



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Urban mobility crisis and the marginalisation of cyclists

Koglin, Till

2011

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Koglin, T. (2011). *Urban mobility crisis and the marginalisation of cyclists*. 1-1. Abstract from 6th International Conference of Critical Geography.

*Total number of authors:*

1

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

Abstract for 6th International Conference of Critical Geography 2011 Frankfurt,  
16-20 August 2011

Till Koglin

Lund University

Department of Technology and Society

Traffic and Roads

## **Urban mobility crisis and the marginalisation of cyclists**

A just city is often connected to the right to the city, which includes the right to use public spaces. This right is often limited in different ways, for example through privatization of public spaces, through surveillance or through the design (see for example Allan 2006 or Degen 2008). One marginalized group in the public space is bicyclists. The public spaces in today's cities are planned from a modernist perspective, which favoured motorised traffic, whereas bicycle traffic was heavily marginalised in urban transportation planning. The marginalisation of cyclists in many cities around the globe raises questions about the right to the city and the right to public space. This paper analyses the power relations that are built into today's infrastructure and that marginalises cyclists whereas at the same time favours motorised traffic. These power relations have resulted in an urban mobility crisis with congestions, pollution and social injustice as a result. The conflicts between motorists and cyclists are an outcome of modernistic planning and economic structures. Modernist planning provided an infrastructure that facilitated fast and efficient movements for motorists, whereas the economic structure of the fordist production system and the capitalist societies produced more and more motor vehicles and lobbied for better infrastructure. The production of cars for the broad mass also forced planners and transportation researchers to develop planning solutions in order to handle the rapidly increasing car traffic, which marginalised cyclists even more. Highways and infrastructure for motorised traffic was highly connected to the vision of a modern urban landscape where high-speed cars move people from their homes to work. This is also analysed in the paper.

If it would be preferred I would be open for different forms of presentation than traditional paper presentation, for example discussions.

## **References**

Allen, J. (2006) Ambient Power: Berlin's Potsdamer Platz and the Seductive Logic of Public Spaces. *Urban Studies*, Vol. 43 (2)

Degen, M. M. (2008) *Sensing Cities - Regenerating public life in Barcelona and Manchester*. Routledge, Oxon