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This study was conducted to examine the anti-inflammatory mechanisms of dexamethasone during leukocyte recruitment and expression of the CXC chemokines macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2) (CXCL2) and cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (KC) (CXCL1) in staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB)-induced acute inflammation. To do this, SEB was injected into murine air pouches with or without dexamethasone pretreatment for 2 h. SEB induced infiltration of leukocytes in a dose- and time-dependent manner, with the maximal response observed after 4 h of treatment with 10 μg of SEB. The recruited leukocytes comprised more than 77% neutrophils. Moreover, SEB challenge (10 μg) provoked time-dependent secretion of CXC chemokines, which peaked after 1 h. Local administration of antibodies against MIP-2 and KC significantly reduced SEB-triggered neutrophil accumulation by 38 and 59%, respectively. Dexamethasone (10 mg kg−1) significantly decreased neutrophil recruitment by 82% and reduced secretion of MIP-2 and KC by 89 and 85%, respectively, in response to SEB challenge. Our data demonstrate that dexamethasone potently inhibits neutrophil recruitment in SEB-induced inflammation. Moreover, we provide evidence that MIP-2 and KC are key mediators in the neutrophil response to SEB. Furthermore, our findings demonstrate that dexamethasone attenuates SEB-induced expression of MIP-2 and KC. Thus, this study elucidates important signaling pathways of SEB-induced neutrophil recruitment and anti-inflammatory mechanisms of action of dexamethasone.

Bacterial toxemia is frequently associated with gram-positive infection and sepsis, leading to severe shock and multiple-organ failure. *Staphylococcus aureus* is a major human pathogen that produces a wide array of toxins, including staphylococcal enterotoxin A (SEA) and SEB, which are referred to as superantigens. SEA and SEB bind to the T-cell receptor, as well as the major histocompatibility complex class II molecule, and thus are capable of inducing excessive production of inflammatory mediators and leukocyte accumulation (6, 8, 44). Nevertheless, SEA and SEB exhibit a level of structural homology of only approximately 31% (2), and their biological effects are different. For example, a previous study showed that the leukocyte response to SEA is markedly stronger than that elicited by SEB (37).

Leukocyte recruitment from the microvasculature to sites of inflammation is a sequential process and includes rolling, activation, firm adhesion, and, finally, transmigration through the vessel wall (5, 17). There is an accumulating body of evidence demonstrating that leukocyte trafficking in inflammation is controlled by chemokines (4, 10). Due to structural differences in their amino acid sequences, the chemotaxic cytokines are classified into two main families (28). In mice, the best-known CXC chemokines are macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2) (CXCL2) (35) and cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (KC) (CXCL1) (25, 28), both of which are potent stimulators of neutrophil activation and tissue infiltration (10, 28, 41). However, the detailed role of chemokines in the leukocyte response triggered by SEB remains to be clarified.

Glucocorticoids, including dexamethasone, are potent anti-inflammatory agents with a broad spectrum of mechanisms of action. We and other workers have previously demonstrated that treatment with dexamethasone reduces the expression and function of chemokines in response to pro-inflammatory cytokines and thus attenuates leukocyte adhesion and recruitment (16, 32, 34, 42). On the one hand, production of inflammatory mediators and recruitment of leukocytes in response to a gram-negative bacterial toxin, such as lipopolysaccharide, have been extensively studied and, in most reports, have been shown to be sensitive to glucocorticoid treatment (30, 40, 41). On the other hand, the anti-inflammatory mechanisms of action of glucocorticoids in SEB-induced acute inflammation remain to be defined.

Based on the considerations described above, the purpose of this study was to define the role of CXC chemokines in SEB-induced leukocyte recruitment. Moreover, we wanted to clarify the inhibitory mechanisms of action of glucocorticoids in the acute inflammatory response to SEB.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals.** Experiments were performed by using male C57BL/6 mice weighing between 20 and 25 g. The animals were maintained by using a cycle consisting of 12 h of darkness and 12 h of light and had free access to standard pelleted food and tap water ad libitum. Anesthesia was achieved by intraperitoneal injection of 7.5 mg of ketamine hydrochloride and 2.5 mg of xylazine per 100 g of body weight. Dexamethasone was given intraperitoneally (1 or 10 mg kg of body weight−1; Decadron; MSD, Haarlem, The Netherlands) 2 h before local treatment with SEB. After the experiment, a blood sample was taken from the tail artery of each mouse for subsequent leukocyte differential counting. The local ethics committee approved all experiments.
Experimental protocol. At zero time and on day 3, murine air pouches were raised by injecting 2.5 ml of sterile air subcutaneously under the dorsal skin as described previously (36). On day 6, different doses of SEB (0.1 to 10 µg; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) in 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were injected into the air pouch cavities. The SEB had been tested for contamination with SEA and contained less than 0.3% SEA (Sigma). Exudates were harvested under anesthesia at different times after challenge by washing the subcutaneous cavities three times with ice-cold PBS (1, 2, and 2 ml) containing 3 mmol of EDTA. Harvested pouch fluids were centrifuged at 3,000 × g (4°C) for 10 min. The supernatants were stored at −20°C for subsequent enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) analysis, and the cell pellets were resuspended in 0.5 ml of PBS. The total and differential numbers of recruited leukocytes per air pouch were calculated after staining with Türk’s solution (0.01% [wt/vol] crystal violet in 3% acetic acid) in a Bürker chamber. Leukocytes were identified as polymorphonuclear leukocytes and mononuclear leukocytes (MNLs). The importance of CXC chemokines and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) in SEB-induced subcutaneous leukocyte accumulation was evaluated in separate air pouch experiments by injecting monoclonal antibodies directed against murine MIP-2 (10 µg; rat immunoglobulin G [IgG]; clone 40605.111; R&D Systems Europe Ltd., Abingdon, Oxon, United Kingdom), KC (10 µg; rat IgG; clone 48415.111; R&D Systems Europe), or TNF-α (20 µg; rat IgG clone MP6-XT22; Pharmingen, San Diego, Calif.) or an isotype control antibody (10 µg; rat IgG clone 9A2; BioExpress, West Lebanon, N.H.) concomitantly with SEB into the pouches.

ELISA. Pouch exudates were centrifuged, and the supernatants were analyzed for MIP-2 and KC by using double-antibody-specific Quantikine ELISA kits with recombinant murine MIP-2 and KC as the standards (R&D Systems Europe). Additionally, supernatants were analyzed for TNF-α by using two different ELISA kits with murine TNF-α as the standard (R&D Systems Europe and Endogen, Cambridge, Mass.).

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed below as means ± standard errors of the means, and n is the number of animals per experimental group. Statistical differences between the experimental groups were calculated by using a computer software package (SigmaStat 4.0; Jandel Scientific, Munich, Germany) with one-way analysis of variance followed by the Dunnet post hoc test. Probability values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate significant differences between groups.

RESULTS

Local challenge with SEB provoked dermal infiltration of leukocytes in a time- and dose-dependent manner. The leukocyte response was maximal at 4 h after administration of 10 µg of SEB (Fig. 1) (P < 0.05 compared with the value for PBS [n = 6 to 10]; P < 0.05 compared with the value for the zero-time sample [n = 5 to 7]). Indeed, more than 77% of the leukocytes recruited after SEB treatment were polymorphonuclear leukocytes, indicating that there was a dominant neutrophilic response (Fig. 1). However, 1 or 10 µg of SEB also induced a significant, although small, increase in the number of extravascular MNLs (Fig. 1) (P < 0.05 compared with the value for PBS [n = 6 to 10]). Based on these findings, a dose of 10 µg of SEB was used for all subsequent experiments.

Next, we wanted to determine the role of CXC chemokines in SEB-induced inflammation. ELISA analysis of exudate supernatants revealed that the levels of MIP-2 and KC increased in a time-dependent manner in response to SEB challenge (10 µg). In fact, the maximal levels of MIP-2 and KC were observed 1 h after injection of SEB (Fig. 2) (P < 0.05 compared with the value for the zero-time sample [n = 5 to 7]), and after this the levels decreased rapidly to the baseline levels (Fig. 2). Moreover, neutralization of chemokine function by using monoclonal antibodies directed against MIP-2 and KC significantly reduced SEB-induced dermal neutrophil recruitment by 38 and 59%, respectively (Fig. 3) (P < 0.05 compared with the value for SEB alone [n = 7 to 9]). However, combined injection of monoclonal antibodies directed against MIP-2 and KC had no additional inhibitory effect on SEB-induced accumulation of neutrophils (data not shown). Importantly, administration of anti-MIP-2 and anti-KC did not decrease the number of circulating neutrophils (Table 1).

 Pretreatment with 1 and 10 mg of dexamethasone kg⁻¹ attenuated the SEB-induced neutrophil recruitment at different times (Fig. 4). Notably, at 4 h after SEB treatment, 1 and 10 mg of dexamethasone kg⁻¹ reduced pouch infiltration of neutrophils by 84 and 82%, respectively (Fig. 4) (P < 0.05 compared with the values for PBS-treated controls [n = 6 or 7]). Treatment with dexamethasone did not reduce the systemic neutrophil counts (Table 1). However, it should be noted that both doses of dexamethasone reduced the numbers of circulating MNLs (Table 1). Accordingly, we found that 1 and 10 mg of dexamethasone kg⁻¹ also significantly reduced SEB-induced MNL recruitment (data not shown).
Interestingly, it was observed that SEB-induced MIP-2 and KC expression was reduced by dexamethasone; i.e., 1 and 10 mg of dexamethasone kg\(^{-1}\) significantly reduced the peak levels of SEB-elicited KC by more than 74 and 85%, respectively (Fig. 5) \((P < 0.05\) compared with the values for PBS-treated controls \([n = 6]\)). However, SEB-triggered expression of MIP-2 was significantly inhibited (89% reduction) only by pretreatment with 10 mg of dexamethasone kg\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5) \((P < 0.05\) compared with the values for PBS-treated controls \([n = 6]\)).

**DISCUSSION**

In this paper we describe the role of CXC chemokines and the potent anti-inflammatory mechanisms of dexamethasone in SEB-induced neutrophil recruitment. Our novel findings demonstrate that SEB provokes massive production of MIP-2 and KC and that tissue infiltration of neutrophils in response to SEB is critically dependent on the function of MIP-2 and KC. Moreover, our results demonstrate that dexamethasone is a potent inhibitor of SEB-triggered neutrophil recruitment, which may be attributable to the inhibitory effect of dexamethasone on CXC chemokine expression elicited by SEB.

Most experimental studies on sepsis have examined effector mechanisms induced by gram-negative bacteria (23, 30). However, it is important to note that gram-positive bacteria account for up to 50% of cases of severe sepsis and septic shock in clinics (33). Consequently, the molecular actions of toxins of gram-negative bacteria, such as lipopolysaccharide, are well...
known (39), whereas the pathogenesis of gram-positive septic shock is poorly understood. SEB is an *S. aureus*-derived enterotoxin, which belongs to a family of related proteins defined as superantigens due to their capacity to induce intensive T-cell activation and proliferation independent of classical antigen processing by macrophages and dendritic cells (20). Superantigens bind to major histocompatibility complex-encoded class II proteins outside the normal antigen-presenting groove and to variable regions of T-cell receptor β chains (20), causing massive release of proinflammatory mediators and, ultimately, tissue injury (15).

In order to study SEB-induced leukocyte recruitment, we utilized the murine subcutaneous air pouch model, which has been shown to be a suitable in vivo approach for depleting neutrophils (38). Notably, we observed that neutrophil infiltration elicited by different superantigens may involve distinct and separate pathways (37). Although known to be less potent than SEA, SEB provoked marked accumulation of predominately neutrophils in the present study, which exhibited kinetics similar to those reported for SEA-induced leukocyte recruitment (37). Previous investigations have shown that neutrophil recruitment upon challenge with SEB is regulated by migration inhibitory factor and nitric oxide (3, 12). Here we show for the first time that MIP-2 and KC are key mediators of SEB-induced neutrophil accumulation in vivo, which suggests that CXC chemokines constitute an important component in superantigen-triggered acute inflammation. Notably, we observed that functional interference with MIP-2 and KC did not completely abolish neutrophil recruitment elicited by SEB, suggesting that other substances may also be involved in SEB-induced neutrophil accumulation. In fact, combined adminis-
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FIG. 5. Expression of CXC chemokines (MIP-2 and KC) in air pouch exudates 1 h after challenge with 10 μg of SEB. Animals were pretreated with PBS as controls (solid bars) or with 1 mg of dexamethasone kg⁻¹ (open bars) or 10 mg of dexamethasone kg⁻¹ (crosshatched bars) 2 h prior to SEB challenge. Levels of MIP-2 and KC were determined by an ELISA. The data are means ± standard errors of the means. An asterisk indicates that the *P* value is <0.05 compared with the data for the PBS-treated controls (*n* = 7).
adhesion molecules orchestrating inflammatory cell navigation (5, 19). In this context, it is interesting that some previous studies have suggested that glucocorticoids may attenuate tissue neutrophilia via downregulated expression of adhesion molecules, such as CD18 (4, 7, 11). However, we and other workers could not confirm such findings and found that CD18 expression on neutrophils is not sensitive to dexamethasone treatment (29, 31, 38). Nevertheless, it is important to note that our data do not eliminate the possibility that adhesion molecules may also be targets of dexamethasone in SEB-provoked acute neutrophil recruitment. To clarify this, future studies will have to define adhesive pathways of neutrophil extravasation in SEB-induced inflammation.

In conclusion, in this study we documented important mechanisms of SEB-induced inflammation by elucidating a CXC chemokine-dependent pathway of neutrophil recruitment. Moreover, our data suggest that one fundamental anti-inflammatory mechanism of action of dexamethasone in attenuating SEB-induced acute inflammation is related to the inhibition of CXC chemokine expression. Thus, this study provided novel information supporting the concept that glucocorticoids may be a useful option to counter pathological inflammation during bacterial sepsis.
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