

What you give is what you get Advice-giving and uptake in conversation

De Felice, Rachele; Pöldvere, Nele

2019

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
De Felice, R., & Pöldvere, N. (2019). What you give is what you get: Advice-giving and uptake in conversation.
Abstract from ICAME 40, Neuchâtel, Switzerland. https://icame40.ch/wp-content/uploads/ICAME40_BoA.pdf

Total number of authors:

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

What you give is what you get: advice-giving and uptake in conversation

Rachele De Felice, University College London Nele Põldvere, Lund University

There is much research on advice-giving in both institutional (e.g. Butler et al., 2009; Heritage & Sefi, 1992) and everyday conversation (e.g. Adolphs, 2008; Shaw et al., 2015). Responses to advice—or advice uptake—however, have been studied to a lesser extent. Consider (1).

(1) A: why don't you just put an uplifting message on it B: maybe I should

In (1), A uses an interrogative construction to advise B, who is her employee, to put an uplifting message on a birthday present. In this case, B appears to accept the advice, but advice can also be resisted, rejected, or ignored altogether (Shaw & Hepburn, 2013). According to Jefferson & Lee (1981), advice uptake is largely an interactional matter, which raises the question: what are the interactional factors that determine advice uptake? We explore the effect of two factors on the way advice is taken up in conversation: the constructional properties of the advice-giving sequence and interlocutor relationship. By drawing on corpora previously not available to researchers, we are able to empirically test the validity of their predictions, and suggest further developments of their original theories.

The data comes from two corpora of spoken British English, the London-Lund Corpus and the London-Lund Corpus 2, where the conversations have been divided into those among equals (e.g. family) and disparates (e.g. employer–employee). We extracted 417 instances of advice and annotated them for the constructional properties of the advice-giving sequence (e.g. interrogatives, imperatives) and interlocutor relationship. We then determined whether the advice is accepted, resisted, rejected, or ignored, and how.

The preliminary results suggest that almost half of all advice (46.5%) is met with some kind of resistance, particularly in cases of strong advice, such as deontic modals (*you should ask him*) and imperatives (*go check the guidelines*), and where the adviser is of higher status. More indirect, but still canonical, forms such as interrogatives (*why don't you get hold of Peter*) result in the highest levels of acceptance, while for less explicit forms (*it's better to have a controlled environment*) a lack of response is more common. Rejection is least common in advice exchanged between equals. We are exploring possible reasons for these results.

References

Adolphs, Svenja. 2008. Corpus and Context: Investigating Pragmatic Functions in Spoken Discourse. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Butler, Carly, Danby, Susan, Emmison, Michael, & Thorpe, Karen. 2009. Managing medical advice seeking in calls to Child Health Line. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 31(6). 817–834.

Heritage, John & Sefi, Sue. 1992. Dilemmas of advice: Aspects of the delivery and reception of advice in interactions between health visitors and first-time mothers. In Paul Drew & John Heritage (eds.), *Talk at Work: Interaction in Institutional Settings*, 359–417. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Jefferson, Gail & Lee, Jefferson. 1981. The rejection of advice: Managing the problematic convergence of a "troubles-telling" and a "service encounter." *Journal of Pragmatics* 5(5). 399–421.

- Shaw, Chloe & Hepburn, Alexa. 2013. Managing the moral implications of advice in informal interaction. *Research on Language and Social Interaction* 46(4). 344–362.
- Shaw, Chloe, Potter, Jonathan, & Hepburn, Alexa. 2015. Advice-implicative actions: Using interrogatives and assessments to deliver advice in mundane conversation. *Discourse Studies* 17(3). 317–342.