

# LUND UNIVERSITY

### The role of local governments in overcoming barriers to industrial symbiosis

Södergren, Karolina; Palm, Jenny

Published in: **Cleaner Environmental Systems** 

DOI: 10.1016/j.cesys.2021.100014

2021

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Södergren, K., & Palm, J. (2021). The role of local governments in overcoming barriers to industrial symbiosis. *Cleaner Environmental Systems*, *2*, Article 100014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2021.100014

Total number of authors: 2

Creative Commons License: CC BY

#### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

· You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

#### LUND UNIVERSITY

**PO Box 117** 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Cleaner Environmental Systems

## **Cleaner Environmental Systems**

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/cleaner-environmental-systems

### The role of local governments in overcoming barriers to industrial symbiosis



### Karolina Södergren<sup>\*</sup>, Jenny Palm

The International Institute for Industrial Environmental Economics (IIIEE), Lund University, P O Box 196, SE-221 00, Lund, Sweden

#### ARTICLE INFO

Industrial symbiosis

Local government

Local governance

Modes of governing

Keywords.

Barriers

ABSTRACT

The industrial symbiosis (IS) landscape is evolving at high speed. There is a growing interest in knowledge sharing and partnering, as is evident from the establishment of multiple IS networks at local and regional level. This article investigates the role of local governments in industrial symbiosis. It aims to build a theoretical framework explaining how local governments can reduce barriers to implementation of IS by applying different modes of governing. Findings show that local governments can do many things to overcome barriers and thus enhance IS. In many instances, local governments can support IS in an enabling function, by coordinating relationships and material exchanges, providing infrastructure and funding. They can also apply authoritarian and self-governing principles to develop policies and regulations to support IS development, as well as planning and control mechanisms linked to their own material and resource flows. This article concludes by suggesting a number of policy recommendations, such as local governments establishing a clear strategy on IS, and including IS in physical planning.

#### 1. Introduction

In a world with increasingly visible environmental, economic and socio-political challenges, the idea of transitioning into more sustainable production and consumption practices is gaining ground. Among the myriads of sustainable initiatives launched in recent years, the one striving towards a circular economy has gained particular popularity (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013). Whether it is about "reducing, reusing, recycling" or "closing, narrowing or slowing resource loops" (Bocken et al., 2016), the concept seems to offer something for everyone, everywhere. Systemic solutions such as industrial symbiosis (IS) are therefore attracting interest from policy and practice (EU, 2019; European Commission, 2020). Indeed, governments around the world are now implementing IS as part of their transformation towards circular economies (International Institute for Sustainable Development, 2015).

Industrial symbiosis is presented as a tangible solution that enables circularity within and across value chains. The most typical example is Kalundborg in Denmark where companies have worked together since the 1970s, exchanging materials and by-products in a symbiotic manner (Kalundborg Symbiosis, 2020). Chertow (2004, p. 408) conducted pioneering research on the academic understanding of IS. She defined the concept as "place-based exchanges among different entities that yield a collective benefit greater than the sum of individual benefits that could be achieved by acting alone". In practice, IS is commonly set up in a way

where one company's output can become another company's input, allowing for simultaneous improvements in resource efficiency and financial returns (Chertow, 2000).

Early IS studies kept a primarily industrial focus, looking into operational, financial and environmental concerns of IS material exchanges (Neves et al., 2020). Less attention has been given to public features such as specific or strategic government engagement (Velenturf, 2016). Another less researched area is how local government can contribute to and govern IS. Yet municipalities are key actors in their role as planners for sustainable urban development (Palm et al., 2019). They also have a responsibility to transform ambitious national and global goals and visions into local practices (European Environment Agency, 2019). Excluding municipalities or local governments from the debate – or minimizing their role in it – would therefore imply that local governance mechanisms affecting the IS landscape may be overlooked. It would also mean that the complexity and multi-actor characteristic of IS are not truly taken into account. Rather, it would create an imbalance in which actors and sectors are favoured – and are therefore studied further.

The aim of this article is to develop a theoretical framework to explain how local governments can reduce barriers to the implementation of IS through different modes of governing. A qualitative research methodology has been applied. Literature reviews and content analysis of previous studies on IS barriers and local government engagement in IS enables the uncovering of prominent themes. This, in turn, allows for a discussion on

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* karolina.sodergren@iiiee.lu.se (K. Södergren).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2021.100014

Received 2 September 2020; Received in revised form 4 February 2021; Accepted 8 February 2021

2666-7894/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

the potential roles that local governments can adopt in the process of IS development, specifically in order to overcome barriers to IS.

The outline of the article is as follows: first, the theoretical foundations of IS and local governance are explored. Second, the methodology guiding this article is outlined. Then, the results and analysis are presented, including a theoretical framework for how local governments can address typical barriers to IS through different modes of governing. Finally, the article wraps up with a discussion and conclusion, including key thoughts to take away and suggestions for future research in IS.

## 2. Industrial symbiosis: addressing barriers through local governing modes

This section begins by outlining some of the basic theoretical underpinnings of IS. An overview of common barriers to IS is also provided. Previous research on public actor engagement in IS is then presented and connected to the field of local governance. We conclude with a proposed analytical framework for examining how local governments can reduce typical barriers to IS through different modes of governing.

#### 2.1. Barriers to industrial symbiosis

Industrial symbiosis is a subfield of industrial ecology (Frosch and Gallopoulos, 1989) and an emerging concept in the field of circular economy (Bocken et al., 2016; Maranesi and De Giovanni, 2020). At core, "Industrial symbiosis engages traditionally separate industries in a collective approach to competitive advantage involving physical exchange of materials, energy, water, and/or by-products" (Chertow, 2000, p. 314). Through this set-up, one company's use of virgin production inputs can therefore be swapped with waste materials and by-products generated by other companies. This allows for enhanced production efficiency, resulting in economic, environmental and social benefits for both the companies involved and the region in which they operate (Fraccascia, 2019). The idea behind IS therefore extends into all three dimensions of sustainability - profit, planet, and people (Herczeg et al., 2018). Traditionally, an IS network is defined as a network consisting of at least three companies exchanging at least two types of wastes (Chertow, 2004, 2007). It can be designed either in a planned, top-down approach (Heeres et al., 2004) or in a self-organized, bottom-up fashion (Chertow and Ehrenfeld, 2012).

Despite the promises inherent to IS, it seems that the potential for uptake of new regional synergies is limited by a range of technical and non-technical barriers (Golev et al., 2015), as discussed, among many others, by Mortensen and Kørnøv (2019), Walls and Paquin (2015) and Yu et al. (2014). The process of overcoming barriers can include removal, reduction or avoidance of barriers (Reddy, 2013) and local government can take different governing approaches. In this article, these studies were used as data sources to help meet the aim of analysing how different modes of local governance can be applied to overcome critical IS barriers.

Appendix A list barriers to industrial symbiosis by type and literature references. Economic barriers mentioned are high investment costs (Pajunen et al., 2013) and difficulties in acquiring external investment capital, for example to promote and disseminate information about IS (e.g. Chiu and Yong, 2004; Bacudio et al., 2016; Mortensen and Kørnøv, 2019). Other barriers are the potentially different investment cycles of organizations (Madsen et al., 2015), as well as not knowing how to divide incomes and costs between organizations (Fichtner et al., 2005). Moreover, there can be fluctuations in the demand for a particular commodity or product, as well as variations in the costs of resources in different regions (Tudor et al., 2007). Partaking in an IS network can also increase operational costs for the industries involved, linked to waste transportation and waste treatment costs. This partly contributes to what authors refer to as a "lack of knowledge about cost-benefit ratios" (Madsen et al., 2015; Fichtner et al., 2005; Islam et al., 2016).

Operational and management issues are what create most technical barriers (Herczeg et al., 2018; Corder et al., 2014). The use of by-products in IS makes it more difficult to design and operate effective production systems and storage facilities, notably because of the additional treatment processes and source tracking that is required (Herczeg et al., 2018). Material fluctuations can also create a mismatch between the demand and supply in an IS system (Fraccascia, 2019; Tudor et al., 2007). Moreover, technological advances and changes in production technology carry the risk of destroying markets of importance to the industries involved in the IS network (Tudor et al., 2007; Fraccascia, 2019). Problems also occur with the logistical integration between actors due to insufficient infrastructural set-ups (Mortensen and Kørnøv, 2019). Part of this is a recognized difficulty in motivating industries to relocate, and hence, resulting geographical distances (Mortensen and Kørnøv, 2019). Finally, a lack of technical knowledge, or of technology and infrastructure readiness, may be further problems (e.g. Golev et al., 2015).

Regulatory barriers are primarily linked to restrictive or unclear legislation, and a lack of guidance on compliance criteria (e.g. Boons et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015). There is also an issue with perceptions of conflicting waste practices and regulations (Corder et al., 2014). Partly linked to this is the difficulty to obtain approval for waste reuse projects from regulatory authorities (Golev et al., 2015). And from a reverse perspective, regulatory authorities sometimes themselves find it hard to plan, design and manage IS networks (Tudor et al., 2007; Corder et al., 2014).

In the category of social barriers, one concern is that companies often work in silos without enough contact with each other (Golev et al., 2015; Islam et al., 2016). There can be a lack of willingness to collaborate (Park et al., 2008; Fichtner et al., 2005), as well as a lack of engagement among the organizations – especially from top management. Low numbers of "IS promoters" within companies can also become a barrier to IS implementation and expansion (e.g. Chiu and Yong, 2004; Madsen et al., 2015). One of the most commonly mentioned social barriers is a lack of trust between organizations (e.g. Chertow and Ehrenfeld, 2012; Bossilkov et al., 2005). Trust is a prerequisite for collaboration (Bacudio et al., 2016). Indeed, since there often is a lack in cooperative mechanisms for making organizations collaborate, trust becomes the ultimate enabler. Other barriers include asymmetric interdependencies and power imbalances between actors (Herczeg et al., 2018), as well as a lack of institutional support (e.g. Ashton, 2011; Li et al., 2015).

As regards information-related barriers, a lack of information and training are typical problems (e.g. Walls and Paquin, 2015; Corder et al., 2014). Adopting IS requires technical and organizational knowledge and expertise (Bacudio et al., 2016). A lack of awareness of IS as a concept, as well as of neighbouring companies' materials and by-products, can also become barriers to further IS uptake (e.g. Chertow, 2007; Domenech Aparisi, 2010). This lack is typically related to poor contact, communication and information sharing between companies (Levänen and Hukkinen, 2013; Madsen et al., 2015). Another information-related barrier is a lack of broader community awareness (Golev et al., 2015).

Despite the broad discussion of IS barriers in the literature, Golev et al. (2015) point to a lack of real cases describing the process of investigation and overcoming these barriers. To this can be added a lack of discussion on which actors are most appropriate for overcoming what barriers. This article aims to investigate the role of local governments in this process, and the following section addresses this issue further.

#### 2.2. Local governments and local governing modes

While early IS literature largely focused on industries, later studies explored a broader range of actor roles including those of public organizations, local governments and municipalities (e.g. Paquin and Howard-Grenville, 2012; Gibbs and Deutz, 2007; Boons and Spekkink, 2012). Van Berkel et al. (2009), for example, addressed the role of public actors when describing the symbiotic relationships between industries and cities in Japan's government-led eco-town programme. Lenhart et al. (2015) studied how local authorities supported symbiotic resource exchanges in the city of Rotterdam, in the Netherlands. They found that



Fig. 1. Local climate governance strategies. Visual representation of typology developed by Bulkeley and Kern (2006) and Bulkeley et al. (2009).

local authorities were more active in designing urban symbiosis projects than in implementing them. The local authority served as coordinator, facilitator and information provider between different parties in the design phase, and then took a step back during the implementation phase.

Industrial symbiosis scholars have also tried to integrate symbiosis principles into local planning processes. Music (2019) describes the possibility of including IS into municipal spatial development plans in the city of Ljubljana. Likewise, Birmingham's Big City Plan has been cited as an interesting example of a planning document that merges city development with IS philosophy. Waste, infrastructure, procurement and industry are other examples of planning domains in which local authorities could integrate IS principles (Domenech et al., 2019).

While the importance of public actors, and specifically local governments, has been addressed in the literature, a more systematic understanding of how local governance can be applied to overcome typical IS barriers still needs to be developed. Velenturf (2016) provides an excellent introduction on this topic in her study of the governance system in the Humber region, UK. Focusing on regulatory barriers linked to the implementation of resource efficiency policy and regulation, she divides potential governmental roles and activities into four categories: strategic regulator, strategic facilitator, operational regulator, and operational facilitator.

In light of the differences in barriers experienced throughout IS development (see Appendix A), we suggest that an in-depth exploration of the potential roles and measures adopted by local governments is needed.

We suggest that the typology developed by Bulkeley and Kern (2006) can be used for this purpose, and extrapolated to IS. They previously studied local climate governance in energy, transport, and land use, and presented four modes of governing that local governments tend to adopt in various combinations as local climate governance strategies. These are: governing through provision, governing by authority, governing through enabling, and self-governing. A fifth category was later added by Bulkeley et al. (2009), namely, governing through partnership (see Fig. 1). Researchers applying this typology show how local governments manage and steer a sustainable transition. This includes Smedby and Quitzau (2016), who examined governance in the building sector, and Palm et al. (2019), Voytenko Palgan, McCormick et al. (2020) and Voytenko Palgan, Mont et al. (2020), who investigated governance in the sharing economy.

In this typology, *self-governing* is linked to the capacity of the local government to control or manage its own activities and operations. It is based on an organizational management approach, and includes measures such as developing internal procurement guidelines, energy standards, etc for municipal organizations and buildings. Governing through provision concerns the provision of different goods, services and resources. It is effectuated through material and infrastructural means, such as providing public transport services, infrastructure, and recycling and composting schemes for citizens and/or companies. Governing by authority concerns more traditional forms of authority, such as regulation, enforcement and the use of sanction. Typically, this type of governing is done through strategic planning and policy making that induces climate-friendly practices. Governing through enabling refers to the "role of local government in facilitating, coordinating and encouraging action through partnership with private- and voluntary-sector agencies, and to various forms of community engagement" (Bulkeley and Kern, 2006, p. 2242). It works through argument, persuasion, and inducements, for example when introducing energy efficiency campaigns, or granting distribution to promote renewable energy. Finally, governing through partnership describes a situation where state and non-state actors work together in an equal relationship. This can be done through project implementation, voluntary agreements, knowledge building and information sharing in situations where the local government has no formal governing power over other actors (Bulkeley et al., 2009). Within the different governing modes, local government can use different policy instruments and measures (UNEP, 2015).

In the following analysis we will lean on earlier research to examine the role of local governments in IS (Bulkeley and Kern, 2006; Bulkeley et al., 2009). We will then discuss how different governing modes, with available policy instruments, can contribute to reducing existing barriers to IS.

#### 3. Material and methods

This study has an explorative purpose and follows a qualitative methodology (Creswell, 2008). The material is based on desk-based research, for which we have collected previous research materials from primarily Scopus and Web of Science (WoS) as two of the most recognized databases for obtaining high-quality articles. We conducted a number of searches, the last of which was conducted in June 2020.

In the search to identify previous studies that had summarized barriers to IS, we used the key words "industrial symbiosis", "barriers" and "barriers and review". This resulted in 74 unique hits. A refined search was conducted of these; we selected only those articles that included a review or mapping of different barriers to IS. Seventeen barrier-related articles remained. To these, nine articles were added from reference lists. The total of 30 articles are summarized and categorized in Appendix A.

We also searched for articles focusing on IS and local governments. Key words used were "industrial symbiosis" combined with "governing modes", "local government", "local authority/authorities" and "municipality/municipalities". In total, the Scopus and WoS searches yielded 121 hits. To these, another twelve articles were added from reference lists included in these articles. From a total of 133 articles, 23 articles were selected for the final sample.

We have not included articles that only mentioned that local governments, authorities and municipalities were part of a network, as we were interested in a more in-depth examination of the role of these actors. The analysis was not country-specific; rather, it included themes and categories across all documents, which were related to different modes of governance, instruments and measures, as mentioned in Section 2. The analysed articles were published between 2004 and 2019. This article therefore has a contemporary outlook on local governments and IS.

A systematic text analysis was then performed on the 23 documents. This is a descriptive type of analysis in which the aim is to highlight and explain the most essential content within each text. In practice, this can be done by classifying content into intelligible categories and clarifying different thought structures therein (Esaiason et al., 2007). For the purpose of this paper, we used the typology developed by Bulkeley and Kern (2006), and Bulkeley et al. (2009) to code what governing modes have been used by local governments in earlier studies on IS. See Appendix 2 for a summary of the articles and manual coding. By combining earlier research on IS barriers with this categorization on governing modes, we could develop a framework for how local governments can approach different barriers to IS (see Table 1).

#### 4. Results

The aim of this section is to provide an understanding of the role local governments play in IS, as described in the academic literature, and especially how this links to different governing modes, policy instruments and measures (see also Appendix B).

Results show that previous research has rarely focused on the role of local governments in IS. Rather, local governments are featured as one potential actor among an otherwise business-dominated partner network. The scant reference to local governments in texts also corresponds to the relatively small number of articles found in the literature searches (see "Methodology" above).

The literature provides few theoretical perspectives on governance (e.g. different governing approaches in IS networks) and even fewer perspectives on local governance and the meaning of creating publicprivate networks (e.g., Rhodes 1997; Sørensen and Torfing, 2007). Several articles had a conceptual approach combining economic evaluation, environmental assessment (e.g. CO2 reduction), business, and modelling theories (Ban et al., 2015; Hashimoto et al., 2010; Heeres et al., 2004; Li, 2014; Phillips et al., 2006; Taddeo et al., 2017; Veleva et al., 2015). One study looked at IS through the lens of innovation (Taddeo et al., 2017). Three articles compared different IS developments in Europe and/or the US (Boons et al., 2015; Domenech et al., 2019; Heeres et al., 2004). Five articles referred to organizational (Walls and Paquin, 2015) and institutional theories (Wang et al., 2017). Out of these, two investigated the human dimension for capacity building and focused on social barriers (Wolf et al., 2005, 2007). One focused on knowledge brokering and informational barriers (Von Malmborg, 2004). Elaborated perspectives on public-private partnerships for IS were suggested by Horvath and Harazin (2015) and Qi et al. (2009). Lenhart et al. (2015) and Music (2019) examined the relationship between city planning and IS. Van Berkel et al. (2009), likewise, adopted a city perspective when studying the fundamentals of urban material and resource exchanges. Mapping city or local governments against strategies for local governance (Bulkeley and Kern, 2006; Bulkeley et al., 2009), however, appears to be a novel contribution to the field.

Fig. 2 shows the frequency of reporting governing modes in the literature sample. The strongest correlation between local governments and IS networks seems to be linked to governing through enabling. Through the integration and coordination of actors, local governments mainly address economic, regulatory, social and information barriers. Indeed, several of the articles refer to local governments as important coordinators for, or "anchor tenants" in, IS networks (Horvath and Harazin, 2015; Taddeo et al., 2017; Von Malmborg, 2004; Walls and Paquin, 2015; Wang et al., 2017; Wolf et al., 2005, 2007; Yu et al., 2014). In this role, local authorities initiate, facilitate, promote and maintain the development of material exchanges and services (Domenech et al., 2019; Lenhart et al., 2015; Van Berkel, Fujita, Hashimoto & Geng, 2009; Wolf et al., 2007; Xiang and Yuan, 2019; Yu et al., 2014). They engage in knowledge brokering, and they simplify administrative processes, set common goals, and work to attract new members and partners for the IS network (Horvath and Harazin, 2015; Li, 2014; Neves et al., 2019; Von Malmborg, 2004; Wolf et al., 2005). To overcome information-related barriers such as lack of information exchange, a common strategy has been to provide a platform for data sharing (Wolf et al., 2005). Lenhart et al. (2015, p. 595) address several of these factors when claiming that -

#### Governing modes



Fig. 2. Frequency of reporting governing modes in the literature.

Locally, public authorities often provide an initiating/coordinating function to steer urban symbiosis and facilitate action. [...] They may serve as symbiosis facilitator or promoter: taking responsibility, providing information, discussing economic advantages with private actors, identifying champions or encouraging legislation [...]. As local authorities are accountable to their citizens and businesses, they must provide transparent information and foster trust amongst a broad range of urban stakeholders.

Local governments usually do not have an equally prominent role in legislation and regulation as the national government, which makes it more difficult for some local governments to overcome regulatory barriers. In the IS literature, however, there are numerous examples of local governments *governing by authority*, by developing legal frameworks, policies and strategies, and planning documents for regulating the local and regional IS landscape (e.g. Ban et al., 2015; Domenech et al., 2019). In this context, Xiang and Yuan (2019, p. 277) suggest that, "to meet the needs of smart industrial parks, local governments should design targeted incentive policies to encourage information sharing, attract talent, save energy, reduce emissions, and protect the environment".

*Governing through provision* was another common strategy identified in previous studies. The role played by local governments in dealing with barriers related to lack of technology and infrastructure has been described by Veleva et al. (2015), Xiang and Yuan (2019) and Yu et al. (2014), while others have discussed barriers such as inappropriate institutional and structural capacity, including meeting venues (Neves et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017). Through municipally owned waste and energy companies, local government can sustain IS networks by providing both goods and services. Moreover, local governments have been reported to manage economic barriers, provide advertising and assess regional markets for positive or negative competition (e.g. Heeres et al., 2004; Qi et al., 2009; Von Malmborg, 2004).

Governing through partnership was mainly described in situations where local authorities had an active participatory role in the IS network (e.g. Domenech et al., 2019; Neves et al., 2019). More elaborated perspectives on public-private partnerships for IS have also been suggested by Horvath and Harazin (2015). For example, to overcome economic and social barriers, local governments could act as consumers of materials and by-products offered by corporate members of the IS network (Horvath and Harazin, 2015). Or they can engage in close collaboration with neighbouring local governments to strengthen an existing IS through urban and regional planning (Music, 2019). Governing by partnership was also used as strategy to overcome information-related barriers (Wolf

#### Table 1

Cleaner Environmental Systems 2 (2021) 100014

m - 1 - 1 - 1	(	`
I anie I	1 continued	1
10000		

Local govern	ance strategies for overcon	ning barriers to i	ndustrial symbiosis (IS).	Category	Barrier	Governing	Example of
Category	Barrier	Governing mode/ strategy	Example of instruments/ measures applied to inductrial combination			mode/ strategy	instruments/ measures applied to industrial symbiosis
Economic	<ul> <li>High investment costs. Increase in operational costs (i.e. waste transportation and waste treatment costs).</li> <li>Difficulty in acquiring external investment capital; lack of funding, resources and</li> </ul>	- Governing through provision	<ul> <li>Local government seeking or providing funding.</li> <li>Local government providing appropriate infrastructure.</li> </ul>	Regulatory	- Restrictive environmental	- Governing by authority	<ul> <li>products enabled through IS exchanges.</li> <li>Local government contracting IS businesses to provide public services.</li> <li>Local government developing policies</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>time.</li> <li>Division of income and costs between organizations and across regions, benefit sharing between companies.</li> <li>Difficulties in motivating the colocation of firms; geographical distance.</li> <li>Different investment cycles.</li> <li>Fluctuations in demand of a particular commodity or product.</li> <li>Unknown cost-benefit ratio.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through provision</li> <li>Governing through enabling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local government acting as a broker between organizations, providing institutional and structural capacities.</li> <li>Local government promoting communication between organizations, acting as a broker, and providing institutional and structural capacities.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>legislation; lack of legislative incentives.</li> <li>Uncertain legislation, or lack of guidance (on compliance criteria, best environmental practices, permit conditions, etc).</li> <li>Difficulties in obtaining approvals for waste reuse projects from the regulatory authorities.</li> <li>Difficulties in planning, designing and managing IS.</li> <li>Changing policy priorities affecting investment cycles.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through enabling</li> <li>Governing through partnership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that specify local and regional IS regulations.</li> <li>Local government recruiting partner organization(s) for lobbying.</li> <li>Local government providing a platform for joint data and information sharing and, further, providing insights into legal issues and policy developments.</li> </ul>
Technical	<ul> <li>Operational and management issues.</li> <li>The use of by-products increases the effort in designing and oper- ating production sys- tems (additional treatment processes, tracking of sourcing, etc) and storage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through provision</li> <li>Governing by authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local government acting as a knowledge broker, and providing a platform for joint data and information sharing.</li> <li>Local government providing or food the second second second second second food second second second second second second food second second second second second second second second food second second second second second second second food second se</li></ul>	Social	<ul> <li>Problems balancing the conflicting principles of the environment and ecology.</li> <li>Perceptions of conflicting local and national government waste codes and regulations.</li> <li>Serial isolation</li> </ul>	Coverning	Local government
	<ul> <li>Additional and supply;</li> <li>vulnerabilities due to material fluctuations.</li> <li>Variations in, or issues related to, waste quality.</li> <li>Potential fragility of the system.</li> <li>Insufficient logistical integration between actors; lacking infrastructure.</li> <li>Low use of the waste exchange website/ platform, which limits IS uptake for new</li> </ul>		funding appropriate infrastructure. - Local government developing policies that specify local and regional IS regulations.	SUCIAI	<ul> <li>Social Isolation between organizations.</li> <li>Lack of willingness to collaborate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing enabling</li> <li>Governing through provision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local government coordinating between organizations, and working to attract new members for the IS network.</li> <li>Local government promoting and advertising IS services to attract local industries and organizations.</li> <li>Local government building trust over time in its role as "anchor tenant" or coordinator.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>actors.</li> <li>Changes in production technology creating/ destroying markets or inputs.</li> <li>Lack of technology and infrastructure readiness.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through partnership</li> <li>Governing by authority</li> <li>Self- governing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local governments collaborating with each other in urban and regional planning.</li> <li>Local government developing policies that specify local and regional IS regulations.</li> <li>Local government as producer and consumer of materials and by-</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Lack of engagement by the organizations; lack of top management support.</li> <li>Other priorities in the company/companies.</li> <li>Lack of institutional support for integration, coordination and communication.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through enabling</li> <li>Governing through partnership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local government promoting and facilitating the IS network.</li> <li>Local government adopting a role as "anchor tenant" or IS network coordinator.</li> <li>Local government initiating, facilitating and maintaining IS network.</li> <li>Local government</li> </ul>

(continued on next page)

#### Table 1 (continued)

Category	Barrier	Governing mode/ strategy	Example of instruments/ measures applied to industrial symbiosis
	<ul> <li>Lack of trust between organizations.</li> <li>Asymmetric interdependencies and power imbalances between actors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through enabling</li> <li>Governing through partnership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>institutional</li> <li>support and a</li> <li>platform for joint</li> <li>data and</li> <li>information</li> <li>sharing.</li> <li>Local government</li> <li>building trust over</li> <li>time in its role as</li> <li>"anchor tenant" or</li> <li>coordinator, when</li> <li>initiating,</li> <li>facilitating and</li> <li>maintaining the IS</li> <li>network.</li> <li>Local government</li> <li>coordinating the IS</li> <li>network.</li> <li>Local governing</li> <li>entering IS as</li> <li>partner</li> <li>organization to</li> <li>level power</li> <li>imbalancer</li> </ul>
Information	<ul> <li>Lack of necessary information, lack of training for implementing IS.</li> <li>Lack of facilitation; insufficient information system that collects, stores, and works with operational data.</li> <li>Lack of awareness of the IS concepts, lack of information about other companies' by- products and waste flows.</li> <li>Lacking contact and communication between companies; lack of information sharing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Governing through provision</li> <li>Governing through enabling</li> <li>Governing through partnership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local government acting as a knowledge broker, constituting a platform for joint data and information sharing.</li> <li>Local government promoting IS.</li> <li>Local government initiating, facilitating and maintaining the IS network.</li> <li>Local government setting up common goals for delivering on IS services.</li> <li>Local government advertising IS services to attract local industries and</li> </ul>
	- Unclear roles of public and private actors involved in the IS.	- Governing through provision	organizations. - Local government providing information on actor roles and responsibilities.
	- Lack of community awareness and technical knowledge.	<ul> <li>Governing through provision</li> <li>Governing through partnership</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Local government acting as a knowledge broker, and providing a platform for joint data and information sharing.</li> </ul>

#### et al., 2005).

Although less common, the literature sample also provided some examples of local governments engaging in IS through *self-governing*. This occurred especially in situations where local governments had started to implement their own symbiosis solutions, or where they owned facilities that were crucial to the functioning of the IS (e.g. Boons et al., 2015; Lenhart et al., 2015). In this instance, the traditional business-to-business (B2B) approach in IS evolved into an authority-to-business (A2B)

approach (Horvath and Harazin, 2015). City authorities thus established a relationship between their material (e.g. waste) flows and other industrial organizations. Self-governing was also practised in situations where organizational management processes were strengthened in urban and regional planning to specifically benefit IS implementation (Ban et al., 2015).

Table 1 connects different governing modes to IS barriers, and examples of instruments and measures that can be applied to overcome them. For simplicity, we have grouped those barriers that fall within the same category and can be addressed by the same governing modes.

#### 5. Discussion

Results show that all governing modes have a function and local governments can make use of several modes in combination to support the emergence and development of IS. Fig. 3 summarizes the theoretical framework developed in Table 1, and recapitulates the barriers addressed by local governments through different modes of governing. These frameworks can be used as inspiration for future activities, and be developed by adding or combining more examples of governing strategies.

Governing by self-government includes activities where the city has full control and manages its own operations. This can be an attractive tool if a municipality wants to push a market or a certain action (Bulkeley and Kern, 2006; Palm et al., 2020). However, this governing mode has been practised surprisingly little in IS. It was only found in relation to technical barriers, such as a lack of technology and infrastructure readiness (e.g. Bacudio et al., 2016). The reason for this is probably that there is no formal requirement for local governments to implement IS; and that implementation requires collaboration and sharing of resources between several actors.

Governing by authority, where local governments use strategies and sanctions to mandate an action (Domenech et al., 2019), was primarily practised in relation to regulatory barriers. However, it was also applied to technical barriers such as lack of infrastructure development (Veleva et al., 2015). Examples from previous research include situations where local governments have developed supportive local policies and programmes (Xiang and Yuan, 2019). Most of the regulatory barriers were related to unclear legislation (Boons et al., 2011), conflicting waste codes and regulations (Corder et al., 2014) and difficulties to obtain legal approval (Golev et al., 2015). Commonly, these barriers must be approached by national rather than local governments, which can explain why local governments have not been more active in this mode (Aid et al., 2017).

Governing by enabling refers to the capacity to persuade and encourage action through, e.g., subsidies, information, or facilitation of different initiatives (Palm et al., 2019). Governing by enabling could be identified in several of the earlier studies and was used in relation to economic, regulatory, social and information barriers. By taking a proactive role and establishing meeting arenas and test beds, local authorities facilitated and actively contributed to exchanges of resources between actors (Lenhart et al., 2015). Local governments also enabled knowledge and information exchange and made it easier for local and regional actors to come together in the pursuit of IS (Horvath and Harazin, 2015).

Governing by provision was used to approach four of the five barriers: technical, economic, social and information-related ones. Even if governing by provision deals with many different barriers, more or less similar measures are used across all cases. The strategy is to provide services and goods supporting IS. This can spur resource exchanges and circular solutions, but it can also negatively affect the market and outcompete local actors (Lenhart et al., 2015; Palm et al., 2020).

Governing by partnership was likewise connected to several barrier categories. Compared with governing by enabling, this governing mode is based on a more equal relationship between local government and the other actors. While the local government has a distinct "external" role in



Fig. 3. Barriers addressed by local governments through different governing modes.

governing through enabling (with responsibility to contribute, e.g., resources), governing by partnership allows investments to be evenly shared between partners. When this was used as a strategy, the main resource invested by the local government was time. The municipal administration thus engaged in different collaborations, gathering and circulating information to various stakeholders (Horvath and Harazin, 2015).

Fig. 3 shows how different modes of governing can address typical IS barriers. For example, if a barrier of economic nature arises, local governments can look specifically into applying enabling or provisionary governing measures. This is intended to help companies and policymakers towards the implementation of industrial symbiosis.

#### 6. Conclusions

This article has described a theoretical framework explaining how local governments can reduce barriers to the implementation of IS through different modes of governing: governing through enabling, provision, and partnership, governing by authority, and self-governing. The results show that local governments can adopt different governing roles to engage in IS through their means of operation. Commonly, local governments support IS in an enabling or providing function by promoting, coordinating and maintaining IS networks as well as by providing information, infrastructure and funding. They also leverage authoritarian and self-governance mechanisms, developing IS-relevant policies and regulation, and planning and controlling their own activities linked to material and resource flows. In doing so, they address economic, technical, regulatory, social and information-related barriers to IS in different ways.

In terms of policy recommendations for the future, we suggest that local governments establish a strategy on IS, including clearly defined goals and responsibilities, and methods to achieve these goals. Table 1 and Fig. 3 can be used as inspiration and tools for this purpose. Political support plays an important role both in legitimacy and for creating trust in a market or activity that the local government will invest in. Another recommendation is to strengthen governing by authority, by including physical planning as an instrument to coordinate actions across sectors. Another way forward is for local governments to demand certain IS services and, in this way, create an interest in IS solutions on the market. Alternatively, if resources exist, local governments could also initiate pilot projects.

For future research, it would be interesting to deepen the analysis of the potential policy instruments and measures that can be applied in relation to each of the five governing modes.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the Swedish Energy Agency, project number 46016–1. We would like to thank the anynomous reviewers for their suggestions and valuable comments which helped us improve the article.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2021.100014.

#### References

- Aid, G., Eklund, M., Anderberg, S., Baas, L., 2017. Expanding roles for the Swedish waste management sector in inter-organizational resource management. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 124, 85–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.04.007.
- Ashton, W.S., 2011. Managing performance expectations of industrial symbiosis. Bus. Strat. Environ. 20 (5), 297–309. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.696.
- Bacudio, L.R., Benjamin, M.F.D., Eusebio, R.C.P., Holaysan, S.A.K., Promentilla, M.A.B., Yu, K.D.S., Aviso, K.B., 2016. Analyzing barriers to implementing industrial symbiosis networks using DEMATEL. Sustain. Prod. Consumpt. 7, 57–65. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2016.03.001.
- Ban, Y.U., Jeong, J.H., Jeong, S.K., 2015. Assessing the performance of carbon dioxide emission reduction of commercialized eco-industrial park projects in South Korea. J. Clean. Prod. 114, 124–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.083.
- Bocken, N., De Pauw, I., Bakker, C., Van der Grinten, B., 2016. Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. J. Indust. Prod. Eng. 33 (5), 308–320.
- Boons, F., Spekkink, W., 2012. Levels of institutional capacity and actor expectations about industrial symbiosis. J. Ind. Ecol. 16, 61–69.
- Boons, F., Spekkink, W., Mouzakitis, Y., 2011. The dynamics of industrial symbiosis: a proposal for a conceptual framework based upon a comprehensive literature review. J. Clean. Prod. 19 (9–10), 905–911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.01.003.
- Boons, F., Spekkink, W., Isenmann, R., Baas, L., Eklund, M., Brullot, S., Deutz, P., Gibbs, D., Massard, G., Romero Arozamena, E., Ruiz Puente, C., Verguts, V., Davis, C., Korevaar, G., Costa, I., Baumann, H., 2015. Comparing industrial symbiosis in Europe: towards a conceptual framework and research methodology. In: Deutz, P., Lyon, D.I., Jun, B. (Eds.), International Perspectives on Industrial Ecology. Studies on the Social Dimensions of Industrial Ecology Series. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 69–88. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781003572.00013.
- Bossilkov, A., Van Berkel, R., Corder, G., 2005. "Regional Synergies for Sustainable Resource Processing: a Status report." Centre for Sustainable Resource Processing. Retrieved on 5 July 2020 from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2 70340839 Regional Synergies for Sustainable Resource Processing a Status Report.
- Bulkeley, H., Kern, K., 2006. Local government and the governing of climate change in Germany and the UK. Urban Stud. 43 (12), 2237–2259.
- Bulkeley, H., Schroeder, H., Janda, K., et al., 2009. Cities and climate change: the role of institutions, governance and urban planning. In: Paper Presented at the World Bank Fifth Urban Research Symposium: Cities and Climate Change. Marseille, France. June 2009.
- Chertow, M., 2000. Industrial symbiosis: literature and taxonomy. Annu. Rev. Energy Environ. 25 (1), 313–337.
- Chertow, M., 2004. Industrial symbiosis. Encyclopedia Energy 3, 407-415.
- Chertow, M., 2007. "Uncovering" industrial symbiosis. J. Ind. Ecol. 11 (1), 11-30.
- Chertow, M., Ehrenfeld, J., 2012. Organizing self-organizing systems: toward a theory of industrial symbiosis. J. Ind. Ecol. 16 (1), 13–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1530–9290.2011.00450.x.
- Chiu, A.S.F., Yong, G., 2004. On the industrial ecology potential in Asian developing countries. J. Clean. Prod. 12 (8–10), 1037–1045. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jclepro.2004.02.013.
- Corder, G.D., Golev, A., Fyfe, J., King, S., 2014. The status of industrial ecology in Australia: barriers and enablers. Resources 3, 340–361. https://doi.org/10.3390/ resources3020340.
- Creswell, J.W., 2008. The selection of a research design. In: Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches, third ed. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Domenech, T., 2010. Social Aspects of Industrial Symbiosis Networks. Doctoral thesis. University College London, London.
- Domenech, T., Bleischwitz, R., Doranova, A., Panayotopoulos, D., Roman, L., 2019. Mapping industrial symbiosis development in Europe – typologies of networks,

#### K. Södergren, J. Palm

characteristics, performance and contribution to the circular economy. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 141, 76–98.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013. Towards a circular economy: economic and business rationale for an accelerated transition. Available at. https://www.ellenmacarthurf oundation.org/assets/downloads/publications/Ellen-MacArthur-Foundation-Towa rds-the-Circular-Economy-vol.1.pdf.

Esaiasson, P., Gilljam, M., Oscarsson, H., Wängnerud, L., 2007. Metodpraktikan: Konsten Att Studera Samhälle, Individ Och Marknad. Norstedts Juridik, Stockholm.

EU, 2019. Circular economy. Implementation of the circular economy action plan. Available at. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/index\_en.htm. European Commission, 2020. A New Circular Economy Action Plan for a Cleaner and

More Competitive Europe. See also the Annex to the Communication, Brussels. European Environment Agency, 2019. The European Environment – State and Outlook

 2020. EEA, Copenhagen, Denmark.
 Fichtner, W., Tietze-Stöckinger, I., Frank, M., Rentz, O., 2005. Barriers of interorganisational environmental management: two case studies on industrial

symbiosis. Progr. Indust. Ecol. Int. J. 2 (1), 73–88. Fraccascia, L., 2019. The impact of technical and economic disruptions in industrial

symbiosis relationships: an enterprise input-output approach. Int. J. Prod. Econ. 213, 161–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPE.2019.03.020.
Frosch, R.A., Gallopoulos, N.E., 1989. Strategies for manufacturing. Sci. Am. 261 (3),

Frosch, R.A., Gallopoulos, N.E., 1989. Strategies for manufacturing. Sci. Am. 261 (3), 144–152.

Gibbs, D., Deutz, P., 2007. Reflections on implementing industrial ecology through ecoindustrial park development. J. Clean. Prod. 15 (17), 1683–1695. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jclepro.2007.02.003.

Golev, A., Corder, G.D., Giurco, D.P., 2015. Barriers to industrial symbiosis: insights from the use of a maturity grid. J. Ind. Ecol. 19 (1), 141–153. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jiec.12159.

Hashimoto, S., Fujita, T., Nagasawa, E., 2010. Realizing CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction through industrial symbiosis: a cement production case study for Kawasaki. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 54, 704–710.

Heeres, R.R., Vermeulen, W.J.V., De Walle, F.B., 2004. Eco-industrial park initiatives in the USA and The Netherlands: first lessons. J. Clean. Prod. 12, 985–995.

Herczeg, G., Akkerman, R., Hauschild, M.Z., 2018. Supply chain collaboration in industrial symbiosis networks. J. Clean. Prod. 171, 1058–1067. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.046.

Horvath, G.A., Harazin, P., 2015. A framework for an industrial ecological decision support system to foster partnerships between businesses and governments for sustainable development. J. Clean. Prod. 114, 214–223.

International Institute for Sustainable Development, 2015. IISD Report March 2015. Development of Eco-Efficient Industrial Parks in China: A Review. Retreived on 20 June 2020 from. https://www.iisd.org/system/files/publications/development-eco-e fficient-industrial-parks-china-review-en.pdf.

Islam, K., Rahman, M., Islam, K., 2016. Industrial symbiosis: a review on uncovering approaches, opportunities, barriers and policies. J. Civil Eng. Environ. Sci. 2 (1), 011–019.

Lenhart, J., Van Vliet, B., Mol, A., 2015. New roles for local authorities in a time of climate change: the Rotterdam Energy Approach and Planning as a case of urban symbiosis. J. Clean. Prod. 137, 593–601.

Levänen, J.O., Hukkinen, J.I., 2013. A methodology for facilitating the feedback between mental models and institutional change in industrial ecosystem governance: a waste management case-study from northern Finland. Ecol. Econ. 87, 15–23. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.12.001.

Li, G., 2014. A Paradigm of Constructing Industrial Symbiosis and Coupling in China's County-Region Economic Sustainable Development. Chapter 68 in Sustainable Practices: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications. Information Resources Management Association, USA, pp. 1218–1231. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-4852-4.ch068.

Li, J., Pan, S.Y., Kim, H., Linn, J.H., Chiang, P.C., 2015. Building green supply chains in eco-industrial parks towards a green economy: barriers and strategies. J. Environ. Manag. 162, 158–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.07.030.

Madsen, J.K., Boisen, N., Nielsen, L.U., Tackmann, L.H., 2015. Industrial symbiosis exchanges: developing a guideline to companies. Waste and Biomass Valorization 6 (5), 855–864. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-015-9417-9.

Maranesi, C., De Giovanni, P., 2020. Modern circular economy: corporate strategy, supply chain, and industrial symbiosis. Sustainability 12. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su12229383. www.mdpi.com/journal/sustainability.

Mortensen, L., Kørnøv, L., 2019. Critical factors for industrial symbiosis emergence process. J. Clean. Prod. 212, 56–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/ J.JCLEPRO.2018.11.222.

Music, B., 2019. Urban planning and industrial symbiosis in Slovenia. IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 471, 1–9, 112084.

Neves, A., Godina, R., Azevedo, S.G., Matias, J.C.O., 2019. Current status, emerging challenges, and future prospects of industrial symbiosis in Portugal. Sustainability 11 (19), 1–23.

Neves, A., Godina, R., Azevedo, S.G., Matias, J.C.O., 2020. A comprehensive review of industrial symbiosis. J. Clean. Prod. 247, 1–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jclepro.2019.119113.

- Pajunen, N., Watkins, G., Husgafvel, R., Heiskanen, K., Dahl, O., 2013. The challenge to overcome institutional barriers in the development of industrial residue based novel symbiosis products – experiences from Finnish process industry. Miner. Eng. 46–47, 144–156.
- Palm, J., Smedby, N., McCormick, K., 2019. The role of local governments in governing sustainable consumption and sharing cities. In: Mont, O. (Ed.), A Research Agenda for Sustainable Consumption Governance. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham.

Palm, J., Södergren, K., Bocken, N., 2020. Emergence of carsharing business models and sustainability impacts in Swedish cities. Sustainability 12 (4), 1594.

Paquin, R.L., Howard-Grenville, J., 2012. The evolution of facilitated industrial symbiosis. J. Ind. Ecol. 16, 83–93.

Park, H.S., Rene, E.R., Choi, S.M., Chiu, A.S.F., 2008. Strategies for sustainable development of industrial park in Ulsan, South Korea – from spontaneous evolution to systematic expansion of industrial symbiosis. J. Environ. Manag. 87 (1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2006.12.045.

Phillips, P.S., Barnes, R., Bates, M.P., Coskeran, T., 2006. A critical appraisal of an UK county waste minimisation programme: the requirement for regional facilitated development of industrial symbiosis/ecology. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 46, 242–264.

Qi, Y., Li, H., Wang, J., 2009. Promoting Industrial Symbiosis Network through Public-Private Partnership: a Case Study of TEDA. 2009 3rd International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, pp. 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBBE.2009.5163251.

Reddy, B.S., 2013. Barriers and drivers to energy efficiency – a new taxonomical approach. Energy Convers. Manag. 74, 403–416.

Rhodes, R.A.W., 1997. Understanding Governance: Policy Networks, Governance, Reflexivity and Accountability. Open University Press, Buckingham.

Smedby, N., Quitzau, M.B., 2016. Municipal governance and sustainability: the role of local governments in promoting transitions. Environ. Pol. Governance 26, 323–336. Sørensen, E., Torfing, J., 2007. Theories of Democratic Network Governance. Palgrave

Macmillian, Basingstoke.

- Symbiosis, Kalundborg, 2020. Explore the Kalundborg Symbiosis. Retrieved on 17 June 2020 from: http://www.symbiosis.dk/en/.
- Taddeo, R., Simboli, A., Ioppolo, G., Morgante, A., 2017. Industrial symbiosis, networking and innovation: the potential role of innovation poles. Sustainability 9 (2), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9020169.

Tudor, T., Adam, E., Bates, M., 2007. Drivers and limitations for the successful development and functioning of EIPs (eco-industrial parks): a literature review. Ecol. Econ. 61, 199–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2006.10.010.

UNEP – United Nations Environment Programme, 2015. District energy in cities. Unlocking Potential Energy Eff. Renew. Energy 1–137. Retrieved on 3 July 2020 from. https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/9317.

Van Berkel, R., Fujita, T., Hashimoto, S., Geng, Y., 2009a. Industrial and urban symbiosis in Japan: analysis of the Eco-Town program 1997–2006. J. Environ. Manag. 90, 1544–1556.

Velenturf, A., 2016. Analysing the governance system for the promotion of industrial symbiosis in the Humber region, UK. People, Place Pol. 10 (2), 146–173. https:// doi.org/10.3351/ppp.0010.0002.0003.

Veleva, V., Todorova, S., Lowitt, P., Neely, D., 2015. Understanding and addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park. J. Clean. Prod. 87, 375–384.

Von Malmborg, F., 2004. Networking for knowledge transfer: towards an understanding of local authority roles in regional industrial ecosystem management. Bus. Strat. Environ. 13, 334–346.

Voytenko Palgan, Y., McCormick, K., Leire, C., Lund, T., Öhrwall, E., Sandoff, A., Williamsson, J., 2020a. Mobile Lab on Sharing in Gothenburg. Retrieved on 5 July 2020 from: https://portal.research.lu.se/portal/files/78015547/Mobile\_Lab\_report\_ Sharing\_in\_Gothenburg\_FINAL.pdf.

Voytenko Palgan, Y., Mont, O., Sulkakoski, S., 2020b. Governing the sharing economy: towards a comprehensive analytical framework of municipal governance. Cities 108, 1–13.

Walls, J.L., Paquin, R.L., 2015. Organizational perspectives of industrial symbiosis: a review and synthesis. Organ. Environ. 28 (1), 32–53. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1086026615575333.

Wang, Q., Deutz, P., Chen, Y., 2017. Building institutional capacity for industrial symbiosis development: a case study of an industrial symbiosis coordination network in China. J. Clean. Prod. 142, 1571–1582.

Wolf, A., Eklund, M., Söderström, M., 2005. Towards cooperation in industrial symbiosis: considering the importance of the human dimension. Progr. Indust. Ecol. Int. J. 2 (2), 185–199.

Wolf, A., Eklund, M., Söderström, M., 2007. Developing integration in a local industrial ecosystem – an explorative approach. Bus. Strat. Environ. 16, 442–455.

Xiang, P., Yuan, T., 2019. A collaboration-driven mode for improving sustainable cooperation in smart industrial parks. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 141, 273–283.

Yu, C., Dijkema, G.P.J., De Jong, M., 2014. What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China? J. Ind. Ecol. 19 (3), 441–457.

Appendix A.	Barriers to in	ndustrial sym	biosis (IS), by	y type and l	iterature r	eference.

Category	Barriers	References
Economic barriers	High investment costs	Madsen et al, 2015; Pajunen et al, 2013
	Difficulty in acquiring external investment capital; lack of funding, resources and time	Chiu & Yong, 2004; Bacudio et al, 2016; Tudor et al, 2007; Corder et al, 2014; Mortensen & Kørnøv, 2019
	Division of income and costs between organizations	Fichtner et al, 2005
	Different investment cycles	Madsen et al, 2015; Mortensen & Kørnøv, 2019
	Fluctuations in demand for a particular commodity or product	Tudor et al, 2007
	Variation in cost of resources across regions	Tudor et al, 2007
	Increase in operational costs (i.e. waste transportation and waste treatment costs)	Fraccascia, 2019
	Unknown cost-benefit ratio	Madsen et al, 2015; Fichtner et al, 2005; Islam et al, 2016
	Changes in benefit-sharing policies negotiated by companies	Fraccascia, 2019
	Difficulties in motivating the co- location of firms; geographical distances	Tudor et al, 2007; Mortensen & Kørnøv, 2019
Technical barriers	Operational and management issues	Herczeg et al, 2018; Corder et al, 2014
	The use of by-products increases the effort of designing and operating production systems (additional treatment processes, tracking of sourcing, etc) and storage facilities	Herczeg et al, 2018
	Mismatch between demand and supply; vulnerabilities due to material fluctuations	Fraccascia, 2019; Tudor et al, 2007
	Variations in, or issues related to, waste quality	Prosman & Wæhrens, 2019; Fraccascia, 2019
	Potential fragility of the system	Tudor et al, 2007
	Changes in production technology creating/destroying markets or inputs	Tudor et al, 2007; Fraccascia, 2019
	Insufficient logistical integration between actors; lack of infrastructure	Herczeg et al, 2018; Mortensen & Kørnøv, 2019
	Low use of the waste exchange website/platform, resulting in limited IS uptake for new actors	Corder et al, 2014
	Lack of technology and infrastructure readiness	Bacudio et al, 2016; Costa & Ferrão, 2010; Li et al, 2015 <u>; Islam</u> <u>et al, 2016</u>
Regulatory barriers	Restrictive environmental legislation; lack of legislative incentives	Bacudio et al, 2016; Boons et al, 2011; Li et al, 2015; Walls & Paquin, 2015; Fichtner et al, 2005; Golev et al, 2015; Madsen et al, 2015; Islam et al, 2016; Corder et al, 2014

	Uncertain legislation or lack of	Pajunen et al, 2013; Watkins et al,
	guidance (on compliance criteria,	2013
	best environmental practices,	
	permit conditions, etc)	
	Perceptions of conflicting local	Corder et al. 2014
	and national government waste	
	and national government waste	
	codes and regulations	G 1 4 1 2015
	Difficulties in obtaining approval	Golev et al, 2015
	for waste reuse projects from the	
	regulatory authorities	
	Difficulties in planning, designing	Tudor et al, 2007; Corder et al,
	and managing IS	2014
	Changing policy priorities	Fraccascia, 2019
	affecting investment cycles	
	Problems balancing the conflicting	Tudor et al, 2007; Corder et al,
	principles of the environment and	2014
	ecology	
Social barriers	Social isolation between	Golev et al, 2015; Islam et al,
	organizations	
	Lack of willingness to collaborate	Bacudio et al, 2016; Park et al,
		2008; Fichtner et al, 2005;
		Mortensen & Kørnøv, 2019
	Lack of engagement by the	Chiu & Yong, 2004; Bacudio et al,
	organizations; lack of top	2016; Fichtner et al, 2005; Madsen
	management support	et al, 2015
	Other priorities in the	Madsen et al, 2015
	company/companies	
	Lack of trust between	Gibbs & Deutz, 2007; Chertow &
	organizations	Ehrenfeld, 2012: Walls & Paquin.
	orgeningen one	2015: Bacudio et al. 2016:
		Fichtner et al. 2005: Golev et al
		2015: Madsen et al. 2015: Islam et
		al 2016, Descillent et al 2005.
		al, $2010$ ; Bossiikov et al, $2005$ ;
		Domenech, 2010
	Asymmetric interdependencies	Herczeg et al, 2018
	and power imbalances between	
	actors	
	Lack of institutional support for	Bacudio et al, 2016; Ashton,
	integration, coordination and	2011; Li et al, 2015; Mortensen &
	communication	Kørnøv, 2019
Information-related barriers	Lack of necessary information or	Gibbs & Deutz, 2007; Li et al,
	data: lack of training for	2015: Walls & Paguin, 2015:
	implementing IS	Bacudio et al. 2016: Golev et al.
	impromotion g to	2015: Corder et al 2014
	Lack of facilitation: insufficient	Herczeg et al. 2018: Mortensen &
	information systems for collecting	Varmay 2010
	storing and working with	KØ1110V, 2013
	storing and working with	
	operational data	
	Lack of awareness of IS concepts;	Bacudio et al, 2016; Chiu & Yong,
	lack of information about other	2004; G1bbs & Deutz, 2007;
	companies' by-products and waste	Madsen et al, 2015; Islam et al,
	flows	2016; Chertow, 2007; Domenech,
		2010; Tudor et al, 2007
	Lack of contact and	Levänen & Hukkinen, 2013;
	communication between	Bacudio et al, 2016; Fichtner et al.
	companies; lack of information	2005; Madsen et al, 2015; Tudor
	sharing	et al. 2007
	Unclear roles of public and private	Tudor et al. 2007
	actors involved in the IS	

Lack of community awareness and	Golev et al, 2015
technical knowledge	

## References

Ashton, W.S. (2011). Managing performance expectations of industrial symbiosis. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 20*(5), 297–309. doi:10.1002/bse.696

Bacudio, L.R., Benjamin, M.F.D., Eusebio, R.C.P., Holaysan, S.A.K., Promentilla, M.A.B., Yu, K.D.S., & Aviso, K.B. (2016). Analyzing barriers to implementing industrial symbiosis networks using DEMATEL. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, *7*, 57–65. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2016.03.001</u>

Ban, Y.U., Jeong, J.H., & Jeong, S.K. (2015). Assessing the performance of carbon dioxide emission reduction of commercialized eco-industrial park projects in South Korea. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *114*, 124–131. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.083

Boons, F., Spekkink, W., & Mouzakitis, Y. (2011). The dynamics of industrial symbiosis: a proposal for a conceptual framework based upon a comprehensive literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *19*(9–10), 905–911. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.01.003

Bossilkov, A., Van Berkel, R., & Corder, G. (2005). "Regional synergies for sustainable resource processing: a status report." Centre for Sustainable Resource Processing. Retrieved on 5 July 2020 from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270340839\_Regional\_Synergies\_for\_Sustainable\_R

esource Processing a Status Report

Chertow, M. (2007). "Uncovering" industrial symbiosis. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 11(1), 11–30.

Chertow, M., & Ehrenfeld, J. (2012). Organizing self-organizing systems: toward a theory of industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *16*(1), 13–27. doi:10.1111/j.1530–9290.2011.00450.x

Chiu, A.S.F., & Yong, G. (2004). On the industrial ecology potential in Asian developing countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *12*(8–10), 1037–1045. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.02.013

Corder, G.D., Golev, A., Fyfe, J., & King, S. (2014). The status of industrial ecology in Australia: barriers and enablers. *Resources*, *3*, 340–361. doi:10.3390/resources3020340

Costa, I., & Ferrão, P. (2010). A case study of industrial symbiosis development using a middle-out approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *18*(10–11), 984–992. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.03.007

Creswell, J.W. (2008). The selection of a research design. In: *Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches,* 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Domenech, T. (2010). "Social aspects of industrial symbiosis networks." Doctoral thesis. London: University College London.

Fichtner, W., Tietze-Stöckinger, I., Frank, M., & Rentz, O. (2005). Barriers of interorganisational environmental management: two case studies on industrial symbiosis. *Progress in Industrial Ecology, an International Journal*, *2*(1), 73–88.

Fraccascia, L. (2019). The impact of technical and economic disruptions in industrial symbiosis relationships: an enterprise input-output approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 213, 161–174. doi:10.1016/J.IJPE.2019.03.020

Gibbs, D., & Deutz, P. (2007). Reflections on implementing industrial ecology through ecoindustrial park development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *15*(17), 1683–1695. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2007.02.003

Golev, A., Corder, G.D., & Giurco, D.P. (2015). Barriers to industrial symbiosis: insights from the use of a maturity grid. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *19*(1), 141–153. doi:10.1111/jiec.12159

Herczeg, G., Akkerman, R., & Hauschild, M.Z. (2018). Supply chain collaboration in industrial symbiosis networks. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *171*, 1058–1067. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.046

Islam, K., Rahman, M., & Islam, K. (2016). Industrial symbiosis: a review on uncovering approaches, opportunities, barriers and policies. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 2(1), 011–019.

Levänen, J.O., & Hukkinen, J.I. (2013). A methodology for facilitating the feedback between mental models and institutional change in industrial ecosystem governance: a waste management case-study from northern Finland. *Ecological Economics*, 87, 15–23. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.12.001

Li, J., Pan, S.Y., Kim, H., Linn, J.H., & Chiang, P.C. (2015). Building green supply chains in eco-industrial parks towards a green economy: barriers and strategies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *162*, 158–170. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.07.030

Madsen, J.K., Boisen, N., Nielsen, L.U., & Tackmann, L.H. (2015). Industrial symbiosis exchanges: developing a guideline to companies. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, *6*(5), 855–864. doi:10.1007/s12649-015-9417-9

Mortensen, L., & Kørnøv, L. (2019). Critical factors for industrial symbiosis emergence process. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 212, 56–69. doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.11.222

Ness, D.A., & Xing, K. (2017). Toward a resource-efficient built environment: a literature review and conceptual model. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *21*, 572–592.

Pajunen, N., Watkins, G., Husgafvel, R., Heiskanen, K., & Dahl, O. (2013). The challenge to overcome institutional barriers in the development of industrial residue based novel symbiosis products – experiences from Finnish process industry. *Minerals Engineering*, 46–47, 144–156.

Paquin, R.L., & Howard-Grenville, J. (2012). The evolution of facilitated industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *16*, 83–93.

Park, H.S., Rene, E.R., Choi, S.M., & Chiu, A.S.F. (2008). Strategies for sustainable development of industrial park in Ulsan, South Korea – from spontaneous evolution to systematic expansion of industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 87(1), 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2006.12.045

Prosman, E.J., & Wæhrens, B.V. (2019). Managing waste quality in industrial symbiosis: insights on how to organize supplier integration. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 234, 113–123. doi:10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2019.06.169

Tudor, T., Adam, E., & Bates, M. (2007). Drivers and limitations for the successful development and functioning of EIPs (eco-industrial parks): a literature review. *Ecological Economics*, *61*, 199–207. doi:10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2006.10.010

Walls, J.L., & Paquin, R.L. (2015). Organizational perspectives of industrial symbiosis: a review and synthesis. *Organization and Environment*, 28(1), 32–53. doi:10.1177/1086026615575333

Watkins, G., Husgafvel, R., Pajunen, N., Dahl, O., & Heiskanen, K. (2013). Overcoming institutional barriers in the development of novel process industry residue based symbiosis products – case study at the EU level. *Minerals Engineering*, *41*, 31–40.

## Appendix B. Mapping articles to governing modes.

	Author & title	Governing mode	Quotations*
1	Ban et al (2015). Assessing	1. Governing by authority	1. "Local governments should cooperate with
	the performance of carbon		each other to perform EIP [eco-industrial
	dioxide emission reduction of		park] projects as a joint effort and prepare a
	commercialized eco-industrial		system that can support urban and regional
	park projects in South Korea.		planning associated with the EIP projects
			before they establish master plans for
			constructing environmentally and
			economically sustainable cities. Furthermore,
			to mitigate climate change at the
			administrative district level, the EIP projects
			need to be associated and promoted with many
			other projects conducted by the local
-	Deems at al (2015)	1 Coverning through	governments. (p. 150)
2	Boons et al (2015).	1. Governing through	1. Local authorities, Chambers of Commerce,
	symbiosis in Europe: towards	enaoling	connection to the place are likely to be
	a conceptual framework and		involved: <b>narticination</b> and <b>leadershin</b> can
	research methodology		change as the network develops "(n 84)
	researen methodology.	2 Self-governing	2 "The set of actors included in the system
			first of all consists of those responsible for the
			transformation of material and the use of
			energy. These are key actors in the sense that
			without them, there would be no material and
			energy flows. Whilst these will often be firms,
			such production facilities may also be owned
			by governments or other types of actors." (p.
			74)
3	Domenech et al (2019).	1. Governing by	1. "Local authorities are invited to <b>develop</b>
	Mapping industrial symbiosis	authority/governing	local strategies in collaboration with local
	development in Europe –	through partnership	stakenoiders in projects that generally have a $\frac{2}{3}$ 4 year area $\frac{2}{3}$ (n 77)
	characteristics performance	2 Governing through	2 " solf organized networks seem to share
	and contribution to the circular	enabling/governing	some commonalities: [ ] they have emerged
	economy.	through partnership	as business-as-usual transactions in countries
			where social licence to operate is shaped by
			higher environmental awareness and more
			stringent environmental regulatory
			frameworks; it is also common to be driven by
			private actors but with local government
			support and participation." (p. 77)
4	Hashimoto et al (2010).	1. Governing by authority	1. " city governments should introduce a
	Realizing $CO_2$ emission		detailed separation program for garbage
	reduction through industrial		collection so that wastes collected can be $(1, 1)$
	symbiosis: a cement	2.0.10	effectively recycled." (p. 709)
	production case study for	2. Self-governing	2 industrial companies are responsible for
	Kawasaki.		managing their industrial wastes, while
			managing municipal solid waste " (n. 700)
			managing municipal solid waste. (p. 709)
5	Heeres et al (2004). Eco-	1. Governing through	1. "The literature study also revealed that
	industrial park initiatives in	partnersnip	successful development of an EIP [eco-
	first lessons		industrial park] would require active
	11151 10550115.		participation from a number of
			stakenoiuers:

			<ul> <li>public sector stakeholders from local, regional and national government agencies;</li> <li>" (p. 987)</li> </ul>
6	Horvath & Harazin (2015). A framework for an industrial ecological decision support system to foster partnerships between businesses and governments for sustainable development.	1. Self-governing/ governing through partnership	1. " local authorities ought to consider a more <b>active participation</b> in industrial ecological systems, transcending the traditional role of legislator, and assuming one as <b>consumer of by-products</b> of industrial processes, in order to better fulfil their responsibilities where this is feasible." (p. 215)
		2. Governing by authority	2. " local governments need to take a proactive role in creating <b>streamlined decision-making</b> mechanisms to facilitate closing open loops." (p. 215)
		3. Governing through enabling	3. " through a more proactive and <b>coordinative</b> role of the local authority, the intensity of industrial ecology is increased. Planning and advanced coordination are a prerequisite." (p. 217)
7	Lenhart et al (2015). New roles for local authorities in a time of climate change: the Rotterdam Energy Approach and Planning as a case of urban symbiosis.	1. Governing through enabling/governing through partnership	1. "REAP [Rotterdam Energy Approach and Planning] incorporates energy and water reuse in an urban area, using by-products as resources in different urban functions. [This] is <b>coordinated</b> by Rotterdam's local authority, <b>in partnership</b> with architects and academic institutions in its design, and housing corporations and energy companies in its implementation." (p. 593)
		2. Governing though enabling	2. "Locally, public authorities often provide an <b>initiating/coordinating</b> function to steer urban symbiosis and facilitate action They may serve as symbiosis <b>facilitator</b> or <b>promoter</b> : taking responsibility, providing information, discussing economic advantages with private actors, identifying champions or encouraging legislation []. As local authorities are accountable to their citizens and businesses, they must <b>provide</b> <b>transparent information</b> and <b>foster trust</b> amongst a broad range of urban stakeholders." (p. 595)
		3. Governing through enabling/self-governing	3. "In its initial stage(s), REAP is predominantly 'led' by the local authority, with architects and academics included in the design stage. In later stages, housing corporations, energy companies and infrastructure providers were brought in. The local authority serves as <b>coordinator</b> , <b>facilitator</b> and <b>information provider</b> . This is in part because of REAP's nature, which attempts to address energy and urban planning simultaneously; and the possible ambiguity of this approach, which could lead to internal fragmentation if different departments (with competing perspectives and priorities)

			disagree. [] To limit competing perspectives or possible (internal) fragmentation, the local authority <b>initially acted rather introverted</b> , <b>developing an internal vision among its</b> <b>departments</b> ; external partners were engaged only later." (p. 599)
		4. Governing through enabling	4. "Moving from design to implementation, the local authority took a step back in the case of REAP: <b>providing information, support</b> <b>and stimulation</b> , but making limited use of regulatory measures." (p. 596)
8	Li (2014). A paradigm of constructing industrial symbiosis and coupling in China's county-region economic sustainable development.	1. Governing by authority	1. "The local government should guide the scientific planning of county-region industries' layout, structure, symbiosis, and coupling relationships. By means of environmental cost internalization, region industries' symbiosis and coupling size, roles of social network, cultivation of innovative culture, guidance of government subsidy, pressure from public monitoring, the market mechanism, and economic incentives will play roles in resource allocation." (p. 1218)
		2. Governing through enabling	2. "In practice, the local government or industrial authority can design the 'lack in' value chain parts and package them into some feasible and profitable projects open to the market investors, encourage firms to participate [in] regional industrial symbiosis and coupling, and construct a complete industrial chain or network, in order to realize the integration of closed- circuit industry, higher value-added ecological agriculture, tourism and related service sectors, creative economic industrial park[s], urbanization, and [the] ecological environment. This will avoid the heavy damage from traditional industrialization and urbanization on [the] county-regional ecological environment, and then realize the harmony development of [a] county-regional recycle economy, society, and environment." (p. 1218)
9	Music (2019). Urban planning and industrial symbiosis in Slovenia.	1. Governing by authority	1. " integration of the CE [circular economy] and IS model is not possible if conditions in the Municipal Spatial Development Plans are not established. For successful implementation, the CE and IS concepts need to be integrated into <b>spatial</b> <b>development plans</b> and other related development <b>strategies</b> , <b>programs and plans</b> as a development goal, both on the local and [at] the regional level. Since local authorities play a major role in <b>decision-making</b> processes, especially in the process of preparing documents such as the Municipal Spatial Development Plan, it is important that they <b>adapt</b> and <b>integrate</b> CE and IS concepts and implement them also in other sectors." (p. 8)

10	Neves et al (2019). Current status, emerging challenges, and future prospects of industrial symbiosis in Portugal.	1. Governing through partnership/ governing through enabling/governing through provision	1. "The largest and most organized network of industrial symbiosis in Portugal is Relvão Eco Industrial Park in Chamusca. This was the result of the <b>interaction</b> between national, local government, industries, and other entities who, from a set of <b>concerted actions</b> , such as the <b>provision</b> of a large area at lower prices for industri[al] implementation, <b>holding</b> <b>meetings</b> to inform and promote relationships between agents, and through waste
			management <b>facilities</b> , provide a cluster for waste treatment and recovery, <b>attract more</b> <b>companies</b> to the site and make them participate in the industrial symbiosis network, and thus contribute to the development of the municipality" (p. 9)
		2. Governing through enabling	2. " it was important to have a facilitating entity that analyzed the possible symbioses in advance with the quantification of some of the potential benefits to be achieved and promoted [] trusting relationships [with the companies] that served as the foundation of the industrial symbiosis networks. This <b>facilitating</b> role can be performed by different entities, whether public or private, such as local authorities and private or public organizations Regional governments can also play an important role in <b>creating</b> <b>industrial symbiosis relationships</b> because they are closer to businesses and have an interest in developing the municipality from an economic and environmental point of view." (p. 16)
		3. Governing through enabling	3. "Further, the example of Relvão Eco Industrial Park illustrates how important local government is and how it can <b>act as a driving</b> <b>force</b> for symbiosis relations. However, there is a need for the central government to provide information and sensitization to local authorities so that they are <b>motivated</b> to take action to <b>trigger the establishment</b> of industrial symbiosis networks." (p. 16)
11	Phillips et al (2006). A critical appraisal of an UK county waste minimisation programme: the requirement for regional facilitated development of industrial symbiosis/ecology.	1. Governing through partnership	1. "In the Waste Strategy for England and Wales [], sustainable development is placed at the centre of waste management policy. It is stated that: 'If we are to deliver sustainable development it is crucial that we begin to tackle our growing mountain of waste. This means designing products which use fewer materials and using processes that produce less waste.' — To engineer this step change in the way we think about waste we must <b>work</b> <b>in partnership</b> — with businesses, local authorities, community groups and the public." (p. 244)
		2. Governing through partnership	<ul> <li>2. "New partnerships mean Local Authorities working with a range of powerful change agents and Service Providers." (p. 245)</li> <li>3. "The key to the development of such Invester."</li> </ul>
		partnership	minimization] clubs, as the case of

			Northamptonshire shows, is the formation of Local and Regional <b>partnerships</b> of: facilitators, regulators and service providers." (p. 264)
12	Qi et al (2009). Promoting industrial symbiosis network through public–private partnership: a case study of TEDA.	1. Governing through provision	1. "The <b>fund</b> source mainly includes financial funds from local government; special funds sent by central government and Tianjin city " (p. 3)
13	Taddeo et al (2017). Industrial symbiosis, networking and innovation: the potential role of innovation poles.	1. Governing through enabling	1. "More effective could be an approach where the [] governance body plays a role as a <b>facilitator</b> [], <b>encouraging and</b> <b>supporting</b> initiatives and increasing the <b>awareness</b> that IS can be an element of strategic competitive advantage and growth for the whole territory." (p. 13)
14	Van Berkel, Fujita, Hashimoto & Geng (2009). Industrial and urban symbiosis in Japan: analysis of the Eco-Town program 1997–2006.	1. Governing by authority	1. "Local governments (municipality or prefecture level) formulate Eco-Town <b>Programs in consultation with local</b> <b>stakeholders</b> from [the] private sector, research institutes, community groups and citizens. Upon their submission the Eco-Town plans were reviewed by the national government, and, if considered appropriate, jointly endorsed by [the] METI [Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry] and MoE [Ministry of Environment]. [] Upon approval of the Eco-Town Plan, [the] MoE provided a grant to the respective local authority to <b>execute the town planning</b> ." (p. 1545)
		2. Governing through provision	2. "The METI grant would be matched by an <b>investment</b> subsidy from the local government, typically in the range of 1–10% of the METI grant" (p. 1546)
		3. Governing by authority	3. "During its 10 years of operation, 26 Eco- Town plans were <b>approved</b> and <b>endorsed</b> for implementation by the responsible local government authority." (p. 1546)
		4. Governing by authority	4. " the presence of an environmental black spot, like a polluted river or abandoned hazardous waste site, has encouraged local governments to develop Eco-Town plans, as a practical way to regain confidence from the residents and improve their quality of life " (p. 1549)
		5. Governing through enabling	5. "In all Eco-Towns, local government is involved as a <b>facilitator</b> and <b>promoter</b> " (p. 1553)
		6. Governing through enabling	6. " there is equal participation of [the] private and civil sectors, with a more profound <b>coordinating</b> and <b>implementing</b> role of the local government." (p. 1554)
		7. Governing through enabling	7. "At the top end, the Eco-Town initiative is driven by the private sector, [and] <b>facilitated</b> by local government, with minimal or no involvement of civil society." (p. 1554)
15	Vanhamäki et al (2020). Transition towards a circular economy at a regional level: a	1. Governing by authority	1. "In practice, a circular economy <b>strategy</b> was set up through a road map process involving stakeholders from local government,

	case study on closing biological loops.		industry and academia. The strategy aims to strengthen circular economy implementation
			in real-world systems through five identified goals. [] a move towards a circular
			economy is supported through regional
			strategy implementation. [] Regulations
			effective symbioses emerging from new
			solutions, but are also needed to safeguard the
			environment and human health when closing
			biological loops." (p. 1)
		2. Governing by authority	2. " the Finnish governance system with its
			from the point of view of CE [circular
			economy] implementation because Finnish
			municipalities are granted a high level of
			authority, while regional governments consist
			of a consortium of such municipalities [].
			acknowledged in for instance climate change
			mitigation, where they have been <b>leading</b> the
			way with stricter greenhouse gas emission
			reduction <b>targets</b> than those developed at the
		2 Governing through	national level" (p. 2)
		enabling	national, regional and local authorities and
		8	governments to <b>enable</b> such transition [].
			This means that a CE requires efforts at
			macro, meso and micro levels in order to
16	Veleva et al (2015)	1 Governing through	promote the change." (p. 1)
10	Understanding and	provision/governing by	Devens <b>infrastructure</b> and establish
	addressing business needs	authority	supportive sustainability policies and
	addressing business needs and sustainability	authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375)
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority 2. Governing by authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority 2. Governing by authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> development and firm competitiveness by
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority 2. Governing by authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b>
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority 2. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>supportive sustainability policies and programs were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375)</li> <li>2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in promoting cluster development and firm competitiveness by setting clear and measurable social goals which can promote social development and</li> </ul>
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority 2. Governing by authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy
	addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	authority 2. Governing by authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (n. 277)
17	Addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park.	2. Governing by authority	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377)
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge	<ul> <li>authority</li> <li>2. Governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> </ul>	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b>
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an	<ul> <li>authority</li> <li>2. Governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> </ul>	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local	authority         2. Governing by authority         1. Governing through enabling	<ul> <li>supportive sustainability policies and programs were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375)</li> <li>2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in promoting cluster development and firm competitiveness by setting clear and measurable social goals which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377)</li> <li>1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being network brokers and managers, can act as 'knowledge banks' or 'knowledge brokers'. As a 'knowledge</li> </ul>
17	Von Malmborg (2004). Networking for knowledge transfer: towards an understanding of local authority roles in regional inductrial consustant	authority 2. Governing by authority 1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority          2. Governing by authority         1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority 2. Governing by authority 1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority 2. Governing by authority 1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less active and mainly help companies to get in
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority 2. Governing by authority 1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less active and mainly help companies to get in contact with consultants and technical experts
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority 2. Governing by authority 1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less active and mainly help companies to get in contact with consultants and technical experts who hold the knowledge needed for
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority 2. Governing by authority 1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less active and mainly help companies to get in contact with consultants and technical experts who hold the knowledge needed for developing environmental management in the companies. In all. the roles identified could be
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority          2. Governing by authority         1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less active and mainly help companies to get in contact with consultants and technical experts who hold the knowledge needed for developing environmental management in the companies. In all, the roles identified could be seen as more specific approaches to be taken
17	addressing business needs         and sustainability         challenges: lessons from         Devens eco-industrial park.         Von Malmborg (2004).         Networking for knowledge         transfer: towards an         understanding of local         authority roles in regional         industrial ecosystem         management.	authority           2. Governing by authority           1. Governing through enabling	supportive sustainability <b>policies and</b> <b>programs</b> were in line with business needs and a key factor for Devens' success." (p. 375) 2. "Local governments can play a particularly important role in <b>promoting cluster</b> <b>development</b> and firm <b>competitiveness</b> by <b>setting clear and measurable social goals</b> which can promote social development and business sustainability (e.g. around energy use, health and safety or infrastructure improvement)" (p. 377) 1. "LAs [local authorities], besides initiating networks and being <b>network brokers and</b> <b>managers</b> , can act as ' <b>knowledge banks</b> ' or ' <b>knowledge brokers</b> '. As a 'knowledge bank', officers in the LA hold the knowledge transferred to companies and engage closely with the companies in small active networks. As 'knowledge brokers', LA officers are less active and mainly help companies to get in contact with consultants and technical experts who hold the knowledge needed for developing environmental management in the companies. In all, the roles identified could be seen as more specific approaches to be taken by the LA when playing the overall role of an

			development and management of regional
			industrial ecosystems." (p. 334)
		2. Governing by	2. "LAs have long since been involved in the
		authority/governing	greening of industry and environmental
		through enabling/	governance in general, but are now playing
		governing through	more diverse roles than in the early days of
		partnership/self-governing	environmental policy. In Sweden and many
			other European countries, LAs have changed
			from serving primarily as <b>regulatory</b>
			supervisors, controlling hierarchically what is
			going on in industry, to also serve as
			agitators and role models towards other
			actors in the local communities, as well as
			mutually dependent collaborating partners
			in regional networks for environmental
			management []. The central participation of
			I As in industrial ecosystem development and
			management is not only hypothetical. In fact
			I As are taking an <b>active nart</b> in the real-
			world <b>development</b> of eco-industrial parks "
			(n. 336)
		3 Governing through	2 " I As initiate the DDDs [public private
		5. Governing through	s LAs initiate the I I is [public-private
		through provision	regional networks with an expectation to
		unough provision	agentribute to the development of economic
			contribute to the development of economic
			and particularly social structures of the
			regions, as a means to enable a positive
			regional wehare development. Moreover, they
			the leadership of the calleborative actions
			They also also an important role in providing
			funding [for] the collaborative activities
			the main generation is a final former to invest
			though many companies have to invest
			themselves, and substantial support is
			provided by grants from the national business
			development agency" (p. 339)
		4. Governing through	4. "Acting as a knowledge broker, the LA, or
		enabling	rather some of its units, plays a central role in
			the process of individual and organizational
			learning among the companies in the network.
			However, it does not engage operatively in the
			specific knowledge creation and transfer
			process. Rather, it acts to enable the ones that
			[are] in need of knowledge and ideas, i.e. the
			companies that are to develop their
			environmental management, to get in contact
			with the actors that hold the relevant
			knowledge, information and ideas to help the
			companies, i.e. the external consultancies." (p.
			339)
		5. Governing through	5. " the LA, or rather some of its units, also
		enabling	plays a central role in the process of individual
			and organizational learning among the
			companies in the network when it acts as a
			knowledge bank. [] however, the LA now
			engages actively and operatively in the
			specific knowledge creation and transfer
			process, providing the knowledge needed in
			the companies that are to develop their
			environmental management." (p. 340)

18	Walls & Paquin (2015). Organizational perspectives of industrial symbiosis: a review and synthesis.	1. Governing through enabling	1. "IS [industrial symbiosis] actors such as anchors also play an important <b>coordinating</b> role for the entire system []. Other types of <b>intermediaries</b> include municipalities, business association[s], or brokers, as well as NGOs [non-governmental organizations], steering committees or advisory boards, regional programs, and so on." (p. 40)
19	Wang et al (2017). Building institutional capacity for industrial symbiosis	1. Governing through enabling	1. " local governments can play a vital role in <b>building and maintaining</b> an IS <b>coordination</b> network" (p. 1571)
	development: a case study of an industrial symbiosis coordination network in China.	2. Governing through provision/governing by authority/ governing through enabling	<ul> <li>2. "The local government [] has played a crucial role for enhanced institutional capacity for IS development from the following perspectives:</li> <li>Recruiting local, national or international coordinating organizations possessing necessary policy, technological and network coordination knowledge for IS development;</li> <li>Setting up common goals and appointing a non-profit organization to deliver the network services [] to industries;</li> <li>Advertising network services to gain attention from local industries and the society;</li> <li>Seeking funding opportunities for sustaining the coordination network and fulfilling network goals." (p. 1580)</li> </ul>
		3. Governing through enabling	3. "The method adopted to overcome the lack of expertise and manpower for <b>facilitating</b> local IS was to <b>develop an eco-centre</b> supported by the local government to <b>organise</b> knowledge resources." (p. 1557)
20	Wolf et al (2005). Towards cooperation in industrial symbiosis: considering the	1. Governing through enabling	1. " the municipal authority could have a role as <b>coordinator</b> of local integration projects." (p. 185)
	importance of the human dimension.	2. Governing through enabling	2. " a municipal authority can act as an initiating, coordinating and educating institution and [can] gather and distribute data and offer decision support. The reason for the unique role of the municipalities is [] that they are the only actor that should consider economical, ecological, and social factors simultaneously, whereas a single company usually focuses mainly on its own economic well-being." (p. 195)
21	Wolf et al (2007). Developing integration in a local industrial ecosystem – an explorative approach.	1. Governing through enabling	1. " the municipality could act as a driving force in <b>maintaining and managing</b> the local industrial ecosystem in the future." (p. 446)
22	Xiang & Yuan (2019). A collaboration-driven mode for improving sustainable cooperation in smart industrial parks.	1. Governing through enabling	1. " the key <b>collaborative promoters</b> in smart industrial parks are park management committees, enterprises, local government, and research institutions and the promoted objects are the public, information service

		1	
			companies, and supervision departments" (p. 277)
		2. Governing through	2. "The Ministry of Environmental Protection
		provision	of China, industrial associations, and local
		-	governments have been identified as playing a
			critical role in building smart industrial parks,
			as top-down policy guidance greatly affects
			the sustainable development of smart
			industrial parks in China and provides critical
			resources, such as leasing the territory for
			the infrastructure" (p. 274)
		3. Governing through	3. First, the local government and enterprises
		enabling/governing by	should strengthen information exchange by
		authority	defining clear responsibilities and rights.
			Meanwhile, local government should <b>simplify</b>
			administrative procedures []. Second, to
			meet the needs of smart industrial parks, local
			governments should design targeted
			incentive policies to encourage information
			sharing, attract talent, save energy, reduce
			277)
		4 Governing through	4 "Besides it is necessary to develop relative
		provision	strategies for local governments to reasonably
		Provident	supply land and promote public
			participation." (p. 277)
		5. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public</li> </ul>
		5. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing</li> </ul>
		5. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local</li> </ul>
		5. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and</li> </ul>
		5. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play</li> </ul>
		5. Governing by authority	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>5. Governing by authority</li><li>6. Governing through</li></ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment,</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smort industrial</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014) What makes	<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of	<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in	<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China?	<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> <li>2. Governing through</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> <li>2. "In China, the facilitated model has been</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China?	<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> <li>2. Governing through enabling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> <li>2. "In China, the facilitated model has been explored through the coordinating roles of</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China?	5. Governing by authority         6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority         1. Governing through enabling         2. Governing through enabling	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> <li>2. "In China, the facilitated model has been explored through the coordinating roles of local authorities or other third-party</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China?	5. Governing by authority         6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority         1. Governing through enabling         2. Governing through enabling	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> <li>2. "In China, the facilitated model has been explored through the coordinating roles of local authorities or other third-party organizations" (p. 442)</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China?	<ul> <li>5. Governing by authority</li> <li>6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority</li> <li>1. Governing through enabling</li> <li>2. Governing through enabling</li> <li>3. Governing through</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> <li>2. "In China, the facilitated model has been explored through the coordinating roles of local authorities or other third-party organizations" (p. 442)</li> <li>3. " the DDA [Dalian Development Area]</li> </ul>
23	Yu et al (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China?	5. Governing by authority         6. Governing through enabling/governing through provision/ governing by authority         1. Governing through enabling         2. Governing through enabling         3. Governing through provision	<ul> <li>participation." (p. 277)</li> <li>5. " it is generally accepted that public participation is conducive to realizing information-sharing goals, but local government, research institutions, and enterprises of smart industrial parks still play the main roles in decision making" (p. 274)</li> <li>6. The local government is an important collaborative driver that can affect the usage of land and energy, attract investment, provide financial support, and affect the prices of materials in smart industrial parks" (p. 282)</li> <li>1. "Local authorities usually play multiple roles: administrator, investor, planner, and facilitator." (p. 442)</li> <li>2. "In China, the facilitated model has been explored through the coordinating roles of local authorities or other third-party organizations" (p. 442)</li> <li>3. " the DDA [Dalian Development Area] local authority invested in a 30-km-long</li> </ul>

\*Bold = our emphasis.

Literature review updated on 22 June 2020.

## References

Ban, Y.U., Jeong, J.H., & Jeong, S.K. (2015). Assessing the performance of carbon dioxide emission reduction of commercialized eco-industrial park projects in South Korea. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *114*, 124–131. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.083

Boons, F., Spekkink, W., Isenmann, R., Baas, L., Eklund, M., Brullot, S., Deutz, P., Gibbs, D., Massard, G., Romero Arozamena, E., Ruiz Puente, C., Verguts, V., Davis, C., Korevaar, G., Costa, I., & Baumann, H. (2015). Comparing industrial symbiosis in Europe: towards a conceptual framework and research methodology. In: Deutz, P., Lyon, D. I., & Jun, B. (eds.) *International Perspectives on Industrial Ecology. Studies on the Social Dimensions of Industrial Ecology series*. pp. 69-88. Edward Elgar Publishing. Cheltenham, UK. doi: 10.4337/9781781003572.00013

Domenech, T., Bleischwitz, R., Doranova, A., Panayotopoulos, D., & Roman, L. (2019). Mapping industrial symbiosis development in Europe – typologies of networks, characteristics, performance and contribution to the circular economy. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 141*, 76–98.

Hashimoto, S., Fujita, T., & Nagasawa, E. (2010). Realizing CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction through industrial symbiosis: a cement production case study for Kawasaki. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *54*, 704–710.

Heeres, R.R., Vermeulen, W.J.V., & De Walle, F.B. (2004). Eco-industrial park initiatives in the USA and the Netherlands: first lessons. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *12*, 985–995.

Horvath, G.A., & Harazin, P. (2015). A framework for an industrial ecological decision support system to foster partnerships between businesses and governments for sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 114, 214-223.

Lenhart, J., Van Vliet, B., & Mol, A. (2015). New roles for local authorities in a time of climate change: the Rotterdam Energy Approach and Planning as a case of urban symbiosis. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 137*, 593–601.

Li, G. (2014). A paradigm of constructing industrial symbiosis and coupling in China's county-region economic sustainable development. Chapter 68 in *Sustainable Practices: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications*. pp. 1218-1231. Information Resources Management Association. USA. doi: 10.4018/978-1-4666-4852-4.ch068

Music, B. (2019). "Urban planning and industrial symbiosis in Slovenia." *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 471, 112084, p. 1-9.

Neves, A., Godina, R., Azevedo, S.G., & Matias, J.C.O. (2019). Current status, emerging challenges, and future prospects of industrial symbiosis in Portugal. *Sustainability*, *11*(19), 1-23.

Phillips, P.S., Barnes, R., Bates, M.P., & Coskeran, T. (2006). A critical appraisal of an UK county waste minimisation programme: the requirement for regional facilitated development of industrial symbiosis/ecology. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 46*, 242–264.

Qi, Y., Li, H., & Wang, J. (2009). Promoting industrial symbiosis network through public– private partnership: a case study of TEDA. 2009 3rd International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering. pp. 1-4. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. doi: 10.1109/ICBBE.2009.5163251 Taddeo, R., Simboli, A., Ioppolo, G., & Morgante, A. (2017). Industrial symbiosis, networking and innovation: the potential role of innovation poles. *Sustainability*, *9*(2), 1–17. doi: 10.3390/su9020169

Van Berkel, R., Fujita, T., Hashimoto, S., & Geng, Y. (2009). Industrial and urban symbiosis in Japan: analysis of the Eco-Town program 1997–2006. *Journal of Environmental Management, 90*, 1544–1556.

Vanhamäki, S., Virtanen, M., Luste, S., & Manskinen, K. (2020). Transition towards a circular economy at a regional level: a case study on closing biological loops. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 156*: 1-11.

Veleva, V., Todorova, S., Lowitt, P., & Neely, D. (2015). Understanding and addressing business needs and sustainability challenges: lessons from Devens eco-industrial park. Journal of Cleaner Production, 87, 375–384.

Von Malmborg, F. (2004). Networking for knowledge transfer: towards an understanding of local authority roles in regional industrial ecosystem management. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *13*, 334–346.

Walls, J.L., & Paquin, R.L. (2015). Organizational perspectives of industrial symbiosis: a review and synthesis. *Organization and Environment*, 28(1), 32–53. doi:10.1177/1086026615575333

Wang, Q., Deutz, P., & Chen, Y. (2017). Building institutional capacity for industrial symbiosis development: a case study of an industrial symbiosis coordination network in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *142*, 1571–1582.

Wolf, A., Eklund, M., & Söderström, M. (2005). Towards cooperation in industrial symbiosis: considering the importance of the human dimension. *Progress in Industrial Ecology – An International Journal*, *2*(2), 185-199.

Wolf, A., Eklund, M., & Söderström, M. (2007). Developing integration in a local industrial ecosystem – an explorative approach. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *16*, 442–455.

Xiang, P., & Yuan, T. (2019). A collaboration-driven mode for improving sustainable cooperation in smart industrial parks. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 141*, 273–283.

Yu, C., Dijkema, G.P.J., & De Jong, M. (2014). What makes eco-transformation of industrial parks take off in China? *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *19*(3), 441-457.