

Concepts of Legitimacy: Continuity and Change

Lindgren, Lena

2010

Document Version: Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Lindgren, L. (2010). *Concepts of Legitimacy: Continuity and Change*. Abstract from 17th ISA World Congress of Sociology, 2010, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Total number of authors:

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 17. Dec. 2025

Conference Abstract

XVII ISA World Congress of Sociology: Sociology on the Move (Session: Sociological Perspectives on Conceptual and Intellectual History II), Gothenburg **2010**

Lena Lindgren (Sociology, Lund University, Sweden)

lena.lindgren@soclaw.lu.se

Concepts of Legitimacy: Continuity and Change

My paper discusses sociological perspectives on conceptual and intellectual history, between classical history of ideas and sociology of knowledge. I use the concept of legitimacy as an example and give a brief historical account of shifting attitudes towards the normative concept of political legitimacy, extending from the Comtian disdain of its metaphysical heritage to today's use of it as an uncontested part of common sociological knowledge and vocabulary. I am particularly interested in how this change came about.