

LUND UNIVERSITY

Roller coaster loop shapes revisited

Pendrill, Ann-Marie

Published in: **Physics Education**

DOI: 10.1088/0031-9120/51/3/030106

2016

Document Version: Other version

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Pendrill, A.-M. (2016). Roller coastér loop shapes revisited. Physics Education, 51(3), Article 030106. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9120/51/3/030106

Total number of authors: 1

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research. • You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain • You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

Roller coaster loop shapes revisited

Ann-Marie Pendrill^{1,2}

1) National Resource Centre for Physics Education, Lund university, Box 118, SE 221 00 Lund, Sweden

2) Department of Physics, University of Gothenburg, SE 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

E-mail: ann-marie.pendrill@fysik.lu.se

The original paper

In 'Rollercoaster loop shapes', (Pendrill 2005 *Phys. Educ.* **40** 517) the author started from the observation that although textbook loops are often circular, real rollercoaster loops are not. In this paper the mathematical description of various possible loop shapes, as well as their riding properties was discussed and also how a study of loop shapes can be used in physics education.

This is an author-created, un-copyedited version of an article published in Physics Education 51 030106 (2016). IOP Publishing Ltd is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it. The Version of Record is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-9120/51/3/030106

The commentary

Roller coasters are classical examples of energy conservation and continue to provide excellent illustrations of the interplay between gravitational potential energy and kinetic energy, with some – small – losses to thermal energy. Even when the lift hill is replaced by one (or more) launch sections, the principle for the motion remains the same.

Energy considerations in roller coaster loops are always good examples for quantitative exercises, allowing students to discover that the initial elevation needs to be about r/2 to move essentially weightlessly over the highest part of the loop with radius r in the highest points. Higher elevations, of course, lead to higher speeds and stronger down- ward force from the track to provide the acceleration required for the motion in the loop. Energy considerations also show that the force at the bottom of a circular loop becomes 6 mg (or '6 g' for short), which is permissible according to standards, but most modern roller coasters stay below 5 g.

The problem with the circular loop is not only the strong force at the bottom, but also the sudden transition from a track section with a much larger radius of curvature, and smaller forces. In addition, the body would be exposed to a very rapid change in angular velocity, with the head tending to continue along a straight line (Newton's first law) while the lower part of the body starts to rotate – a setup for whiplash. It is thus important to find ways for smoother transitions, which can be achieved in different ways, as discussed in the original paper. Werner Stengel introduced the clothoid loop, where a part of a Cornu (Euler) spiral connects track parts with different radii of curvature. Another common approach is to design loops with a constant centripetal acceleration. The paper from 2005 also introduced the possibility of loops with constant force on the rider. An analytical solution for this type of loop was subsequently obtained by Nordmark and Essen [1], and this achievement was reported by Fox News.

In roller coaster queues, there are often discussions about which is the best seat. These discussions can be brought into the classroom, with discussions about how the location of the center of mass of the train influences the speed for the train to move over the top, and the size of the effect for different train lengths. This is one of the student investigations discussed in a later paper [2], with modified free-body diagrams to take length and position into account, and also comparisons of modelling, video and electronic data from riding in the loop.

Subsequent papers involved the use of dataloggers to monitor acceleration in 3 dimensions [3]

References

- 1. Nordmark A B and Essen H 2010 The comfortable roller coaster on the shape of tracks with a constant normal force *Eur. J. Phys.* **31** 1307
- Pendrill A-M 2013 Student investigations of forces in a roller coaster loop *Eur. J. Phys.* 34 1379
- 3. Pendrill A-M 2008 Acceleration in 1, 2, and 3 dimensions in launched roller coasters *Phys. Educ.* **43** 483–91

Biography

Ann-Marie Pendrill is director of the Swedish National Resource Centre for Physics Education since 2009 and also professor (since 2015) in science communication and physics education, at Lund University. Her research background is in computational atomic physics, but her more recent work has focused on various aspects of physics and science education. She has used examples from playgrounds and amusement parks in her teaching in physics, teaching and engineering programmes. (Photo credit: Maja Kristin Nylander.)

