



LUND UNIVERSITY

A Systematic Study of Gene Mutations in Urothelial Carcinoma; Inactivating Mutations in TSC2 and PIK3R1.

Sjödahl, Gottfrid; Lauss, Martin; Gudjonsson, Sigurdur; Liedberg, Fredrik; Halldén, Christer; Chebil, Gunilla; Månsson, Wiking; Höglund, Mattias; Lindgren, David

Published in:
PLoS ONE

DOI:
[10.1371/journal.pone.0018583](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018583)

2011

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sjödahl, G., Lauss, M., Gudjonsson, S., Liedberg, F., Halldén, C., Chebil, G., Månsson, W., Höglund, M., & Lindgren, D. (2011). A Systematic Study of Gene Mutations in Urothelial Carcinoma; Inactivating Mutations in TSC2 and PIK3R1. *PLoS ONE*, 6(4), Article e18583. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018583>

Total number of authors:
9

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

A Systematic Study of Gene Mutations in Urothelial Carcinoma; Inactivating Mutations in *TSC2* and *PIK3R1*

Gottfrid Sjö Dahl¹, Martin Lauss¹, Sigurdur Gudjonsson², Fredrik Liedberg^{2,3}, Christer Halldén⁴, Gunilla Chebil⁵, Wiking Månsson², Mattias Höglund^{1*}, David Lindgren^{1,6}

1 Department of Clinical Sciences, Oncology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden, **2** Department of Clinical Sciences, Urology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, **3** Section of Urology, Växjö County Hospital, Växjö, Sweden, **4** Department of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Chemistry, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, **5** Department of Pathology, Helsingborg Hospital, Helsingborg, Sweden, **6** Center for Molecular Pathology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

Abstract

Background: Urothelial carcinoma (UC) is characterized by frequent gene mutations of which activating mutations in *FGFR3* are the most frequent. Several downstream targets of *FGFR3* are also mutated in UC, e.g., *PIK3CA*, *AKT1*, and *RAS*. Most mutation studies of UCs have been focused on single or a few genes at the time or been performed on small sample series. This has limited the possibility to investigate co-occurrence of mutations.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We performed mutation analyses of 16 genes, *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, *PIK3R1*, *PTEN*, *AKT1*, *KRAS*, *HRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *ARAF*, *RAF1*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, *APC*, *CTNNB1*, and *TP53*, in 145 cases of UC. We show that *FGFR3* and *PIK3CA* mutations are positively associated. In addition, we identified *PIK3R1* as a target for mutations. We demonstrate a negative association at borderline significance between *FGFR3* and *RAS* mutations, and show that these mutations are not strictly mutually exclusive. We show that mutations in *BRAF*, *ARAF*, *RAF1* rarely occurs in UC. Our data emphasize the possible importance of APC signaling as 6% of the investigated tumors either showed inactivating *APC* or activating *CTNNB1* mutations. *TSC1*, as well as *TSC2*, that constitute the mTOR regulatory tuberous sclerosis complex were found to be mutated at a combined frequency of 15%.

Conclusions/Significance: Our data demonstrate a significant association between *FGFR3* and *PIK3CA* mutations in UC. Moreover, the identification of mutations in *PIK3R1* further emphasizes the importance of the PI3-kinase pathway in UC. The presence of *TSC2* mutations, in addition to *TSC1* mutations, underlines the involvement of mTOR signaling in UC.

Citation: Sjö Dahl G, Lauss M, Gudjonsson S, Liedberg F, Halldén C, et al. (2011) A Systematic Study of Gene Mutations in Urothelial Carcinoma; Inactivating Mutations in *TSC2* and *PIK3R1*. PLoS ONE 6(4): e18583. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018583

Editor: Xin-yuan Guan, The University of Hong Kong, China

Received: November 30, 2010; **Accepted:** March 4, 2011; **Published:** April 14, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Sjö Dahl et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This investigation was supported by The Swedish Cancer Society; The Swedish Research Council; The Gunnar, Arvid and Elisabeth Nilsson Cancer Foundation; The Crafoord Foundation; Lund University Research Funds; and the Swedish Society for Medical Research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: mattias.hoglund@med.lu.se

Introduction

Urothelial carcinoma (UC) of the bladder, the most common type of bladder cancer, is characterized by several gene mutations of which the most frequent is activating mutations in the *FGFR3* receptor protein. *FGFR3* mutations show a biased distribution of UC pathological subtypes in which low grade non-invasive tumors (Ta) shows the highest frequencies, close to 70%, whereas high grade and muscle invasive tumors ($\geq T2$) show considerably lower frequencies, in the range of 10 to 15%. On the other hand, *TP53* mutations show an opposite pattern with high frequencies in muscle invasive tumors (~50%) and low in non muscle invasive tumors (~15%). This biased distribution of *FGFR3* and *TP53* mutations has led to the hypothesis that UC develops along two different pathways, a *FGFR3* and a *TP53* pathway, respectively [1,2]. Alternative explanations for the frequent *TP53* mutations in muscle invasive tumors have however been put forward [3]. Several genes acting downstream of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) have also been reported to be mutated in UC, e.g. *PIK3CA*

[4], members of the *RAS* family [5], *BRAF* [6], and *AKT1* [7]. Another characteristic of UC is the frequent LOH on chromosome 9 and this chromosome is believed to harbor more than one tumor suppressor gene of importance for UC development. At least two such loci have been established, *CDKN2A* and *TSC1*. *CDKN2A* shows homozygous deletions in up to 30% of UC [8] whereas inactivating sequence mutations is seen to a lesser extent. *TSC1*, on the other hand, has been reported to be mutated in 16% of UC [4]. *TSC1* is a negative regulator of the mTOR pathway, which is important for cell proliferation and frequently found activated in tumors [9] including UC [10,11]. Notably, *TSC1* is regulated by *AKT1* and is therefore a potential downstream target of the *FGFR3* signaling pathway. Additional proteins in this pathway include *PIK3R1*, *PTEN* and *TSC2*. *PIK3R1* is a negative regulator of *PIK3CA* while *PTEN* is a negative regulator of *AKT1*. *TSC2* forms a complex with *TSC1* that functions as a negative regulator of the mTOR pathway. So far no mutation data on *PIK3R1* or *TSC2* in UC is available. Recent reports have also implicated the *APC/CTNNB1* pathway in UC [12,13,14]. In the

present investigation we aimed to further characterize the mutational landscape of UC. In a series of 145 tumors we performed mutation analyses of 16 genes, *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, *PIK3R1*, *PTEN*, *AKT1*, *KRAS*, *HRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *ARAF*, *RAF1*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, *APC*, *CTNNB1*, and *TP53*.

Methods

Tumors and isolation of nucleic acids

Urothelial tumors were collected by cold-cup biopsies from the exophytic part of the bladder tumor from 145 patients undergoing transurethral resection at the University Hospital of Lund, Sweden, between 2001 and 2005. For detailed patient information see Table S1. To increase the statistical power, the 145 series of samples were extended with 73 samples analyzed for *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, *TP53*, *HRAS*, *KRAS*, and *NRAS* mutations only (Table S1). Tumor pathology, including transurethral and cystectomy specimens, were reviewed by one pathologist (GC). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee of Lund University. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Tissue kit (Qiagen). Genomic DNA was amplified using the Illustra GenomiPhi V2 DNA Amplification Kit (GE Healthcare) before further processing.

Mutation analysis

Coding regions in *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, *PIK3R1*, *PTEN*, *AKT1*, *KRAS*, *HRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *ARAF*, *RAF1*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, *APC*, *CTNNB1*, and *TP53* were selected and PCR-amplified using oligonucleotide primers (Table S2). All reactions were carried out in 96-well plates in a 40 μ l mixture containing PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP, 0.5 μ M each of the forward and reverse primers and 1 U TrueStart Taq polymerase (Fermentas, Helsingborg, Sweden) or Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The reactions were heated to 94°C for 5 min, and subjected to 38–41 amplification cycles, followed by a final elongation step of 10 min at 72°C. Each cycle consisted of a denaturation step of 30 seconds at 94°C, an annealing step of 30 seconds, and an elongation step of 1 min at 72°C. The different annealing temperatures used in the different PCRs, as well as any deviations from the standard reaction mixture are listed in Table S2. All PCR-amplifications were carried out in a MBS Satellite Thermal Cycler (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Three ml of each PCR product were run on a precast 2% agarose gel (E-Gel 96 2% agarose GP, Invitrogen) and the remaining volume was purified on AcroPrep 96 filter plate Omega 10K (Pall, Ann Arbor, MI), according to the manufacturers protocol. The purified PCR products were then sequenced using the BigDye terminator v1.1, or 3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) on a 3130x1 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequence traces were analyzed using the SeqScape v2.5 software (Applied Biosystems), and all sequence variations were validated by re-sequencing independent PCR products. A change in the DNA sequence was considered to be a mutation when it changed the amino acid sequence of the encoded protein or affected a known splice acceptor or donor site. Sequence changes reported as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) according to Database of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (dbSNP) at NCBI, were omitted from the analysis. All gene mutations not previously described were validated by sequencing of blood samples obtained from the same patient when available (Table S3). Previously not described mutations were analyzed using the PolyPhen 2 predictor tool [15].

Statistical analysis

Chi-2 analyses were used to establish significant differences in proportions between groups. A hypergeometric test was used to determine if the observed numbers of double mutations was significantly different from what is expected from a resample distribution assuming independence.

Results

We screened 145 UCs for sequence mutations in a total of 16 genes, *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, *PIK3R1*, *PTEN*, *AKT1*, *KRAS*, *HRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF*, *ARAF*, *RAF1*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, *APC*, *CTNNB1*, and *TP53*. In Figure 1 the results are summarized and the cases are grouped according to tumor grade. The same data organized according to tumor stage is provided as Figure S1. Mutation frequencies are also summarized in Figure 2, along with possible interactions between the investigated genes. Detailed information on all identified mutations is given in Table S3.

For *FGFR3* we sequenced exons 7, 10, and 15. Mutations in these exons correspond to 96% of the *FGFR3* mutations seen in tumors of the urinary tract according to the COSMIC database (www.sanger.ac.uk/genetics/CGP/cosmic). We detected mutations at 7 different amino acid positions, all previously described. Mutations were seen in 65% of low grade (G1/G2) and in 22% of high grade tumors (Table 1), and in 63%, 39%, and 22% of Ta, T1, and \geq T2, respectively (Figure S1). As expected, the *FGFR3* mutation frequency was significantly higher in low grade tumors ($p < 0.0001$, Chi2 test,) and in non-muscle invasive (NMI) compared to muscle invasive (MI) cases, 55% and 22% respectively, ($p < 0.0002$). These results are in line with previous investigations [16,17,18] and clearly show that *FGFR3* mutations are associated with low grade low stage tumors and with NMI tumors in particular.

We next performed mutation analysis of *TP53* and a total of 52 *TP53* mutations (36%) were detected. As expected, we found a significant difference in frequency between high grade (51%) and low grade tumors (19%) ($p < 0.0001$). We then tested for possible negative or positive associations between *TP53* and *FGFR3* mutations. To increase the power we included mutation data for an additional 73 cases increasing the number of cases from 145 to 218 and a negative association was observed ($p = 0.0085$, hypergeometric test). This association is however lost when G1/G2 and G3 cases are tested separately, $p = 0.39$ and $p = 0.43$, respectively.

PIK3CA was screened for mutations in exons 9 and 20 that contain the hotspot positions in which close to all activating mutations occur [19]. A total of 37 (17%) mutated cases were detected in the extended series of tumors ($n = 218$). A significantly higher proportion of *PIK3CA* mutations was seen in Ta cases compared to T1 ($p < 0.05$, Chi-2 test), but not between T1 and \geq T2, or between NMI and MI cases. *PIK3CA* mutations was also associated with low grade ($p < 0.01$). The data also indicated a possible association between *FGFR3* and *PIK3CA* mutations, with 23 detected double mutations and 14 expected. To further investigate this we added data for 92 UCs previously published by Platt et al. [4], 87 published by Lopez-Knowles et al. [20], and 257 by Kompier et al. [18]. In this combined dataset ($n = 654$) a significant association between *FGFR3* and *PIK3CA* mutations could be established (hypergeometric test, $p < 2 \times 10^{-7}$, 95 observed double mutants and 68 expected). We then tested for possible negative or positive associations between *PIK3CA* and *TP53* mutations but no significant association was observed ($p = 0.066$, hypergeometric test, $n = 218$). We also performed mutation analyses of two modulators of *PIK3CA* activity, *PTEN*

A

SAMPLE																																																																																																																																																		FREQ(%)
FGFR3	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	64.7
PIK3CA	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	22.0
PIK3R1	[Light green squares]																																																																																																																																																	1.5
RAS	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	7.3
AKT1	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	1.5
TSC1	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	11.8
TSC2	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	5.9
CTNNB1	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	2.9
APC	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	2.9
TP53	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	19.1

B

SAMPLE																																																																																																																																																		FREQ(%)
FGFR3	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	22.1
PIK3CA	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	11.7
PIK3R1	[Light green squares]																																																																																																																																																	0.0
RAS	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	2.6
AKT1	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	1.3
TSC1	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	11.7
TSC2	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	1.3
CTNNB1	[Green squares]																																																																																																																																																	1.3
APC	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	3.9
TP53	[Red squares]																																																																																																																																																	50.0

Figure 1. Distribution of identified mutations. In **A** low grade (G1/G2) tumors and in **B**, high grade (G3) tumors. Red squares indicate inactivating mutation. Green squares indicate activating mutation. For *PIK3CA*, dark green squares indicate kinase domain mutations and light green helical domain mutations. At the right, mutation frequencies are given for each gene in the respective tumor grades. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018583.g001

and *PIK3R1*. All exons of *PTEN* were sequenced in the 145 tumors but no mutations were identified. For *PIK3R1* we sequenced exons 12, 14, and 15 and found one case with mutation.

We next sequenced the exons covering codons 12, 13, and 61 in *HRAS*, *KRAS*, and *NRAS* and detected a total of six mutations in *HRAS*, four in *KRAS*, and none in *NRAS* (n = 218). The overall frequency of *RAS* mutations was 5%, which is somewhat lower than what has been reported in recent investigations using DNA sequencing [4,18,21]. We further tested for a possible negative association between *RAS* and *FGFR3* mutations but only found borderline statistical support for such an association ($p = 0.059$, hypergeometric test, n = 218).

BRAF has been shown to be activated by point mutations in several different tumor types [22] with the most frequent mutations located in exons 11 and 15. *BRAF* belongs to a gene family that also includes *ARAF* and *RAF1*, which mediates signals from *RAS* to downstream targets. As *RAF* mutations, in analogy with *RAS* mutations, may show tumor type specificity, we sequenced exons 11 and 15 in *BRAF* and the equivalent exons in *ARAF* (exons 10 and 13) and *RAF1* (exons 11 and 14). In addition, *RAF1* exon 7 was sequenced since activating mutations in this exon has been described in the Noonan Syndrome [23]. The equivalent exon was also sequenced in *ARAF*. No mutations were however detected in any of the *RAF* genes.

AKT1 is a major downstream target of *PIK3CA* and is known to play a key role in the regulation of cell cycle progression, survival, and mTOR signaling. *AKT1* was recently shown to have activating mutations in exon 4 in UC [7]. We sequenced the complete exon 4 of *AKT1* in our series of 145 tumors and found 2 tumors with an E17K mutation (1%) (Figure 1), associated with increased and constitutive kinase activity of *AKT1* under conditions of growth factor withdrawal [7].

We screened all 21 coding exons of *TSC1* and detected a total of 17 mutations (13%) including truncating, missense, and splice site mutations. In two additional tumors a substitution of asparagine to serine was seen at amino acid position 762. Both changes were however also detected in blood DNA from the respective patients and hence were considered to be naturally occurring polymorphisms. There was no difference in frequency between low and high grade tumors and *TSC1* mutations were seen in *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, and in *TP53* mutated cases. *TSC1* functions together with *TSC2* as an inhibitor of mTOR by maintaining the mTOR activator RHEB in an inactive state. We therefore sequenced all coding exons of *TSC2* and found a total of 5 mutations in 145 samples (3%). The possible impacts of these mutations on protein function were investigated by the PolyPhen software. The software predicted a damaging effect for all four missense mutations, the fifth being a 1 bp frame shift deletion. *TSC2* mutations were seen in both high and low grade tumors and none of the *TSC2* mutated cases showed concomitant *TSC1* mutations. We also tested for possible associations between *TSC1* mutations, or *TSC1* and *TSC2* combined, and mutations in *FGFR3* or *TP53*. No such association was however found ($p > 0.25$ in all comparisons, hypergeometric test, n = 145).

We sequenced exon 16 in *APC*, known to harbor the majority of *APC* mutations [24], and exon 3 of *CTNNB1* covering the phosphorylation sites that control ubiquitination and degradation of *CTNNB1*. We detected 6 *APC* mutations in 145 cases (4%), with no difference between low and high grade tumors. *CTNNB1* mutations were seen in 3 cases (2%). We found *APC/CTNNB1* mutations in both *FGFR3* and *TP53* wild type and mutated cases, indicating that activation of the *APC/CTNNB1* signaling pathway occur independent of *FGFR3* and *TP53* mutations. All detected *APC* mutations were missense mutations. This is in line with Kastiris et al. [12], who also noted an underrepresentation of




Figure 2. Schematic representation of relationships and mutation frequencies among the investigated genes. Arrow-heads, positive regulation; filled circles, negative regulation; gene names in green, genes showing activating mutations; gene names in red, genes showing inactivating mutations; gene names in black, genes with no detected mutations in the present investigation; gene names in gray, genes not investigated. *TP53* is not included in the graph. The mutation frequencies (%) given are based on the 145 samples investigated for each gene.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018583.g002

truncating mutations compared to the mutation spectrum in colorectal cancer. In fact the difference is highly significant. Our data combined with the data of Kastritis et al. show a significantly lower frequency of truncating mutations when compared with

data obtained for colorectal cancer from the COSMIC database ($p < 0.0001$, Chi-2).

Discussion

The aim of the present investigation was to further establish mutation frequencies for genes commonly mutated in UC, and to investigate possible positive or negative associations between mutations. To accomplish this we sequenced 14 genes, previously shown to be mutated in UC, in 145 cases. *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, *HRAS*, *KRAS*, *NRAS*, and *TP53* were sequenced in an additional 73 cases. In addition, we sequenced *PIK3R1* and *TSC2* as no mutation data has been published for these two genes in UC. The observed high frequencies of *FGFR3*, *TP53*, and *PIK3CA* mutations were as expected. We detected a positive association between *FGFR3* and *PIK3CA* activating mutations as reported [18,20], and by combing our data with previously published data we could confirm that this association is highly significant. Two of our cases showed double mutations in *PIK3CA*; one case with a HD and a KD domain mutation, and one case with two mutations in the HD domain (E542K E545K). If these double mutations had occurred in separate alleles could not be determined as the electropherograms indicated heterozygous mutations. Irrespectively, the repeated observations of *PIK3CA* double mutated tumors and cell lines [4,18,25,26] indicate an additive effect of multiple *PIK3CA* mutations.

PTEN acts as negative modulator of *PIK3CA* and is mutated in many tumor types as well as in UC [27,28]. No *PTEN* mutations were however detected in the present set of tumors, a finding in line with previous reports of a low *PTEN* mutation frequency in UC (2%, $n = 88$) [29,30]. This does however not exclude *PTEN* as an important factor in bladder cancer development since *PTEN* frequently shows reduced expression [31] as well as homozygous deletion in UC [29]. As mentioned, *PIK3R1* also acts as a negative modulator of *PIK3CA* and has been shown to have tumor suppressor activity [32]. We found one case with a mutation after sequencing exons 12, 14, and 15, the most frequently mutated regions in this gene [33]. These exons cover the major part of the *PIK3R1* iSH2 domain that interacts with *PIK3CA*. The frequent down regulation of *PTEN* expression and the here reported mutational inactivation of *PIK3R1* further emphasized the importance of *PIK3CA* activity in UC.

Published data on *RAS* mutation is highly variable with respect to frequency, the distribution of codon 12 and 13 vs. codon 61

Table 1. Mutation frequencies.

	Ta (%) (n = 54)	T1 (%) (n = 36)	≥T2 (%) (n = 54)	G1/G2 (%) (n = 68)	G3 (%) (n = 77)	Total (n = 145)	Total (%)	Extended (n = 218)
FGFR3	63.0	38.8	22.2	63.2	22.1	61	42.1	82 (37.6%)
PIK3CA	22.2	16.6	9.3	22.1	11.7	24	16.6	37 (17.0%)
PIK3R1	0	2.8	0	1.5	0	1	0.7	
RAS	3.7	11.1	1.9	7.4	2.6	7	4.8	10 (4.6%)
AKT1	0	2.8	1.9	1.5	1.3	2	1.4	
TSC1	11.1	16.7	9.3	11.8	11.7	17	11.7	
TSC2	1.9	8.3	1.9	5.9	1.3	5	3.4	
APC	1.9	0	9.3	2.9	5.2	6	4.1	
CTNNB1	1.9	5.6	0	2.9	1.3	3	2.1	
TP53	14.8	47.2	51.9	19.1	50.6	52	35.9	73 (33.5%)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018583.t001

mutations in *HRAS*, and the proportion between *HRAS*, *KRAS*, and *NRAS* mutations [5,34,35,36]. The divergent results may partly be attributed to differences in methods used and partly to differences in sample selection. In the present investigation *HRAS* mutations dominated over *KRAS* mutations and *HRAS* codon 61 mutations were much more frequent than codon 12 mutations, which is in line with most previous investigations.

It has been suggested that *RAS* mutations may substitute for *FGFR3* mutations and that *RAS* and *FGFR3* mutations therefore are mutually exclusive [21]. This hypothesis is however weakened by the fact that samples with concomitant *FGFR3* and *RAS* mutations were identified in the present investigation as well as in the studies by Platt et al. and Kompier et al. [4,18]. These findings may either be explained by intra-tumor heterogeneity as suggested by Platt et al., or that the biological consequences of activating *FGFR3* and *RAS* mutations do not overlap completely and therefore double mutants may result in an additive but small selective advantage. Irrespective if these mutations are mutually exclusive or not, the evidence for a strong negative association between these two genes is compelling [4,18,21]. *BRAF* operates downstream of the *RAS* proteins and has been shown to be mutated in several tumor types [22]. We did not detect any mutations in any of the *RAF* gene family members. Hence, our data show that the previously reported low frequency or absence of *BRAF* mutations is not substituted for by frequent mutations in either *ARAF* or *RAFI*. Consequently, *RAF* mutations are not as central for UC development as *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA*, and *RAS* mutations.

Few and highly divergent mutation frequencies of *APC* and *CTNNB1* have been reported for UC [12,13,37,38]. Stoehr et al. found no *APC* or *CTNNB1* mutations in 99 investigated cases, which is in stark contrast to Kastritis et al. who found 11 *APC* mutations in 70 cases (16%), but no *CTNNB1* mutations in 35 investigated cases. Shiina et al., on the other hand, identified a total of 4 *CTNNB1* mutations in 64 UC cases (6%). Our results showing *APC* mutations in 4% and *CTNNB1* mutations in 2% of the cases are fully compatible with the combined published data resulting in overall mutation frequencies of 6.5% for *APC* and 2.0% for *CTNNB1*. As *APC* and *CTNNB1* mutations were not seen together the frequency of *APC/CTNNB1* pathway alteration was 6% in our data. As Kastritis et al. [12], we found a strong bias towards missense mutations, in contrast to truncating mutations, in *APC* and we could show that the mutation spectrum in UC differs significantly from the spectrum seen in colorectal cancer.

We detected a total of 17 cases (12%) with *TSC1* mutations in our data, which is close to the previously reported frequency of 16% [4]. *TSC1* is an established tumor suppressor gene in UC [4] that exert most of its regulatory function in a complex with *TSC2*. Consequently, we also screened *TSC2* for mutations and detected 5 mutations in 145 cases (3%). This is a slightly higher frequency than what has been reported for other investigated solid tumors; CNS tumors 0.6%, lung cancers 0.9%, ovarian carcinomas 0.6% (COSMIC database). *TSC2* acts as a dimer together with *TSC1* by regulating mTOR through *RHEB*. The activity of *TSC1/2* is, in turn, regulated by input from several upstream regulators [39]

References

- Bakkar AA, Wallerand H, Radvanyi F, Lahaye JB, Pissard S, et al. (2003) *FGFR3* and *TP53* gene mutations define two distinct pathways in urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Cancer Res* 1;63(23): 8108–12.
- van Rhijn BW, van der Kwast TH, Vis AN, Kirkels WJ, Boevé ER, et al. (2004) *FGFR3* and *P53* characterize alternative genetic pathways in the pathogenesis of urothelial cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 15;64(6): 1911–4.
- Lindgren D, Frigyesi A, Gudjonsson S, Sjödhahl G, Hallden C, et al. (2010) Combined gene expression and genomic profiling define two intrinsic molecular

making the *TSC1/2* a hub for upstream signals funneled to mTOR. The combined frequency of *TSC1/TSC2* mutations of 15% indicates that a substantial proportion of UC tumors may show activation of mTOR through *TSC1* or *TSC2* mutational inactivation.

All in all, the present investigation emphasizes *FGFR3*, *PIK3CA/AKT1*, and *TSC1/TSC2* as important nodes in intracellular signaling of transformed urothelial cells. To what extent *FGFR3* activation may be directly linked to mTOR activation remain to be elucidated; we note that *FGFR3* and *PIK3CA* mutations show a strongly skewed distribution between low and high grade tumors whereas *TSC1/TSC2* mutations were seen in both categories at almost equal frequencies. Importantly, the detected alterations in the *APC/CTNNB1* signaling pathway may also influence mTOR activity since *GSK3B*, an important member of the *APC/CTNNB1* signaling pathway, is one of many modulators of *TSC1* [40,41]. There is further evidence for a crosstalk between *APC/CTNNB1* and *TSC1/TSC2* since wild type, but not inactive or mutated *TSC2*, regulates *CTNNB1* negatively at the level of the *CTNNB1* degradation complex [42]. In conclusion our data underscore the possible importance of mTOR activity in the development of UC. As mTOR activity is tractable for drug treatment [43,44], and may be a possible target for various treatment regimes [43,45,46,47], future investigations should be directed specifically towards mTOR activity in UC.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Distribution of mutations in non-invasive Ta tumors (A), in T1 tumors (B) and in (C) muscle-invasive tumors ($\geq T2$). Red squares indicate inactivating mutation. Green squares indicate activating mutation. For *PIK3CA*, dark green squares indicate kinase domain mutations and light green helical domain mutations. At the right mutation frequencies are given for each gene in the respective tumor stages.

(TIF)

Table S1 Clinical features and mutation data.

(XLS)

Table S2 Primers and PCR conditions.

(XLS)

Table S3 Mutation details.

(XLS)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor Ellen Zwarthoff for sharing details on the mutation data by Kompier et al., and for giving us useful comments.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MH DL. Performed the experiments: GS CH DL. Analyzed the data: ML GS CH DL GC MH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SG FL WM. Wrote the paper: GS MH DL.

subtypes of urothelial carcinoma and gene signatures for molecular grading and outcome. *Cancer Res* 1;70(9): 3463–72.

- Platt FM, Hurst CD, Taylor CF, Gregory WM, Harnden P, et al. (2009) Spectrum of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway gene alterations in bladder cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 1;15(19): 6008–17.
- Boulalal I, Zaravinos A, Karyotis I, Delakas D, Spandidos DA (2009) Activation of *RAS* family genes in urothelial carcinoma. *J Urol* 181(5): 2312–9.

6. Boulalas I, Zaravinos A, Delakas D, Spandidos DA (2009) Mutational analysis of the BRAF gene in transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Int J Biol Markers* 24(1): 17–21.
7. Askham JM, Platt F, Chambers PA, Snowden H, Taylor CF, et al. (2010) AKT1 mutations in bladder cancer: identification of a novel oncogenic mutation that can co-operate with E17K. *Oncogene* 29(1): 150–5.
8. Heidenblad M, Lindgren D, Jonson T, Liedberg F, Veerla S, et al. (2008) Tiling resolution array CGH and high density expression profiling of urothelial carcinomas delineate genomic amplicons and candidate target genes specific for advanced tumors. *BMC Med Genomics* 31;1: 3.
9. Hidalgo M, Rowinsky EK (2000) The rapamycin-sensitive signal transduction pathway as a target for cancer therapy. *Oncogene* 27;19(56): 6680–6.
10. Gust KM, So AI (2009) The role of mTOR in bladder cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* 8(24): 2348–50.
11. Hansel DE, Platt E, Orloff M, Harwalker J, Sethu S, et al. (2010) Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) Regulates Cellular Proliferation and Tumor Growth in Urothelial Carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 176(6): 3062–72.
12. Kastritis E, Murray S, Kyriakou F, Horti M, Tamvakis N, et al. (2009) Somatic mutations of adenomatous polyposis coli gene and nuclear b-catenin accumulation have prognostic significance in invasive urothelial carcinomas: evidence for Wnt pathway implication. *Int J Cancer* 1;124(1): 103–8.
13. Shiina H, Igawa M, Shigeno K, Terashima M, Deguchi M, et al. (2002) Beta-catenin mutations correlate with over expression of C-myc and cyclin D1 Genes in bladder cancer. *J Urol* 168(5): 2220–6.
14. Zhu X, Kanai Y, Saito A, Kondo Y, Hirohashi S (2000) Aberrant expression of beta-catenin and mutation of exon 3 of the beta-catenin gene in renal and urothelial carcinomas. *Pathol Int* 50(12): 945–52.
15. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, et al. (2010) A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods* Apr;7(4): 248–9.
16. Hernández S, López-Knowles E, Lloreta J, Kogevinas M, Amorós A, et al. (2006) Prospective study of FGFR3 mutations as a prognostic factor in nonmuscle invasive urothelial bladder carcinomas. *J Clin Oncol* 1;24(22): 3664–71.
17. Van Rhijn BW, Vis AN, van der Kwast TH, Kirkels WJ, Radvanyi F, et al. (2003) Molecular grading of urothelial cell carcinoma with fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 and MIB-1 is superior to pathologic grade for the prediction of clinical outcome. *J Clin Oncol* 15;21(10): 1912–21.
18. Kompier LC, Lurkin I, van der Aa MN, van Rhijn BW, van der Kwast TH, et al. (2010) FGFR3, HRAS, KRAS, NRAS and PIK3CA Mutations in Bladder Cancer and Their Potential as Biomarkers for Surveillance and Therapy. *PLoS One* 3;5(11): e13821.
19. Kalinsky K, Jacks LM, Heguy A, Patil S, Drobnjak M, et al. (2009) PIK3CA mutation associates with improved outcome in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 15;15(16): 5049–59.
20. Lopez-Knowles E, Hernandez S, Malats N, Kogevinas M, Lloreta J, et al. (2006) PIK3CA Mutations Are an Early Genetic Alteration Associated with FGFR3 Mutations in Superficial Papillary Bladder Tumors. *Cancer Res* 66(15): 7401–4.
21. Jekar AH, Hurst CD, Tomlinson DC, Johnston C, Taylor CF (2005) FGFR3 and Ras gene mutations are mutually exclusive genetic events in urothelial cell carcinoma. *Oncogene* 4;24(33): 5218–25.
22. Edlundh-Rose E, Egyházi S, Omholt K, Månsson-Brahme E, Platz A, et al. (2006) NRAS and BRAF mutations in melanoma tumours in relation to clinical characteristics: a study based on mutation screening by pyrosequencing. *Melanoma Res* 16(6): 471–8.
23. Razzaque MA, Nishizawa T, Komoike Y, Yagi H, Furutani M, et al. (2007) Germline gain-of-function mutations in RAF1 cause Noonan syndrome. *Nat Genet* 39: 1013–1017.
24. Lagarde A, Rouleau E, Ferrari A, Noguchi T, Qiu J, et al. (2010) Germline APC mutation spectrum derived from 863 genomic variations identified through a 15-year medical genetics service to French patients with FAP. *J Med Genet* 47(10): 721–2.
25. Saal LH, Holm K, Maurer M, Memeo L, Su T, et al. (2005) PIK3CA mutations correlate with hormone receptors, node metastasis, and ERBB2, and are mutually exclusive with PTEN loss in human breast carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 1;65(7): 2554–9.
26. Lee JW, Soung YH, Kim SY, Lee HW, Park WS, et al. (2005) PIK3CA gene is frequently mutated in breast carcinomas and hepatocellular carcinomas. *Oncogene* 17;24(8): 1477–80.
27. Chalhoub N, Baker SJ (2009) PTEN and the PI3-kinase pathway in cancer. *Annu Rev Pathol* 4: 127–50.
28. Jaiswal BS, Janakiraman V, Kljavin NM, Chaudhuri S, Stern HM, et al. (2009) Somatic mutations in p85alpha promote tumorigenesis through class IA PI3K activation. *Cancer Cell* 8;16(6): 463–74.
29. Cairns P, Evron E, Okami K, Halachmi N, Esteller M, et al. (1998) Point mutation and homozygous deletion of PTEN/MMAC1 in primary bladder cancers. *Oncogene* 18;16(24): 3215–8.
30. Aveyard JS, Skilleter A, Habuchi T, Knowles MA (1999) Somatic mutation of PTEN in bladder carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 80(5–6): 904–8.
31. Puzio-Kuter AM, Castillo-Martin M, Kinkade CW, Wang X, Shen TH, et al. (2009) Inactivation of p53 and Pten promotes invasive bladder cancer. *Genes Dev* 15;23(6): 675–80.
32. Taniguchi CM, Winnay J, Kondo T, Bronson RT, Guimaraes AR, et al. (2010) The phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulatory subunit p85alpha can exert tumor suppressor properties through negative regulation of growth factor signaling. *Cancer Res* 1;70(13): 5305–15.
33. Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, et al. (2008) An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. *Science* 26;321(5897): 1807–12.
34. Olderoy G, Daehlin L, OGREID D (1998) Low-frequency mutation of Ha-ras and Ki-ras oncogenes in transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Anticancer Res* 18(4A): 2675–8.
35. Cattani N, Saison-Behmoaras T, Mari B, Mazzeo C, Amiel JL, et al. (2000) Screening of human bladder carcinomas for the presence of Ha-ras codon 12 mutation. *Oncol Rep* 7(3): 497–500.
36. Przybojewska B, Jagiello A, Jalmuzna P (2000) H-RAS, K-RAS, and N-RAS gene activation in human bladder cancers. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 121(1): 73–7.
37. Stoehr R, Krieg RC, Knuechel R, Hofstaedter F, Pilarsky C, et al. (2002) No evidence for involvement of beta-catenin and APC in urothelial carcinomas. *Int J Oncol* 20(5): 905–11.
38. Shiina H, Igawa M, Urakami S, Shigeno K, Yoneda T, et al. (2001) Alterations of beta- and gamma-catenin in N-butyl-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine-induced murine bladder cancer. *Cancer Res* 1;61(19): 7101–9.
39. Rosner M, Freilinger A, Hengstschläger M (2004) Proteins interacting with the tuberous sclerosis gene products. *Amino Acids* Oct;27(2): 119–28.
40. Inoki K, Ouyang H, Zhu T, Lindvall C, Wang Y, et al. (2006) TSC2 integrates Wnt and energy signals via a coordinated phosphorylation by AMPK and GSK3 to regulate cell growth. *Cell* 8;126(5): 955–68.
41. Buller CL, Loberg RD, Fan MH, Zhu Q, Park JL, et al. (2008) A GSK-3/TSC2/mTOR pathway regulates glucose uptake and GLUT1 glucose transporter expression. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 295(3): C836–43.
42. Mak BC, Takamaru K, Kenerson HL, Moon RT, Yeung RS (2003) The tuberlin-hamartin complex negatively regulates beta-catenin signaling activity. *J Biol Chem* 21;278(8): 5947–51.
43. Yu K, Shi C, Toral-Barza L, Lucas J, Shor B, et al. (2010) Beyond rapalog therapy: preclinical pharmacology and antitumor activity of WYE-125132, an ATP-competitive and specific inhibitor of mTORC1 and mTORC2. *Cancer Res* 15;70(2): 621–31.
44. Garcia JA, Danielpour D (2008) Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibition as a therapeutic strategy in the management of urologic malignancies. *Mol Cancer Ther* 7(6): 1347–54.
45. Seager CM, Puzio-Kuter AM, Patel T, Jain S, Cordon-Cardo C, et al. (2009) Intravesical delivery of rapamycin suppresses tumorigenesis in a mouse model of progressive bladder cancer. *Cancer Prev Res* 2(12): 1008–14.
46. Svirshchevskaya EV, Mariotti J, Wright MH, Viskova NY, Telford W, et al. (2008) Rapamycin delays growth of Wnt-1 tumors in spite of suppression of host immunity. *BMC Cancer* 21;8: 176.
47. Hou G, Zhang Q, Wang L, Liu M, Wang J, et al. (2010) mTOR inhibitor rapamycin alone or combined with cisplatin inhibits growth of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in nude mice. *Cancer Lett* 28;290(2): 248–54.