld Jan is badly treat.PAST.PART Jan has been treated badly." Finnish (Harris & Campbell 1995:91)

'The walls are painted' or 'The walls have been painted.' wall-nom.pl be-3pl paint-past.part-pl o-vat maala-tu-t Seinä-t (2)

outer case) and secondary state (state resulting from some outer cause) (cf. Nedjalkov & Jaxontov 1988:4). The clause expressing the state in periphrastic constructions like (1) and (2) is normally the secondary state. See Section 3.3 below for the importance of outer cause. When the tense-aspect is analysed in relation to the passive, it is always the stative-dynamic distinction that is considered relevant. The periphrastic construction vowel changes to mark tense distinctions. The basic tense system of Arabic consists fect/imperfect tenses are expressed by what is known as the passive as in (3) (vowel We note that stativity can be divided into two subtypes: natural state (state without n Slavic is often analysed in this context (Harrison 1967: 22–37; Siewierska 1988: 247– cate that the tense-aspect is related exclusively to the periphrastic passive. However, the morphological passive in some cases can be related to the stativisation, although it of present, past and future and lacks perfect and imperfect tenses. Thus, the perpattern C-u-C-i-C-a for perfect, C-a-C-u for imperfect). The same vowel change 251; Thieroff 1994:11; Bulanin 1995:122; Schoorlemmer 1995; Tommola 2000:463), Furthermore, notice that these examples are all periphrastic passives. This may indis extremely rare typologically. One such language is Arabic. Arabic involves internal pattern can be also applied to monovalent verbs, as shown in (4).

Arabic

- 'Zaid was killed.' (perfect passive) Zaydun kill.PASS Zaid Qutila ત્યં (3)
 - Yaqtalu Zaydun Ċ,
- 'Zaid has been killed.' (imperfect passive) kill.PASS Zaid

'One died.' (perfect active) die.PRF

Yumawwatu نعہ

'One has died.' (imperfect active)

Notwithstanding the examples in (3), when the passive is considered to be related to the tense-aspect system, its construction is normally periphrastic.

The periphrastic constructions are indeed sometimes better considered aspectual contion and not a grammatical voice construction per se. Andersen (1991:92-95) also ually marked constructions which appear together in the periphrastic passive. For example, English uses two distinctive auxiliaries, be for the passive and have for the perfect tense. These can be used in a same clause, e.g. This TV has been fixed by my friend. Cross-linguistically, however, the relationship between the tense-aspect and the lation between passives and states." There are some cases of the passive morpheme relating to dynamicisation in languages such as Nimboran (Indo-Pacific) and Mwstructions. In addition, the periphrastic passive is both geographically and genetically restricted mainly to Indo-European languages, as we will see in more details in Section 4. For the moment, it suffices to claim that the stativisation is not directly related to considers that the English passive is basically used as an aspectual construction. Such a view is criticised by Palmer (1994:139), who claims that there are various aspecpassive is highly questionable, as Haspelmath (1990:38) notes "there is no direct reera (Niger-Kordofanian). However, stativisation is not really crucial in the passive. nised. However, in some extreme cases, what is commonly known as the passive is only 1982) consider the Russian periphrastic passive to be a type of aspectual construcconsidered an aspectual construction: Some earlier works such as Beedham (1981, The relationship between the passive and tense-aspect seems to be widely recogthe grammatical passive.

2.2 Passive prototype

a passive verb and Vr, a reflexive verb. The inclusion of reflexive verbs alone indicate described as passive to illustrate the diversity of its syntactic properties. The list of forms in (5) illustrates what is commonly considered the passive where VP stands for that the boundary between the passive and the reflexive verbs is not clear. The reflexive to in the literature as passive leads to the conclusion that there is not even one single sented in Lazard (1995: 192): he usefully provides various cases of what is commonly is known to express the passive, once the subject's volitionality is reduced (cf. Croft, plex. As Siewierska (1984:1) says, "the analysis of the various constructions referred property which all these constructions have in common." Let us consider a case predentification of passive is not easy, as may at first appear, but it is in fact rather com-Shyldkrot, & Kemmer 1987).

- V changes to $V^{\mathcal{P}}$ (passive voice), Y becomes 'subject', X drops or becomes X_n (n = oblique marker): this is the 'canonical' passive as found in Western European (2)
- V changes to VP, Y remains unchanged, X drops or becomes Xn: this is the 'impersonal passive', as, for example, in French;
 - V changes to VP, X and Y remain unchanged (Jinghpaw (Sino-Tibetan));
- V changes to V^{r} (reflexive), Y becomes 'subject', X drops or becomes X_{n} (Russian);
 - V changes to Vr, Y remains unchanged, X drops: 'impersonal' (Spanish);
- V remains unchanged, Y becomes 'subject', X drops or becomes X_n (Chinese).

In most theoretical approaches, each category is clearly distinguished, and needless to say, the passive is commonly considered a distinctive category.

the idea of a continuum (cf. Comrie 1989; Givón 1984: 164; Shibatani 1985, 1998). This idea has been used in some earlier works. Shibatani (1985:821), for example, claims that "passives form a continuum with active sentences." However, the passive seems to 319). We consider that each voice category does not exist independently and that they are somehow related to each other, whether the relationship is syntactic, semantic or by employing the continuum, we do not exclude any overlaps of properties among sume distinctive categories, say, between the passive and the reflexive. Instead, we posit be related not only to the active but also to other categories, such as the middle, inverse voice, anticausative, etc., as more recently discussed extensively in Croft (2001:283functional. However, it is important to note that each category has a prototype and, The approach we employ in this paper is somewhat different, and we do not asdifferent categories.

marked. However, apart from that, it seems difficult to achieve a consensus among So what is the passive prototype then? This may be one of the hardest questions one can ask about the passive. One obvious characteristic is that the passive requires a formal marking, whether the construction is periphrastically or morphologically cussing the definition of the passive (cf. Siewierska 1984: 265; Keenan 1985; Cooreman 1987; Dik 1989: 219–221; Givón 1990: 567; Haspelmath 1990; Dixon 1991: 229; Palmer 1994:117-127; Thompson 1994; Payne 1997:204, to name but a few). Among them, scholars as to what the passive prototype is. There have been numerous works disseveral points are repeatedly mentioned, which involve the following:

- The passive has an active counterpart, i.e. the passive subject corresponds to the tive subject, i.e. $\mathrm{NP_1(SUBI)} - \mathrm{VP(AcT)} - \mathrm{NP_2(OBI)} = \mathrm{NP_2(SUBI)} - \mathrm{VP(Pass)} - (\mathrm{NP_1)}$ active object, and the oblique actor phrase of the passive corresponds to the ac-(9)
 - The passive is reduced in valence by one argument as compared to its active counterpart, i.e. divalent active verb becomes monovalent in the passive. :≓
 - The passive subject is more topical than the active object.
- The oblique actor phrase in the passive, whether it is overtly expressed or not, is highly non-topical and its identity is often unknown. ii ii
 - The passive subject tends to be lower entity in the animacy hierarchy (cf. Silverstein 1976), typically inanimate.

Grammatical voice and tense-aspect in Slavic 197

much discussed in the literature. We consider these five characteristics as properties of sive subject tends to be inanimate while the active subject tends to be human, has been and (iv) are functional. The morphosemantic characteristics of characteristic (v) (cf. Comrie 1977; Givón 1990: 295–303; Toyota 2003: 111–113), which claims that the pas-It is obvious that characteristics (i) and (ii) in (6) are more morphosyntactic, while (iii) the prototypical passive.

Slavic passive: Synchronic analysis

3.1 Myths of Slavic periphrastic constructions

A number of previous works, such as Harrison (1967), Siewierska (1988) and various possess several constructions, such as the reflexive, which express meanings commonly descriptive grammar books of individual Slavic languages, claim that Slavic languages associated with the passive voice. The construction that we consider as the passive per se in Slavic languages is a periphrastic passive construction, shown below in (7) to (9).

Russian (Babby & Brecht 1975:342)

gate.nom was open.PAST.PART.PASS Oleg.INSTR 'The gate was opened by Oleg.' byla otkryta Kalitka 3

Czech (Duškova 1972: 101)

Princeton experiment was perform.PAST.PART.PASS aluminium.INSTR and provden j, Princeton pokus gold.instr zlatem (8)

The Princeton experiment was performed with aluminium and gold.

Serbo-Croatian

(od naučnika) proof is find.PAST.PAST.PASS of scientist "The proof is found (by the scientist)." (9) Dokaz je pronađen

There seem to be several reasons to believe that these constructions are passive, which can be listed below:

- The subject is an undergoer, not an actor (10)
- The actor can be expressed in an oblique phrase (cf. (9)) or by the instrument case (cf. (7))
 - The construction differs from unmarked active constructions, in having an auxiliary and the main verb in passive participle form. :≓

Also notice that (7) to (9) represent each branch of the Slavic languages. These examples are all considered passive (see, for example, Siewierska 1988 and various other

to be a periphrastic passive in Slavic can be another construction in disguise. Once the historical development is taken into consideration, it is possible to consider them as a type of tense-aspectual construction in some branches. This is discussed extensively in characteristics in (10) fit with the characteristics indicated in i, ii and v in (6), which motivates the claim that (7) to (9) are instances of the passive. However, what appears descriptive grammars), and this view has gone somewhat unchallenged so far. Section 4, but before this, we take a look at some synchronic characteristics.

3.2 Stative-dynamic aspectual distinction

cluding the perfect and progressive. In theory, the passive participle in Slavic can be This tends to cause the clause to be viewed as an entirety, but the overall interpretation combined with the overt tense marking, can create tense-aspectual distinctions, informed with both perfective and imperfective verbs, but in reality, the participle tends to be formed with the perfective verb, perhaps with the exception of Polish (Siewierska factors is the aspectual distinction between the stative and the dynamic aspects. Since the passive is not supposed to be related to the stative aspect (cf. Section 2.1), a clause ticular syntactic environment can help us to identify the dynamic reading (cf. Freed manic languages, for example, the 'have'-perfect and the progressive constructions are often used as an indicator of the dynamic aspect (cf. Toyota 2003:55-60). However, these tests are not useful in Slavic, since the Slavic verbs always carry derivational morphologies distinguishing between the perfective and imperfective aspect. This, (988:247). Russian, in fact, always forms the passive participle with the perfective verb. In distinguishing the passive voice from the tense-aspect relationship, one of the key expressing the dynamic aspect is more likely to be considered the passive. A number of scholars use such criteria as a diagnostic of the passive (cf. Estival 1986, 1989; Siewierska 1988; Givón 1990:600-602; Toyota 2003). It is often cited that the par-1979: 57-58; Dowty 1979: 55-56; Brinton 1988: 242). With specific reference to Gerof the clause can be contextual, too (Bulanin 1963).

goda 1976). For example, anything referring to the duration of time, such as for two weeks, always, etc., expresses the stative aspect, while others such as by tomorrow, in two days, etc. denote the dynamic aspect. Consider the following examples of dynamic clauses: (11) is an example with a temporal adverb indicating the dynamic aspect and (12) is based on the contextual clues. An important point in examples like (11) and (12) is that the use of the copula does not affect the overall aspectual distinction, i.e. the copula is an inherently stative verb, but the overall aspectual interpretation of the A possible test applicable to the Slavic is the insertion of a time adverbial (cf. Przyclause comes from the past participle.

Russian (Siewierska 1988:249)

in two hours everything was arrange.PAST.PART.PASS bylo ulazeno 'Everything was arranged in two hours.' (11) Za dva časa vsio

Polish (Siewierska 1988: 250)

separate.PAST.PART.PASS udziec oddzielony 'Shortly the skin was removed and the leg separated from the back.' shortly skin was remove.PAST.PART.PASS and leg Wkrótce skóra była zdjęta grzbietu (12)

Phrases referring to egressive aspect are a sign of dynamic aspect, since the stativity cannot refer to the end of state or action. The phrase referring to ingressive aspect, on the other hand, can be both stative and dynamic.

overtly, as shown in (13) (colloquial Sorbian) and (14) (Polish).¹ This auxiliary does not appear in the tense-aspect related constructions. So it can be considered a passive ish and colloquial Sorbian, use an auxiliary 'become' to express the dynamic aspect Apart from what we have seen so far, some West Slavic languages, namely Pol-

Colloquial Sorbian (Knjazev 1988: 367)

after be. PAST plan approve. PAST. PART become. PAST. 3PL houses short time 'After the plan had been approved, the houses were built in short time.' chěže wordowachu Hdyž běchu plany wobkručene, build.Past.Part natwariene

Polish (Siewierska 1988: 251)

year w zeszłym roku room become.PAST paint.PAST.PART in last ротаюжапу 'The room was painted last year.' (14) Pokój został

come' to appear at colloquial register. Lower Sorbian allows both bys' be' and wordowas quial speech, cf. Note 2) and the additional choice, found only in the passive, may be 'become'. Polish does not use auxiliaries for tense-aspect (except for 'have' in a collo-Upper Sorbian optionally omits the auxiliary być 'be', but it still allows wordować 'betaken as an indicator for the establishment of the category of passive.

needs to express the dynamic aspect. As long as a clause expresses stative aspect, it can be considered related to the tense-aspectual construction. The dynamic aspect from What is crucial in the tense-aspectual domain of the passive is that the whole clause the periphrastic construction is only possible in a limited number of Slavic languages: East Slavic and some of West Slavic (Polish, Czech and Slovak). What is common among them is that these languages have a much more grammaticalised past tense, which originated in the earlier periphrastic construction. We discuss this in detail, later, in Section 4.2.

3.3 Causer-causee relationship

this example is identical to the verb passive. However, what distinguishes constructions This is related to the characteristic (6i), where the active counterpart is expected. These examples merely describe the state of the subject, with no outer cause implied in the What appears to be the passive can be an adjectival construction or a tense-aspectual construction in disguise. For example, constructions like The house is located in the city centre or The box is covered with dust in English are considered passive. Structure-wise, ike this from the passive is the presence of outer cause or causer-causee relationship. clause. So these examples should be excluded from the passive category.

so we are concerned more with semantic, rather than syntactic, transitivity. According to this type of transitivity, when a lexical verb is transitive, such as a verb of creation or destruction (cf. Kozinsky 1980; Testelec 1998), passivisation is more easily done. Kittilä The causer-causee relationship is often considered in terms of transitivity. The term transitivity is normally used very loosely in linguistic theory, and at least two types can be identified. Semantic transitivity is concerned with the transfer of action or event from one entity (actor) to another (undergoer). Syntactic transitivity is solely Alternatively, transitivity can be viewed as a continuum, as proposed in Lakoff (1977) or Hopper and Thompson (1980). What we are concerned with here is the outer cause, concerned with whether the direct object is present (transitive) or absent (intransitive). (2002:23) rightly points out this correlation of the passive and transitivity as follows:

from less transitive ones, since ... only clauses conceived of as somehow transitive are to be passivized in many languages. The acceptability of passivization correlates to some extent with transitivity: the more transitive a clause is, the more readily it can be Passivization makes it in many (but not all) cases possible to separate transitive clauses passivised. (see, for example, Lehmann 1991:224ff.; Rice 1987) So once the clause is interpreted as the passive, it needs not only the recipient of outer cause, i.e. undergoer, but also the presence of an outer cause, i.e. actor (whether it is overtly expressed or not).2

perfect in the Germanic, were developed to accommodate the growing demands for the actor-oriented resultative construction. The following example shows earlier stages of In other branches of Indo-European languages, various tactics, such as the 'have'the resultative in Germanic languages. The actor is expressed in the dative case.

Gothic (Toyota 2003:49)

'and a great blowing wind raised/has raised the sea' (John 6.18) mikilamma waiandin urraisida was blowing raised wind.DAT great (15) ip marei winda

European languages, and such characteristics lead to the distinction of be-language and have-language (cf. Isačenko 1974). Interestingly, the use of actor in dative case in (15) corresponds to the expression of possessor in the periphrastic possessive construction The periphrastic resultative construction often uses the auxiliary 'have' in Indoin Gothic, as shown below:

Gothic (Davis 1986:136)

and not was they. DAT children. NOM "They had no children." (Luke 1.7) barne jah ni was im

languages, the resultative construction (stative clause with undergoer-orientation) acquired the actor-oriented construction with an invention of 'have'. In Slavic, the active and passive participle distinction creates a difference in orientation. However, construction-wise, the actor is not clearly distinguished from the undergoer in the As is obvious, the possession acquired the lexical verb 'have' in almost all Indo-Hamp 1954; Markey 1986:8; Davis 1986:114-115, 134). In Germanic or Romance European languages, although its etymology is not clear (cf. Meyer 1915:224-237; resultative.

The actor phrase can be also found in modern Slavic languages. It is expressed by either case marking (instrument in (17)) or prepositions as in (18), (19) and also earlier examples (7) and (9).

Russian (Wójcik 1973:119)

conservation, nom was finish, past, part, pass workmen, instr "The conservation work has been finished by the workmen." byla zaakončena (17) Konservacia

Polish (Siewierska 1984:10)

przez Pana Tadeusza Mr. Tadeusz estate.nom was administer.past.part.pass by 'The estate was administered by Mr. Tadeusz.' był administrowany Majatek (18)

Bulgarian (Radewa 1984:214)

lion was lock.up.PAST.PART.PASS from people horata 10 "The lion was locked up by people." (19) Lv't bese zatvoren

superficially, the presence of actor indicates high transitivity, and these examples appear to be passive. However, this can be a different construction in disguise. As shown in Gothic examples in (15) and (16), the actor of resultative construction can be expressed in the oblique phrase. This can be proven by analysing the historical development of the Slavic languages.

4. Historical development

is rare outside Indo-European. In most languages, the passive is formed by adding a This difference in construction seems to correspond to the geographic distribution of each form. Dryer (1982:55) claims "the use of copula plus an adjective in passive clause The passive involves two types of overt marking, i.e. periphrastic and morphological.

passive suffix to the verb." Haspelmath (1990:29) and Anna Siewierska (p.c.) also express the same view. To this, we add languages in South-East Asia, such as Vietnamese, Thai, etc. and the Finnic languages (cf. (2))

Grammatical voice and tense-aspect in Slavic 201

tion, i.e. a construction that describes the resulting state with undergoer-orientation the Slavic languages fit in this type. However, there are varying degrees of development and the form in modern Slavic can be either resultative or passive. In the following tal path. Finally we illustrate how historical developments can be useful in deciding on i.e. the periphrastic construction in the Indo-European languages as well as the Finnic but not the languages in South-East Asia) stems from the earlier resultative construc-(Davis 1986; Givón 1990:600-602; Estival 1986, 1989; Toyota 2003). Needless to say, three sections, we first demonstrate the origin of the passive and then the developmen-This geographic distribution is closely related to the origin of the construction, the prototypicality of the passive.

4.1 Origin of Slavic passive

The older Slavic languages already had periphrastic constructions, which involve the copula and a deverbalised adjective, such as an adjectival participle. This means that the participle often carries inflectional markers at earlier stages (cf. Szemerenyi 1980; 297; Davis 1986: 24; Greenberg 2000: 182–186). Examples in (20), as well as (22) and (23), show the agreement marker of person, number and gender on the participle.

Old Church Slavonic (Hantley 1993: 152)

come.prf.part.masc be.impf.pres.3sg Basiliscus 'Basiliscus has come.' (Suprasliensis 20.2) prišelü (50)

tion as a passive: what appears to be the passive in (21) to (23) is, in fact, still related to guages still preserve this agreement system (cf. (24) and (25)). As we have seen in tense-aspectual constructions, like the Gothic example (15). The hint of earlier passive constructions can be found in these examples, especially in terms of its structure and the existence of outer cause expressed in the instrument case in (21) and (22) or by the (20) is concerned with aspect and the construction is active. Some modern Slavic lan-Section 3.3, the presence of outer cause is indispensable if a clause is to be considered a passive. However, the implication of outer cause is not sufficient to claim a construcuse of preposition 'of' (23).

Old Church Slavonic (Schmalstieg 1983:191)

for nothing more will.be to this that thrown will.be out and trampled.on isypana bodetů vřnů i bodetů ků tomu da ni čisomu že č(lověk)y

'It will be good for nothing so that it will be thrown out and trampled on by men.' (Matt. 5.13) men.instr

Old East Slavic (Dorosz 1975:89)

(22) I bie obieszczano Duchom Swiatym nie widieti smierti and was proclaim.PAST.NEUT ghost.INSTR holy.INSTR not see death 'And it was proclaimed by the Holy Ghost that death will not be seen.'

Old Polish (Dorosz 1975:92)

(23) ... by these krolesthwo tho nakonyeez abo skazano od so also kingdom this end or sentence.past.part.neut of nyeprzyaczol, abo posyandzyono od poganov nye było enemeies or possess.past.part.neut of pagans not be '... and also so that the kingdom will never be overtun by its enemies or possessed by pagans.

in the development of Indo-European languages, i.e. the resultative was only possible with an undergoer-orientation. The origin of this construction may well date back to as early as Proto-Indo-European, especially where the distinction between the passive are capable of acting on their own. Therefore, they tend to be animate. The referent from the monovalent inactive noun construction, where the state of the subject was the undergoer-orientation in the perfective passive participle. The active participle was a later innovation. Also, due to the lack of the initiative expressed in the passive participle, this participle is also considered to have contributed to the emergence of the middle voice (Gamkrelidze & Ivanov 1995: 260-261). This is so, since the middle voice can express the spontaneous event, without implying the input of outer cause. So it is This superficial similarity to the typical passive is due to the undergoer-orientation and the actor expressed in the oblique phrase. However, this is one of the inevitable stages and active participle is concerned. In Proto-Indo-European, the basic binary nominal distinction into active and inactive nouns played an important role in the grammatiof inactive nouns, on the contrary, cannot initiate an action and therefore, they are normally inanimate. Proto-Indo-European perfect is believed to have been derived expressed (cf. Gamkrelidze & Ivanov 1995: 260). This lack of initiative to act automatically makes the inactive noun the undergoer, which can be considered to have created cal construction (cf. Gamkrelidze & Ivanov 1995). Active nouns refer to entities which obvious by now that the earlier resultative is the origin of the Slavic passive.

4.2 The development of tense systems

Constructions like (21) to (23) are, however, not yet fully considered passive, and the development into a more prototypical passive is aided by the change in the tense system, especially the past tense. The tense-aspect system in the Slavic languages has not changed much. For example, Polabian has preserved the Proto-Slavic tense-aspectual system (Polański 1993:814). However, it does not exclude any changes, and we restrict our argument to changes relating to the ANTERIOR. The earlier aorist expressed the past without referring to the aspectual difference and it had its own conjugation. In the course of historical development, the periphrastic construction

like (20) to (23) became popular, although it sporadically existed even in Proto-Slavic (Schenker 1993:94). When the copula and the past participle, both active and passive, were used in the same clause, it often expressed a resultative or perfect. The difference between the active and passive participle is orientation, i.e. the active participle has actor-orientation and the passive participle, undergoer-orientation. This type of construction still thrives in some of modern Slavic languages.

The copula is historically the oldest choice of auxiliary, but some languages developed to use different ones: some West Slavic languages use 'have' as an auxiliary for the perfect, as in Czech (24), Kashubian (25) as well as in Macedonian (26).

Czech (Maslov 1988:80)

(24) Mám úloh-u napsa-n-ou have.1sg task-acc.fem write-past.part-acc.sg.fem 'I have written my task.'

Kashubian (Stone 1993: 777)

(25) On mô to wszerko zrobiony he have.3sg it all do.past.part.act.masc 'He has done it all.'

Macedonian (de Bray 1980a: 207–208)

(26) Imam radoteno have.1sg work.past.part.pass 'I have worked.' Furthermore, some express the same meaning without the use of auxiliary, as shown in the following examples:

Belarusian (Tommola 2000: 445)

- (27) a. Ja/Ty wżo atryma-l-a zameżny paśpart pron.1/2sc already get.pre-past-fem foreign passport Tyou (have) got my/your passport already.

 h. Mw/Wy/lany pra-cliadze-l-i kambinacy-ju
 - b. My/Vy/Jany pra-gljadze-l-i kambinacy-ju prox.1/2/3pl through-look-past-pl combination-acc 'We/you/they (have) overlooked the manoeuvre.'

Czech (Tommola 2000: 446)

(28) No, jeste se nevráti-l-a no still ref return.NEG-PAST-FEM 'No, she's still gone.' These examples show that the suffix -! functions as a past tense marker. The past tense form in languages without the auxiliary used to be the active participle (de Bray 1980c: 77, 153–154). This participle is formed with an infinitival form of verb and the suffix -!, which now functions as a past tense marker in the languages forming the past

tense without auxiliary. Since it was a participle earlier, it was inflected in gender and number (e.g. masc -l; FEM -la; NEUT -lo; PL -li), as in (29).

Old East Slavic (Tommola 2000: 459)

part fast-gen pass.prf-past.part.act-fem cop 'A part of the fast-time has passed.' preminu-l-a (29) časť post-a

served as shown in (27) and (28).4 The omission of the copula can be found in East per Sorbian, however, the copula is optionally allowed. Thus Kashubian, in particular, came reanalysed as an inflectional past tense marker, but the agreement is still pre-Slavic and West Slavic except for Rusyn and Lower Sorbian. In Kashubian and Upuses three different constructions, with 'have' (25), with 'be' (30a), and without an Notice the presence of the copula in (29). When it is dropped, the suffix -l beauxiliary (30b).

Kashubian (Stone 1993: 777)

am work.Past.Part.act I work.PAST.PART.ACT jó jem robit(a) 'I worked.' 'I worked.' jô robił e. ۻ

stone (1993:776-777) notes that the construction with 'be' in Kashubian is found within literature or used by an older generation. This shows that constructions with have' (25) or without auxiliary (30b) are newer.

There is a general pattern in the grammaticalisation of auxiliary, ranging from a lexical verb to its loss through a period of auxiliary, cliticisation and affixation (cf. Givón 1984: 270-271; Haspelmath 1990: 38; Heine 1993), and the grammaticalisation of the past tense in the Slavic can be roughly formulated as in Figure 1. The arrow in the scale indicates the direction of historical change. Thus 'be' in the South Slavic is at the stage of full lexical verb or auxiliary, and in the East and West Slavic, it has developed further into its loss. Polish, however, still preserves its affixation stage (cf. Note 4).

The distribution of the different types of construction is more or less uniform according to the branch within the Slavic groups, except for West Slavic. For example, the omission of auxiliary is common in East Slavic, but not so in South Slavic, and West Slavic, such as Kashubian and Upper Sorbian, has a mixture of constructions. We

Loss	East and West Slavic
Affixation	Polish
Cliticisation	
Auxiliary	South Slavic
Full lexical verb	

Figure 1. Schematic representation of past tense auxiliary

Table 2. Periphrastic constructions in modern Slavic

	With a	With auxiliary	Without	Without auxiliary	Auxiliary
	Active	Passive	Active	Passive	
- EAST					
Belarusian		>	>		,pe,
Russian		>	>		'De'
Ukrainian		>	`,		'be'
- WEST					
Czech		>	`>		'be'/'have'*†
Kashubian	>	>	S		'be'/'have'/(×)
Polish		>	>		'be'/'have'*†
Rusyn	>	>			, pe
Slovak	>	>	>		'be'
Sorbian (upper)	>	>	E		$'be'/(\times)$
Sorbian (lower)	`>	>			'be'
- SOUTH					:
Bulgarian	>	>			'be'/'have'*
Macedonian	>	>			'be'/'have'
Serbo-Croatian	>	>			'be'
Slovene	>	>			'be'

 \star = 'have'-perfect is restricted to the spoken, colloquial register; \dagger = 'have'-perfect cannot be formed Note: $\checkmark=$ characteristic is present; $\times=$ characteristic is absent; () = characteristic is optional; with all the transitive verbs.

West Slavic, South Slavic languages seem to be at the beginning or intermediate stage of the change towards the past tense. ⁶ Also, note that we consider Table 2 as a summary of modern Slavic languages, so older languages, including Polabian, are not listed. This guages show more diversity. This, then, holds an important clue in the emergence of ences found in the West Slavic indicate the transitional stage into more uniformity in the tense-aspect system and judging from the direction of change, the auxiliary will be deleted in the future (cf. Figure 1). Considering the changes observed in the East and table clearly shows that the East and South Slavic are uniform, but West Slavic lansummarise the details of various types we have seen so far in Table 2.5 We divide the constructions into two types, with or without auxiliary. We compare this distinction according to the type of past participle, i.e. whether active or passive. The subtle differthe passive.

4.3 The emergence of the passive in Slavic

grammaticalisation. So it is possible to say that the East Slavic languages do not rely heavily on the periphrastic construction any longer to deal with the subtle difference in With regard to the degree of grammatical change in the ANTERIOR constructions, those which express the tense-aspect without the auxiliary show a higher degree of tense-aspect. This can be applied to some West Slavic languages too and begs the ques-

should rely on the participle. This characteristic is not mentioned in (6), since this is a particular characteristic to periphrastic constructions, but on understanding the is important in the grammaticalisation of the passive is that the whole clause should represent the aspect expressed by the participle, not by the auxiliary 'be'. This means distinction of passive and tense-aspect relationship, it is crucial (cf. Siewierska 1988; features in describing the historical development, but it is only relevant at the earlier stage and once the passive is grammaticalised, the clause should be able to express that when the auxiliary went through the semantic bleaching, the aspectual distinction Toyota 2003, for the same point). The stative reading in the passive is one of the crucial Considering the details of the historical change of periphrastic construction, what dynamic aspect.

Such a change often results in ambiguous examples. As demonstrated in Thieroff (1994:11), the following Russian example illustrates a case where the aspectual difference cannot be easily determined:

Russian

When I came at five, the door was shut, but I don't know when it was shut.' shut.prf-past.past.pass-fem but I neg know-1sg when pron-fem when I come. Past prep five hour-gen. Pl door cop-past-fem aver by-l-a ja ne zna-ju, COP-PAST-FEM Shut.PRF-PAST.PART.PASS-FEM pjať čas-ov, 011 zakry-t-a (31) Kogda ja prišël zakry-t-a by-1-a

glish passive with inherently stative verbs demonstrates a typical syntactic behaviour of the dynamic verbal passive, but the overall interpretation of aspect is still stative. It is construction, another problem is expected. As argued in Toyota (2003:85-89), the Enhighly likely that the East Slavic and some of the West Slavic periphrastic constructions This type of ambiguity is a natural result of historical changes, but even the fact that the dynamic reading is possible indicates a sign of historical development, since it was not possible earlier. When the dynamic aspect becomes stabilised in the periphrastic encounter a similar ambiguity.

riphrastic construction with the passive participle is given a new function, i.e. the stranded passive participle construction became more attached to the grammatical In order to determine the category passive in Slavic, the development of the past tense is crucial: when the past tense started to be expressed morphologically, the pe-

voice, most likely due to its orientation. The loss of a binary counterpart in terms of orientation in East Slavic and some West Slavic languages creates the markedness in terms of the orientation, which is crucial to the passive. This means that the passive construction does not develop on its own, but it is created due to the changes in the tense-aspectual domain and those languages that form the past tense without an auxiliary have the marked passive constructions. What is left from the earlier construction, which has a passive participle with an undergoer-orientation, is given a new function. Therefore, the construction itself has not changed much except for the aspectual coding, but such a change can also be attributed to the reanalysis of other constructions.

sive is concerned. Kashubian and both Upper and Lower Sorbian are considered to be at an intermediate stage, which is mainly due to the optionality of the auxiliary 'be'. In struction with the passive participle, and these languages are sensitive to the distinction passive participle can be considered to be a passive, as illustrated in Table 3. When the construction is considered to be a passive, the choice of auxiliary is also illustrated. The addition, Sorbian (both upper and lower) uses 'become' just for the periphrastic constructions, it can be considered as a passive, but the presence of the 'auxiliary with of the passive. When the auxiliary is absent, in our view, the construction with the passive is found in East Slavic and some of West Slavic, but not at all in South Slavic. West Slavic is a mixture of characteristics from East and South Slavic as far as the pasof stative-dynamic distinction. Since 'become' is not used for the tense-aspectual con-Based on Table 2, we compare the use of the auxiliary and the presence or absence

Table 3. Possibility of the passive in modern Slavic

- Addition	Tense-aspect	spect	Passive	Periphrastic
	Aux. present	Aux. absent	Passive	
- EAST				
Selarusian		`~	'be'	Passive
Russian		`>	'be'	Passive
Jkrainian		>	,pe,	Passive
-WEST				
Czech		>	'be'	Passive
Kashubian	>	S		Passive/tense-aspect
Polish		>	'be'/'become	Passive
Rusvn	>			Tense-aspect
Slovak	>	`>	'be'	Passive/tense-aspect
Sorbian (upper)	\	S	'be'/'become'	Passive/tense-aspect
Sorbian (lower)	>		'become'	Passive/tense-aspect
-SOUTH				
Bulgarian	>			Tense-aspect
Macedonian	`>			Tense-aspect
Serbo-Croatian	`			Tense-aspect
Slovene	>			Tense-aspect

Note: $\checkmark = \text{characteristic}$ is present; () = characteristic is optional.

active participle' construction indicates the lesser degree of grammaticalisation than the construction with the passive participle only.

5. Conclusion

served an earlier periphrastic aspectual/resultative construction. Nonetheless, there Slavic, the past tense is formed morphologically based on the earlier periphrastic construction with the active participle. This gave an opportunity for the old periphrastic construction with the passive participle to be reanalysed as the passive. However, this matical passive, that previous works, in fact, consider it passive in every branch of have been changes, too. In the modern East Slavic and some of the modern West change has not happened in the South Slavic languages. This diversity influences the We have investigated whether there really exists a passive in the Slavic. Furthermore, we have demosntrated how the historical relationship between the tense-aspect and the passive affects the interpretation of the Slavic passive. We have argued that the periphrastic construction with the passive participle synchronically looks like the gram-Slavic languages but that this can be misleading. The Slavic languages have often predetermination of the category passive in the Slavic languages.

3). The South Slavic languages still use the periphrastic construction as a means of expressing the ANTERIOR. Historically, the passive did not exist in the earlier periods in the Indo-European languages. This suggests that the passive is a new category, and it may still be developing further. This is exactly the case in Slavic languages. In order to determine grammatical categories like the passive, it is important to incorporate the historical development of the construction and see the extent to which it has been The passive voice, therefore, does exist in the Slavic languages, but only in the East and some of the West Slavic, which express the past tense without auxiliary (cf. Table grammaticalised.

demonstrated that the diachronic development of the passive as well as tense-aspect is Following from the diachronic relationships found in grammaticalisation, we have crucial to the correct analysis of the grammatical voice and tense-aspect in Slavic.

Notes

sion of this paper. Any shortcomings are, needless to say, our own. Abbreviations used in this work: * We would like to thank Werner Abraham, John Saeed, Larisa Leisiö, Donncha O'Croinin, Brian Nolan, and Maireád Bates for valuable comments and suggestions, which improved the original ver-ACT, active; AOR, aorist; COMP, complimentiser; COP, copula; DAT, dative; FEM, feminine; GEN, genitive; IMPF, imperfect; IMRPF, imperfect(ive); INSTR, instrumental; INTR, intransitive; MASC, masculine; neg, negative; neut, neuter; nom, nominative; Pakt, participle; Pass, passive; Past, Past; PL, plural; PRES, present; PREP, preposition; PRF, perfect(ive); PRON, pronoun; REF, reflexive; SG, singular; TR, transitive; 1, first person; 2, second person; 3, third person.

Grammatical voice and tense-aspect in Slavic 209

. Also historically, Polabian used vårdot 'become' as an auxiliary, as shown below, along with bait

Polabian (Polański 1993:815)

become.PAST.38G beat.PAST.PART.PASS 'He was beaten.' Vårdol

- have marked actor markings, such as the use of different cases. This also signals the peculiarities of verbs difficult, since the transitivity is not so high in this construction. The perception verbs also often 2. Note the presence of outer cause, in addition to the stativity, makes the passivisation of perception these verbs.
- (2000:82) says that "the 'have'-perfect is more or less limited to spoken language and it cannot be formed from all transitive verbs." However, the use of 'have' in Polish is generally not mentioned in 3. In addition to these examples, some even claim that Polish has the 'have'-perfect. Bubenik the reference grammar (cf. de Bray 1980b; Bielec 1998) and there is no example cited in Bubenik.
- lke the East Slavic, but it also requires an agreement marker, which is derived from the copula być copula, jest-em 'I am', jest-es, 'You are (sc)', jest-ø, 'he/she/it is'. Notice that it differs from the original 4. In Polish, however, the further development can be observed: it uses a former past participle active, be' (de Bray 1980c.311), e.g. compare -em, -eś, -ø in the examples below with the ending of the agreement marker in the participle. Polish (Tommola 2000: 444)

Porna-t-em ją meet-past-1sg her 'I have met her.' ત્વં

do-PAST-2sG already homework inz Odrobi-t-eś

'Have you done the homework?'

PRON.3SG.MASC/(FEM) read-PAST.3SG.MASC-(FEM) this book czyta-ł(-a) On(-a)

ڻ

'He/She has read this book.'

The development of być 'be' in particular illustrates an important step in the development. By this, we mean that in the East Slavic, the earlier copula became an auxiliary and now it is realised as a part of tense-aspectual affix. Cf. Figure 1.

- Shevelow (1993:967), on Ukrainian, claims that "it is safe to assume that in the Central Ukrainian 5. We have not indicated the exact period of change, since it may vary from language to language. area the imperfect was lost at the latest in the twelfth century, the aorist in the fourteenth century and the use of the auxiliary verb in the perfect by the seventeenth century."
- preserve the aorist and imperfect form, but they are generally considered literary archaism, but in newer forms: in Slovene, for example, the aorist and imperfect forms totally disappeared and the periphrastic construction became the past. Bosnian and Croatian dialects of Serbo-Croatian still Serbian dialect, they are still used, although the periphrastic construction is edging its way (Savić 6. In South Slavic, however, this form still survives with a different degree of mixture of older and 1991; Lindstedt 2000: 374).

211

References

- Abraham, W. (1999). The aspect-case typology correlation: Perfective and Burzio's generalization. In E. Reuland (Ed.), Arguments and case: Explaining Burzio's generalization (pp. 129-190), Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Abraham, W. (2004). Myths and truths about the passive in sundry languages. The compositional emergence of the analytic passive and perfect: 'Argument hypothesis' vs. 'Aspect hypothesis'. Paper delivered at the Passive workshop, Helsinki, 4 January. (See Abraham, this volume).
 - Andersen, P. K. (1991). A new look at the passive. Frankfurt, AM: Kulturwiss.
- Babby, L. H., & R. D. Brecht (1975). The syntax of voice in Russian. Language, 51, 342-367.
- Beedham, C. (1981). The passive in English, German and Russian. Journal of Linguistics, 17, 319-327.
 - Beedham, C. (1982). The passive aspect in English, German and Russian. Tübingen: Narr.
 - Bielec, D. (1998). Polish: An essential grammar. London: Routledge.
- Bray, R. G. A., de (1980a). Guide to the Slavonic languages: Part 1. Guide to the South Slavonic languages. Columbus, OH: Slavica.
 - Bray, R. G. A., de (1980b). Guide to the Slavonic languages. Part 2. Guide to the West Slavonic languages. Columbus, OH: Slavica.
- Bray, R. G. A., de (1980c). Guide to the Slavonic languages: Part 3. Guide to the East Slavonic languages. Columbus, OH: Slavica.
 - Brinton, L. J. (1988). The development of English aspectual systems. Cambridge: CUP.
- Bubenik, V. (2000). Morphological and syntactic change in Medieval Greek and South Slavic languages.

München: Lincom.

- Bulanin, L. L'vovič (1963). O niekotorych problemach zalogo v russkom jazykie). Issledovanija po Grammatikie Russkovo Jazyka IV. Učonyje Zapiski LGU.
- Bulanin, L. L'vovič (1995). K obosnovaniju sistemy vremeni v sovremennom russkom jazyke. In Meždunarodnaja jubilejnaja sessija, posvjaščennaja 100-letiju V. V. Vinogradova (pp. 122-123). Moscow: Tezisy dokładov.
- Comrie, B. (1977). In defense of spontaneous demotion: Impersonal passive. In P. Cole & J. M. Sadock (Eds.), Syntax and Semantics, Vol. 8: Grammatical relations (pp. 47-58). New York, NY:
- Comrie, B. (1981). Aspect and voice: Some reflections on perfect and passive. In P. J. Tedeschi & A. Zaenen (Eds.), Tense and aspect (pp. 65-78). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Comrie, B. (1989). Language universal and linguistic typology. 2nd ed. Chicago, IL: University of
- Croft, W. (2001). Radical construction grammar. Syntactic theory in typological perspective. Oxford:
- Croft, W., H. Bat-Zeev Shyldkrot, & S. Kemmer (1987). Diachronic semantic processes in the middle voice. In A. G. Ramat et al. (Eds.), Papers from the 7th ICHL (pp. 179-192). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Davis, G. W. (1986). The origin of the 'to be' + preterite participle perfect periphrase in Germanic. PhD dissertation, University of Michigan.
- Dik, S. C. (1989). The theory of functional grammar. Part 1: The structure of the clause. Dordrecht:
- Dixon, R. M. W. (1991). A new approach to English grammar: On semantic principle. Oxford: OUP. Dorosz, A. (1975). Werbaine Konstrukcje Bezosobowe w Języka Czeskiego. Warszawa: PWN.

 - Dowty, D. R. (1979). Word meaning and Montague grammar. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Dryer, M. (1982). In defence of universal passive. Linguistic Analysis, 10, 53–60.

- Estival, D. (1986). The passive in English: A case of syntactic change. PhD thesis, University of Duškova, L. (1972). The passive voice in English and Czech. Philologica Pragensia, 14, 14-143.
- Estival, D. (1989). A diachronic study of the English passive. Diachronica, 6, 23-54.
- Freed, A. F. (1979). The semantics of English aspectual complementation. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Gamkrelidze, T. V., & V. V. Ivanov (1995). Indo-European and Indo-Europeans: A reconstruction and historical analysis of a proto-language and proto-culture. Part 1: Text. (English version by Johanna Nichols). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
 - Greenberg, J. H. (2000). Indo-European and its closest relatives. Vol. 1: Grammar. Stanford, CA: Givón, T. (1984). Syntax: A functional-typological introduction. Vol. 1. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. Givón, T. (1990). Syntax: A functional-typological introduction. Vol. 2. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hamp, E. P. (1954). Olt. gaib-, Welsh gafael, caffael, cael, cahel. Zeitschrift für Celtische Philologie, 24, Stanford University Press.
- Hantley, D. (1993). Old Church Slavonic. In B. Comrie & G. Corvett (Eds.), The Slavonic languages (pp. 125-187). London: Routledge.
- Harrison, W. (1967). Expression of the passive voice [Studies in the modern Russian Language 4]. Harris, A. C., & L. Campbell (1995). Historical syntax in cross-linguistic perspective. Cambridge: CUP. Cambridge: CUP.
 - Haspelmath, M. (1990). Grammaticalization of the passive morphology. Study of Language, 14, 25-72. Heine, B. (1993). Auxiliaries. Oxford: OUP.
- lsačenko, A. (1974). On have and be languages: A typological sketch. In M. Flier (Ed.), Slavic Forum Hopper, P. J., & S. Thompson (1980). Transitivity in grammar and discourse. Language, 56, 251-339. (pp. 43-77). The Hague: Mouton.
 - Keenan, E. L. (1985). Passive in the world languages. In T. Shopen (Ed.), Language typology and syntactic description: Clause structure. Vol. 1 (pp. 243-281). Cambridge: CUP.
- Knjazev, J. P. (1988). Resultative, passive and perfect in Russian. In V. P. Nedjalkov (Ed.), Typology of Kittilä, S. (2002). Transitivity: Towards a comprehensive typology. Turku: University of Turku Press. resultative constructions (pp. 343-368). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Kozinsky, I. Š. (1980). Nekotorye grammatičeskie universalii v podsistemax vyraženija sub'ektnoob'ektnyx otnošenij. PhD dissertation, MGU Moscow.
 - Lakoff, G. (1977). Linguistic gestalts. Chicago Linguistic Society, 13, 236-287.
- Lazard, G. (1995). Typological research on actancy: The Paris RIVALC group. In M. Shibatani & T. Bynon (Eds.), Approaches to language typology (pp. 167-213). Oxford: OUP.
 - Lehmann, C. (1991). Predicate classes and participation. In H. Seiler & W. Premper (Eds.), Partizipation: Das sprachliche Erfassen von Sachverhalten (pp. 1983–239). Tübingen: Narr.
- Lindstedt, Jouko (2000). The perfect aspectual, temporal and evidential. In Dahl, Östen (Ed.), Tense and Aspect in the Language of Europe (pp. 265-383). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
 - Markey, T. L. (1986). Some verbal remarks. In Festschrift für Jacek Fisiak (pp. 1-17), The Hague:
- Maslow, J. S. (1988). Resultative, perfect, and aspect. In V. P. Nedjalkov (Ed.), Typology of resultative constructions (pp. 63-85). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Nedjalkov, V. P., & S. E. Jaxontov (1988). The typology of resultative constructions. In V. P. Nedjalkov Meyer, K. H. (1915). Lateinisch habere, gotisch haban und Verwandtes. IF, 35, 224–237.
 - (Ed.), Typology of resultative constructions (pp. 3-62). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. Palmer, F. R. (1994). Grammatical rules and relations. Cambridge: CUP.
- Payne, T. E. (1997). Describing morphosyntax: A guide to field linguistics. Cambridge: CUP.

- Poláński, K. (1993). Polabian. In B. Comrie & G. Corvett (Eds.), The Slavonic languages (pp. 795-824).
- Przygoda, M. (1976). Predykatywne konstrukcje syntaktyczne z imiesłowem biernym dokonanym we współczesnym języku rosyjskim w aspekcie porównawczym z językiem Polskim. Zielona Góra: Wydawnictwo Wyższej Szkoły Pedagogicznej.
 - Radewa, S. (1984). Zwięzły Kurs Języka Bułgarskiego. Warszawa: Wiedza Powszechna.
- Rice, S. (1987). Toward a cognitive model of transitivity. PhD dissertation, University of California,
- Ruhlen, M. (1991). A guide to the world's languages. Vol. 1: Classification. Stanford, CA: Stanford
 - Savić, S. (1991). Pragmatični aspekti vremena u naraciji u srpskohrvatskom standardnom jeziku. University Press.
- Schenker, A. M. (1993). Proto-Slavic. In B. Comrie & G. Corvett (Eds.), The Slavonic languages Godišnjak Filozofskog Fakulteta u Novom Sadu, 20, 149–155.
 - (pp. 60-121). London: Routledge.
- Schoorlemmer, M. (1995). Participial passive and aspect in Russian. PhD dissertation, University of Schmalstieg, W. R. (1983). An introduction to Old Church Slavic. 2nd ed. Columbus, OH: Slavica.
- Shevelow, G. Y. (1993). Ukrainian. In B. Comrie & G. Corvett (Eds.), The Slavonic languages (pp. 947– 998). London: Routledge
- Shibatani, M. (1998). Voice parameters. In L. Kulikov & H. Vater (Eds.), Typology of verbal categories: Shibatani, M. (1985). Passive and related constructions: A prototype analysis). Language, 61, 821-848. Papers presented to Vladimir Nedjalkov on the occasion of his 70th birthday (pp. 117–138), Tübingen: Niemeyer.
 - Siewierska, A. (1984). Passive: A comparative linguistic analysis. London: Croom Helm.
- Siewierska, A. (1988). The passive in Slavic. In M. Shibatani (Ed.), Passive and voice (pp. 243-289). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- matical categories in Australian languages (pp. 112-171). Canberra: Australian Institute of Silverstein, M. (1976). Hierarchy of features and ergativity. In R. M. W. Dixon (Ed.), Gram-Aboriginal Studies.
 - Stone, G. (1993). Cashubian. In B. Comrie & G. Corbett (Eds.), The Slavonic languages (pp. 759-794).
- Szemerenyi, O. (1980). Einführung in die vergleichende Sprachwissenschaft. Darmstadt: Wissen-London: Routledge.
- Testelec, Y. G. (1998). On two parameters of transitivity. In L. Klikov & H. Vater (Eds.), Typology of verbal categories: Papers presented to Vladimir Nedjalkov on the occasion of his 70th bitthday (pp. 29-45). Tübingen: Niemeyer. schaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Thieroff, R. (1994). Passive, perfects, resultatives, and statives. In Three papers on the perfect (pp. 1– 20). (EUROTYP Working Papers VI: 5).
- Tommola, H. (2000). On the perfect in North Slavic. In Ö. Dahl (Ed.), Tense and aspect in the languages Thompson, C. (1994). Passive and inverse constructions. In T. Givón (Ed.), Voice and inversion (pp. 47-63). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
 - of Europe (pp. 441-478). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Toyota, J. (2003). Diachronic changes in the passive: Conceptual development and gradience. PhD dissertation, University of Manchester.
- Wojcik, T. (1973). Gramatyka języka Rosyjskiego. Warszawa: PWN.

Passive in Nganasan*

Larisa Leisiö

University of Helsinki

In this paper, the passive constructions in Nganasan will be discussed from a semanticfunctional point of view. Nganasan (also called Tavgi and Tavgi-Samoyed) is one of the Northern Samoyed languages belonging to the Uralic language family. Nganasan is an essentially agglutinative accusative SOV language.

productive. The discussion will concentrate on the aspectual and modal properties of the passive verb constructions, the semantics of subjects, and the discourse functions of the corresponding passive construction. The formation of passive verbs is quite In Nganasan, there is a derivational verbal suffix which turns transitive verbs into passive ones. The structural object of the transitive verb becomes the subject of these constructions.

provided and the criteria for the use of a particular participle will be discussed. In the based on participles. An analysis of Nganasan participial passive constructions will be Along with the passive verbal constructions, there are passive constructions conclusion, the main function of the Nganasan passive will be formulated.

structions and the criteria of the participle choice in the participial passive construc-An analysis of syntactic and discourse functions of Nganasan passive verb contions constitute a new input in the research of Nganasan syntax.

Introduction

1.1 Preliminaries

personal passive denies both the actor and the undergoer the subject role: both are either syntactically unexpressed or their integration in syntax is marginal¹ (Shibatani the subject role. The personal passive selects an undergoer as a subject. The im-In the accusative languages, the passive voice is a mechanism that denies the actor 1988:3).

ported to be frequent only in the Ob-Ugric languages (Khanty and Mansi) (Comrie 1988:468). It is also found in the Northern Samoyed languages: Nenets, Enets and Nganasan have a derivational verbal suffix that serves to derive a passive verb from sive. In Mari and Hungarian, personal passives are obsolete. Personal passive is re-Among the Uralic languages, 2 all Baltic Finnic languages have an impersonal pas-

Typological Studies in Language (TSL)

A companion series to the journal Studies in Language

General Editor Michael Noonan

Assistant Editors Spike Gildea, Suzanne Kemmer

Editorial Board

Sandra Thompson (Santa Barbara) Edith Moravcsik (Milwaukee) Andrew Pawley (Canberra) Charles Li (Santa Barbara) Doris Payne (Eugene, OR) Jerrold Sadock (Chicago) Frans Plank (Konstanz) Dan Slobin (Berkeley) Ronald Langacker (San Diego) Wallace Chafe (Santa Barbara) R. M. W. Dixon (Melbourne) Paul Hopper (Pittsburgh) Bernard Comrie (Leipzig) Matthew Dryer (Buffalo) Andrej Kibrik (Moscow) John Haiman (St Paul) Bernd Heine (Köln)

Volumes in this series will be functionally and typologically oriented, covering specific topics in language by collecting together data from a wide variety of languages and language typologies. The orientation of the volumes will be substantive rather than formal, with the aim of investigating universals of human language via as broadly defined a data base as possible, leaning toward cross-linguistic, diachronic, developmental and live-discourse data.

Volume 68

Passivization and Typology: Form and function Edited by Werner Abraham and Larisa Leisiö

Passivization and Typology

Form and function

Edited by

Werner Abraham

University of Vienna

Larisa Leisiö

University of Helsinki

John Benjamins Publishing Company Amsterdam/Philadelphia



of American National Standard for Information Sciences – Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, Ansi 239.48-1984. The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for T.-C

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Passivization and typology: form and function / edited by Werner Abraham, Larisa Leisiö.

p. cm. (Typological Studies in Language, 185N 0167–7373; v. 68) Includes bibliographical references and indexes.

1. Grammar, Comparative and general--Passive voice. 2. Typology (Linguistics) I. Abraham, Werner, II. Leisö, Larisa. III. Series.

P299.P4P37 2006

1SBN 90 272 2980 5 (Hb; alk. paper) 415--dc22

2006045890

© 2006 – John Benjamins B.V.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm, or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

John Benjamins Publishing Co. • P.O. Box 36224 • 1020 ме Amsterdam • The Netherlands John Benjamins North America • P.O. Box 27519 • Philadelphia PA 19118-0519 • USA

Table of contents

Contributors, addresses	IIA
Abbreviations	XI
Introduction: Passivization and typology. Form vs. function – a confined survey into the research status quo Werner Abraham	-
Part I. Functional Approaches A. Active-passive and reflexives	
Passives in Lithuanian (in comparison with Russian) Emma Geniusienė	29
Passive and middle in Indo-European: Reconstructing the early Vedic passive paradigm Leonid Kulikov	62
B. Triggers – aspectual, semantic, and discourse-pragmatic: Case studies	
Pragmatic nature of Mandarin passive-like constructions Marja Peltomaa	જે
Development of the <i>thùuk</i> passive marker in Thai Amara Prasithrathsint	115
The passives of Modern Irish Brian Nolan	132
The passive in Erzya Mordvin folklore Merja Salo	165
Grammatical voice and tense-aspect in Slavic Junichi Toyota and Melisa Mustafović	19
Passive in Nganasan Larisa Leisiö	213
C, Actor demotion	
'Agent defocusing' revisited: Passive and impersonal constructions in some European languages	233
Andrea Sansò	

The rise and grammaticalization paths of Latin fieri and facere	211		
s passive auxinalies Michela Cennamo	ļ		
ةrammatical relations in passive clauses: A diachronic perspective ت. Givón	337	Werner Ab Institut für	At E
Part II. Formal Approaches A. Argument structure and case		Universita Berggasse A-1090 W	g se <u>ta</u>
[wo types of detransitive constructions in the Hokkaido dialect of Japanese Kan Sasaki and Akie Yamazaki	352	Werner.Ab Tor A. Áfa	At.
Passive and argument structure Tor A. Afarli	373	litteratury NTNU	i
Case-driven Agree, EPP and passive in Turkish Balkız Öztürk	383	10-7-291 III tor.aafarlii	7 H
A unique feature of direct passive in Japanese Kenichi Ariji	403	Kenichi Aı Shinshu U 3-1 Asahi	₹ 2 :a
B. Actor demotion		Matsumot	ğ
Passive as a feature-suppression operation Dalina Kallulli	442	k-ariji@sh	sh.
C. Event semantics - Aspectual and semantic triggers		Michela C University	\circ
The compositional nature of the analytic passive: Syntactic vs. event senantic triggers. "Argument Hypothesis" vs. "Aspect Hypothesis" Werner Abraham	462	Dept. of N Via Porta I-80133 N	Z g Z
The impersonal passive: Voice suspended under aspectual conditions Werner Abraham and Elisabeth Leiss	502	тисепран Етта Ge	He Se
Simple preterit and composite perfect tense: The role of the adjectival passive Monika Rathert	518	P.O. Box 7 Russia nedjalkov	× 2
2. C.	74	Tomas Giv	(5 F
Author mack	ŧ.	White Clo	9 -
Subject index	548	P.O.Box 10 Ignacio, C	5 O

Contributors' addresses

274

Relations between Actor-demoting devices in Lithuanian: Dedicated to Emma Geniušienė Björn Wiemer

D. Grammaticalization in long-term diachrony

Werner Abraham	Dalina Kallulli
Institut für Allgemeine Sprachwissenschaft	Institut für Allgemeine Sprachwissenschaft
Universität Wien	Universität Wien
Berggasse 11/3	Berggasse 11/3
A-1090 Wien, Austria	A-1090 Wien, Austria
Werner.Abraham@t-online.de	dalina.kallulli@univie.ac.at
,	
Tor A. Afarli	Leonid Kulikov
Institutt for nordistikk og allmenn	Leiden University, Faculty of Arts,
litteraturvitskap	Dept. of Comparative Indo-European
NTNU	Linguistics
N-7491 Trondheim, Norway	P.O. Box 9515
tor.aafarli@hf.ntnu.no	NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
	L.Kulikov@let.leidenuniv.nl
Kenichi Ariji	
Shinshu University	Larisa Leisiö
3-1 Asahi	Mäntymäentie 10, 37800 Toijala, Finland
Matsumoto, Nagano	Larisa.Leisio@uta.fi
390-8621 Japan	
k-ariji@shinshu-u.ac	Elisabeth Leiss
	Department für Germanistik
Michela Cennamo	Ludwig-Maximilian-Universität
University of Naples Federico II	München Schellingstraße 3/RG
Dept. of Modern Philology	D-80799 München, Germany
Via Porta di Massa 1	e.leiss@germanistik.uni-muenchen.de
I-80133 Naples, Italy	
micennam@unina.it	Melisa Mustafović
	BCCN Project Assistant
Emma Geniušienė	Albert-Ludwigs-Universität
P.O. Box 75, 194021 St. Petersburg	Hansastraße 9A
Russia	D-79104 Freiburg i. Br., Germany
nedjalkov@typology.spb.su	
	Brian Nolan
Tomas Givón	School of Informatics and Engineering
White Cloud Ranch	Institute of Technology Blanchardstown
P.O.Box 1694	Blanchardstown Road North
Ignacio, CO, 81137, USA	Blanchardstown, Dublin 15, Ireland
tgivon@uoregon.edu	brian.nolan@itb.ie

Bjoern, Wiemer@uni-konstanz.de FB Sprachwissenschaft, Slavistik Corso Strada Nuova 65 I-27100 funichi. Toyota@englund.lu.se D-78457 Konstanz, Germany Dipartimento di Linguistica Sapporo Gakuin University Sapporo Gakuin University Box 201, SE-221 00 Lund, sanso@humnet.unipi.it Department of English Postfach 55 60, D 179 Konstanz University Bunkyo-dai Ebetsu Bunkyo-dai Ebetsu Università di Pavia ksasaki@sgu.ac.jp Lund University 069-8555 Japan 069-8555 Japan Akie Yamazaki akie@sgu.ac.jp Junichi Toyota Björn Wiemer Andrea Sansó Pavia, Italy Kan Sasaki Sweden Department of Linguistics, Faculty of Arts Department of Finno-Ugric Studies Department of Western Languages D-66041 Saarbrücken, Germany FI-00014 University of Helsinki P.O. Box 25 (Franzeninkatu 13) m.rathert@mx.uni~saarland.de Bebek-Istanbul, 34342 Turkey mapeltom@mappi.helsinki.fi marja.peltomaa@helsinki.fi oalkiz.ozturk@boun.edu.tr Chulalongkorn University Universität des Saarlandes Merja Lilja Tuulikki Salo 00820 Helsinki, Finland Gebäude 35, Raum 3.07 Amara.Pr@Chula.ac.th Untuvaisenkuja 1 C 47 Amara Prasithrathsint merja.salo@helsinki.fi FR 4.1 - Germanistik Boğaziçi University Postfach 15 11 50 Monika Rathert Marja Peltomaa Bangkok 10330 and Literatures Balkiz Öztürk Thailand

Abbreviations

agent

A(G)

AA	Aktionsart
ACC	accusative case morpheme
AgrP	agreement phrase
Aux	auxiliary (verb)
Cop	copula
DAT	dative case morpheme
DO	direct object
ECM	Exceptional Case Marking (Acl; Accusativus cum Infinitivo)
EPP	Extended Projection Principle (universal priciple for clausal subject
	realization)
eV	ergative (unaccusative) verb
FOC	focus
GB	Government and Binding theory
INF	infinitive
OI	indirect object
Vi	intransitive verb
TCC	Linear Crossing Constraint
шC	middle construction
MHG	Middle High German
MP	Minimalist Program
νm	middle verb
NOM	nominative case morpheme
NPI	negative polarity item
0	object
OEP	ongoing event passive
OHG	Old High German
OT	Optimality Theory
P(AT)	Patient
P(REP)	preposition
PASS	passive morpheme
PERF	perfective
PM	passive morpheme
PP	prepositional phrase
PPA	active past participle