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Experimental Measurements of Electron-Bunch Trains in a Laser-Plasma Accelerator
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Spectral measurements of visible coherent transition radiation produced by a laser-plasma-accelerated electron beam are reported. The significant periodic modulations that are observed in the spectrum result from the interference of transition radiation produced by multiple bunches of electrons. A Fourier analysis of the spectral interference fringes reveals that electrons are injected and accelerated in multiple plasma wave periods, up to at least 10 periods behind the laser pulse. The bunch separation scales with the plasma wavelength when the plasma density is changed over a wide range. An analysis of the spectral fringe visibility indicates that the first bunch contains most of the charge.
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In a laser wakefield accelerator [1], an intense and ultrashort laser pulse drives a relativistic plasma wave, or wakefield, which can be used to accelerate electrons to high energies in a short distance. With accelerating gradients in excess of 100 GV/m, electron bunches in the 100 MeV to 1 GeV range are now produced in millimeter distances, with few percent energy spreads and charges of tens of picocoulombs [2,3]. These electron bunches also have the characteristic feature of having femtosecond duration and kiloamp peak current [4], which makes them good candidates as a compact electron source for a free electron laser. This has triggered a large number of experimental studies aiming at characterizing the temporal structure of these laser-plasma-produced electron bunches. The technique of choice has been coherent transition radiation (CTR), which can give insights into the temporal spread of the electron bunches [5]. The first studies have focused on measuring CTR in the terahertz region, showing that the bunches were sub-100 fs in duration [6]. More recently, several experiments have shown that the electron bunches can be as short as a few femtoseconds in duration [4,7] but these works focused on the electron bunch contained in the first bucket of the plasma wave. Few studies have considered the fact that the electron beam can be composed of several beamlets, although some publications suggest that it can occur in certain cases [8,9]. Here, we present a detailed experimental study showing that several short electron bunches can be injected and efficiently accelerated in multiple plasma wave periods, thus forming an electron bunch train.

We use spectral measurements of CTR to diagnose the temporal distribution of electrons. CTR is emitted when the electron bunch passes an interface between two media, e.g., a metallic foil and a vacuum. For a monoenergetic electron beam, the angular radiation field is a hollow cone with half opening angle \( \theta = 1/\gamma \). The spectral radiation field at frequency \( \omega \) and observation angle \( \theta \) is given by [10–12]

\[
\frac{d^2W}{d\omega d\Omega} = [N + N^2 F(\omega, \theta)] \frac{d^2w}{d\omega d\Omega},
\]

where \((d^2w)/(d\omega d\Omega)\) is the transition radiation spectrum emitted by a single electron, \( \Omega \) is the solid angle, and \( N \) is the number of electrons in the bunch. The form factor \( F(\omega, \theta) = | \int f(\vec{x}) \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) d^3\vec{x} |^2 \) is the square amplitude of the Fourier transform of the normalized electron bunch distribution, \( f(\vec{x}) \), and \( \vec{k} \) is the wave vector in the direction of observation. The radiation is said to be coherent if the second term in Eq. (1) dominates. This typically occurs only if the electron bunch length is comparable to or less than the observed radiation wavelength. In this case, electrons radiate in phase and the radiation is added coherently.

The experiment was performed using the 10 Hz, multi-terawatt, Ti:sapphire laser system in “Salle Jaune” at the Laboratoire d’Optique Appliquée. The system delivers 30 fs laser pulses containing 1 J of energy at 820 nm. The experimental setup for producing electron beams was described in detail in previous publications [4] (see also Supplemental Material [13]). In this work, we used two different injection techniques for producing an electron beam: self-injection (SI) and colliding pulse injection (CPI) [14,15]. In the case of SI, the nonlinear spatiotemporal compression of the laser pulse leads to increased peak intensity, a steepening of the plasma wave, and eventually transverse wave breaking [16]. This mechanism can lead to quasi-monoenergetic electron beams [17–19]. For our experimental parameters, the beams obtained from self-injection had a large charge (\( > 90 \) pC) and large energy spreads (see Table I and also see the Supplemental Material [13]). In the case of CPI, the plasma wave was not driven to the breaking point. Instead, electrons were locally injected following the collision between the main pump pulse and a relatively weak counterpropagating injection laser pulse [2,20]. This resulted in more stable
beams with a narrower energy distribution but with lower charge (≈ 30 pC).

For CTR measurement, a 100 μm thick Al foil was placed on the path of the electron beam 15 mm from the exit of the gas jet. Forward CTR was generated at the rear surface of the foil, which also served to stop the pump laser beam and prevent parasitic radiation from reaching the detection system. Before every shot, the foil was translated to provide unexposed material for the electron beam.

The forward CTR propagated in the direction of the electron beam and was collected and collimated outside the interaction chamber by a 1.5 m focal-length spherical silver mirror. The CTR spectrum was diagnosed using an imaging Czerny-Turner spectrometer, fitted with a 16-bit CCD camera. The setup was calibrated to give the absolute number of photons per wavelength interval.

With this setup, electron and optical spectra were measured simultaneously. Spectrally broadband radiation, originating from a well-defined point source on the optical axis, was observed but only in coincidence with the generation of an electron beam. The intensity fluctuated from shot to shot but increased with electron beam charge. The optical transition radiation was found to be coherent because the intensity level was more than 3 orders of magnitude higher than the expected level of incoherent transition radiation (see Supplemental Material [13]). Interestingly and unexpectedly, the measured CTR spectrum often showed significant spectral modulation fringes. The modulations were either purely sinusoidal, as shown in Fig. 1, or more complex, as shown in Fig. 2. We have found that, in fact, these modulations are spectral interference fringes that contain very interesting temporal information about the electron beam.

Suppose that two electron bunches arrive at the radiator with some time difference $T$. Each bunch produces a continuous spectrum, but since the time of emission is slightly different, interference leads to a spectrum modulated by $g(\omega) = \cos(\omega T)$. The period of the modulation is directly related to the temporal bunch separation.

![Fig. 1](color online). Selected and sorted examples of sinusoidal CTR modulations, obtained using CPI for $n_e = 10 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $\lambda_p = 10.6$ μm. The three panels in each row represent one measurement. [(a)–(g)] CTR spectra. [(h)–(n)] Square amplitude of the Fourier transform of the CTR spectra. [(o)–(u)] Corresponding electron spectra.

### TABLE I. Summary of the three data sets included in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mech.</th>
<th>$n_e$ (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$\lambda_p$ (μm)</th>
<th>$N_i$</th>
<th>$N_m/N_i$ (%)</th>
<th>$Q$ (pC)</th>
<th>$cT_{\text{max}}/\lambda_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>$13 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>$10 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is straightforward to calculate the separation between two bunches. For one oscillation, $\Delta \omega = \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 2\pi/T$, which, if expressed in wavelengths, gives the bunch separation $L = cT \approx \lambda_p^2/\Delta \lambda$. Applied to Fig. 1(a), this simple estimate gives $L = 0.600^2/0.033 = 10.9 \mu m$. This is remarkably close to the plasma wavelength at the density at which the measurements were made ($\lambda_p = 10.6 \mu m$). Similarly, Fig. 1(e) gives $L = 54 \mu m = 5.1 \lambda_p$. These estimates, however simple, are strong indications that the spectral interference fringes originate from electrons that have been trapped and accelerated in multiple plasma wave periods.

Figure 1 shows selected and sorted examples of CTR spectra with sinusoidal modulations. The central panels in Fig. 1 show the square amplitude of the Fourier transform of the modulated CTR spectra. The Fourier transform here goes from the frequency domain to the time domain. For clarity, the temporal axis has also been multiplied by $c$. Interestingly, the positions of the peaks in the Fourier transform coincides with multiples of the plasma wavelength, as marked by the vertical lines in Figs. 1(h) and 1(j)–1(n). The CTR spectra are sinusoidal, and the Fourier transforms contain a single significant peak. This suggests that, in these measurements, two electron bunches were accelerated in two plasma wave periods, separated by up to $9 \lambda_p$ [see Fig. 1(n)]. The rightmost panels in Fig. 1 show electron spectra, measured on the same laser shot. For some measurements, the electron spectrum contains several peaks. However, no clear correlation between the observation of multiple components in the electron spectrum and spectral oscillations in the CTR spectrum was evident when considering the complete data set. This is the first indication that one of the bunches contains most of the charge.

In some cases, the oscillations were more complex. Figure 2 shows some selected examples of such CTR spectra. For these examples, the Fourier transform contains several peaks. But as in Fig. 1, each of these peaks is precisely centered on multiples of the plasma wavelength. Although the oscillations in the CTR spectrum change significantly from shot to shot, the peaks in the Fourier transform are always centered on multiples of the plasma wavelength. These examples show that multiple electron bunches were trapped and accelerated in separate wave periods, at least 10 plasma wave periods apart. However, as in Fig. 1, there is no consistent and clear signature of multiple components in the electron spectrum, indicating that a single bunch dominated the spectrum. Moreover, in Fig. 2(g), there are Fourier peaks at $3 \lambda_p$ and $9 \lambda_p$. Interference between these two bunches should generate a peak at $6 \lambda_p$. The fact that this peak is absent in Fig. 2(g) is another strong indication that the interferences are generated by a single, dominating pulse (presumably the first bunch, immediately behind the laser pulse), and the rest of the bunch train.

The depth of the modulations fluctuated from shot to shot, but had a tendency to be small when the modulation period was small, an indication that less charge was trapped far behind the laser pulse. The modulation depth of a function $f$ is described by the fringe visibility,
and they radiate CTR, which is collected through an angle these electron bunches have a similar electron distribution

\[
V = \frac{f_{\text{max}} - f_{\text{min}}}{f_{\text{max}} + f_{\text{min}}},
\]

Figure 3 shows the visibility of sinusoidal modulations that contained only one significant Fourier peak. These interferences were produced by only two electron bunches.

We now discuss the additional information that can be extracted from these spectral modulations. Consider two electron bunches separated by time \( T \) and described by their normalized distribution \( f_i(\tilde{x}) \) and \( f_2(\tilde{x}) \). We assume that these electron bunches have a similar electron distribution and they radiate CTR, which is collected through an angle \( \theta_0 > 1/\gamma \). The visibility of the spectral modulation is determined by the maximum and minimum values of the form factor \( F(\omega) = |q_i f_1(\omega) + q_2 f_2(\omega)|^2 \), where \( f_i(\omega) \) is the Fourier transform of \( f_i(\tilde{x}) \) and \( q_i \) is the charge of bunch \( i \),

\[
V(\omega) = \frac{F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}}}{F_{\text{max}} + F_{\text{min}}} = \frac{2\xi(\omega)}{1 + \xi(\omega)^2},
\]

where \( \xi(\omega) = (q_2/q_1)f_2(\omega)/f_1(\omega) \). For instance, for two Gaussian pulses of rms duration \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) and rms radii \( \sigma_{1r} \) and \( \sigma_{2r} \), \( \xi = (q_2/q_1)e^{(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2)/2}(\sigma_{1r}^2 - \sigma_{2r}^2)\sin^2 \theta \omega^2/2c^2 \). Thus, the visibility depends on the charge ratio as well as on wavelength (through the bunch shapes). However, the experimental data in Figs. 1 and 2 clearly show that the fringe visibility is nearly independent of wavelength (see also Supplemental Material for a more detailed analysis [13]). From this, we can deduce that the dependence on \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) can be neglected, i.e., for Gaussian bunches \( (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2^2)\omega^2 + (\sigma_{1r}^2/c^2 - \sigma_{2r}^2/c^2)\sin^2 \theta \omega^2 \ll 1 \). Physically, it means that both bunches have similar shapes. Thus, there is a simple relationship between the visibility and the charge ratio, which reduces in the limit \( V^2 \ll 1 \) to \( q_2/q_1 \approx V/2 \). Figure 3 shows the charge ratio as function of the position of the Fourier peak. The ratio is maximal at around 10% when the two pulses are separated by a single plasma wave period, and then decreases as the separation increases. This confirms the previously discussed indications that a single bunch contains the majority of the total charge.

The measurements were performed using three plasma densities and employing either SI or CPI as methods for injection. A summary of the measurements is shown in Table I. At the highest density \( (13 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \) and using SI, only 10% of the measurements produced oscillations in CTR spectrum, and Fourier peaks only occurred at separations of a single plasma wave period. At lower densities and using CPI, oscillations occurred on about 50% of the measurements and Fourier peaks occurred at separations up to 10 wave periods. For every measurement, the temporal positions \( T_n \) of the Fourier peaks were determined. Each peak was classified as belonging to wave period \( n \) if \( |n - cT_n/\lambda_p| < 0.5 \), and the average position was then calculated over the complete data set for each plasma density (for details, see the Supplemental Material [13]). The result from this analysis is shown in Fig. 4. For all three data sets and for each peak, the average positions coincide with the positions of multiples of the plasma wavelength.

The measurements summarized in Table I suggest that CPI leads to longer bunch trains more often than SI. A possible reason for this could be the amount of injected charge: in the case of SI, the injected beam loads reaches more than 90 pC, i.e., 3 times more than that in the CPI case. When the injected charge is large, beam loading damps the wakefield in the trailing buckets and trapping is more difficult. Thus, beam loading mitigates the injection of multiple beamlets. In the case of CPI, the injection is less than 30 pC and the wakefield in the trailing buckets is not as damped; thus, multiple injection is more likely to occur. Note that this is a very general trend in laser-plasma accelerators: large injected charge can prevent multiple injection through beam loading but usually results in larger energy spread and degraded beam quality [21]. On the other hand, smaller injected charge results in narrow

**FIG. 3 (color online).** Fringe visibility for sinusoidal oscillation that contained only one significant peak in the Fourier transform, as a function of the position of that peak. The charge ratio \( \xi = q_2/q_1 \) is calculated from the visibility using Eq. (2) in the limit where both bunches have similar shapes and energy distributions. The measurements were performed using CPI for \( n_x = 10 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

**FIG. 4 (color online).** Average positions of the Fourier peaks for different plasma densities (o) are compared to multiples of the plasma wave period (lines). Horizontal error bars denote the standard deviation over the complete data set. Vertical error bars denote estimates of the uncertainty in plasma density.
energy spread, but our measurements reveal also that multiple injection is more likely to occur.

In conclusion, we have shown that CTR is a powerful and simple diagnostic that gives crucial information on the temporal distribution of the electron beam. The experimental data indicate clearly that multiple electron bunches can be produced and that they are separated by multiples of $\lambda_p / c$. Experimental evidence indicates that a single electron bunch contains most of the charge. The possibility of producing one or several electron bunches shows another interesting feature of laser-plasma accelerators.

For the development of free electron lasers, where the temporal structure of the electron bunches as well as the peak current are of prime importance, it appears that enhanced control over the injection mechanism and beam loading might become necessary.

We acknowledge support from the European Research Council in funding the ERC PARIS project (Contract No. 226424). O.L. also acknowledges support from the Swedish Research Council.

*olle.lundh@fysik.lth.se
†jerome.faure@ensta-paristech.fr