



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## A simple non-linear drum boiler model

Åström, Karl Johan; Eklund, Karl

*Published in:*  
International Journal of Control

*DOI:*  
[10.1080/00207177508922118](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207177508922118)

1975

*Document Version:*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Åström, K. J., & Eklund, K. (1975). A simple non-linear drum boiler model. *International Journal of Control*, 22(5), 739-740. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207177508922118>

*Total number of authors:*  
2

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:  
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00



7606(C)

## A simple non-linear drum boiler model

K. J. ÅSTRÖM† and K. EKLUND†

This note gives an improved version of a previously published model for a drum boiler.

### 1. Introduction

A simple model for a drum boiler was presented by Åström and Eklund (1972). The model describes the response in output power due to variations in three inputs, fuel flow, feed-water flow and steam-valve position. The model has only one state variable which accounts for the major energy storage. The model of Åström and Eklund (1972) has been validated by experiments on a 160 MW plant. This model required five parameters. In this paper an improved model is given. The model has a better physical interpretation and can be described by four parameters only.

### 2. Analysis

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the paper of Åström and Eklund (1972). Their equation (3.6) is questionable. Since the flow is supersonic, the mass flow would be a linear function of pressure and not a square root function. The measurements given in Fig. 10 do, however, support the equation. This is due to the fact that the gauge used was not compensated for steam pressure. This can be seen as follows.

The mass flow is given by

$$w = A\sqrt{(\Delta p \cdot \rho)}$$

where  $\Delta p$  is the pressure drop and  $\rho$  the gas density. Assuming an ideal gas, we get

$$\rho = \frac{p}{nRT}$$

Hence

$$\omega = A\sqrt{[\Delta p \cdot p/(nRT)]}$$

To obtain a flow measurement it is thus necessary to measure both the pressure drop  $\Delta p$ , the absolute pressure and the temperature. In the particular case the gas temperature is constant. To simplify the construction of the gauge it is frequently assumed that  $p$  is also constant. The estimate of the mass flow is then

$$\hat{w} = A\sqrt{[\Delta p \cdot p_0/(RT_0)]} = w\sqrt{[p_0 \cdot /p\psi]}$$

---

Received 13 May 1975.

† Lund Institute of Technology, Division of Automatic Control, P.O. Box 725, Lund 7, Sweden.

If the true mass flow is a linear function of pressure, the actual gauge reading then becomes a square root function as is shown in Fig. 10 of Åström and Eklund (1972). In the particular case it has been verified that this is indeed the case.

If eqn. (3.6) of Åström and Eklund (1972) is replaced by a linear function, the eqn. (3.9) should be changed to

$$P_0 = \alpha_4(u_2 p^{9/8} - \alpha_5)$$

Again making a simple fit to the experimental data we find

$$\alpha_4 = 0.6, \quad \alpha_5 = 0$$

The model (5.1) thus becomes

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -0.0018 \cdot u_2 p^{9/8} + 0.02u_1 - 4.4 \times 10^{-4}u_3$$

$$P_0 = 0.6u_2 p^{9/8}$$

The curves in Fig. 12 of Åström and Eklund (1972) will now all go through the origin and they will also be convex.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The fact that the mass flow gauge was not properly compensated was pointed out to us by Mr. S. Lindahl.

#### REFERENCE

ÅSTRÖM, K. J., and EKLUND, K., 1972, *Int. J. Control*, **16**, 145.