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ABSTRACT

Background
We surveyed lymphomas to determine the range of expression of the mantle cell lymphoma-associated SOX11 transcription factor and its relation to cyclin D1.

Design and Methods
On hundred and seventy-two specimens were immunostained for the SOX11 N and C termini. Cyclin D1 was detected by immunohistochemistry and quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; in situ hybridization for t(11;14) was applied when needed.

Results
Nuclear SOX11 was strongly expressed in most B and T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphomas and half of childhood Burkitt’s lymphomas, but only weakly expressed in some hairy cell leukemias. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/lymphoma, marginal zone, follicular and diffuse large B-cell lymphomas were negative for SOX11, as were all cases of intermediate Burkitt’s lymphomas/diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, myeloma, Hodgkin’s lymphomas and mature T-cell and NK/T-cell lymphomas.

Conclusions
In addition to mantle cell lymphoma, SOX11 is strongly expressed only in lymphoblastic malignancies and Burkitt’s lymphomas. Its expression is independent of cyclin D1 (except for weak expression in hairy cell leukemias) and unlikely to be due to translocations in lymphoid neoplasia.
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Introduction

The SOX11 transcription factor, normally expressed in the developing central nervous system, is aberrantly transcribed and expressed in mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) and has been suggested to correlate with overall survival. Common MCL simulators do not express nuclear SOX11 but questions remain as to its relation to cyclin D1 (CCND1) and whether SOX11 is restricted to MCL. We surveyed most categories of B- and T-cell lymphomas for SOX11, including plasmacytoma/myeloma and hairy cell leukemia, which are characterized by elevated levels of CCND1.

Design and Methods

Current World Health Organization (WHO) clinical, histological and immunophenotypic criteria were used to diagnose 172 previously unreported cases of lymphoma on formalin-fixed paraffin sections, with or without ancillary flow cytometric and molecular studies. All biological material was used according to the research ethics principles established for our institution. The samples came from patients aged less than 1 year old to 89 years old. The male: female ratio was 1.7:1.

B-cell lymphoma, T-cell lymphoma, NK/T-cell lymphoma and Hodgkin’s lymphoma comprised mature (peripheral) lymphomas and B/T lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma comprised the immature category (Table 1). CD5 B-cell lymphomas comprise subgroups within recognized lymphoma entities. Burkitt’s lymphoma was distinguished by typical stary-sky and nuclear morphology, predominantly intra-abominal origin, a Ki-67 index greater than 95% and consistent CD10- and BCL2- staining. Intermediate Burkitt’s lymphoma/diffuse large B-cell lymphoma had a similar proliferation index but were largely nodal and showed nuclear, cellular and immunophenotypic features (strong BCL2- or CD10- in all cases) inconsistent with Burkitt’s lymphoma.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections were microwaved for antigen retrieval in Tris/EDTA, pH 9, for 8+7 min and then stained on an automatic immunostainer using SOX11 antibodies, as detailed below and, as needed, a rabbit monoclonal anti-CCND1 antibody (1:70, NeoMarkers, USA). Signals were detected using Envision (Dako) and 3,3’-diaminobenzidine.

Characterization of SOX11 antibodies

Two primary rabbit anti-human SOX11 antibodies were raised by the HPR-project. The first, SOX11N-term, targets the N-terminus of SOX11 and was used successfully in MCL. The immunogen shows some homology with SOX4 but SOX11N-term shows no nuclear reactivity in tonsil sections, known to express SOX4. SOX11C-term was raised against the immunogen DEDDDDDDELQLQIKQEPDEEDEEPHQQL-LQPPQGQPSSLRRYNAVKVPASPFLSSAESPEGASLY- DEVRAGATSGAGGGSRLYSFKNTIKQHPPPLAQ- PALSPASSRSVSTSSS, a 121 amino acid carboxy terminal peptide, specific for SOX11. The specificity of both antibodies was verified in the MCL cell lines, SP53 and Granta-519, using a western blot of extracted proteins, which were separated by reducing sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) for 30 min (15 V) and blocked overnight in 5% milk/phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). SOX11N-term or SOX11C-term was applied diluted 1:500 for 30 min. After washing with PBS a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibody, diluted 1:10,000 was applied. Bands were detected with SuperSignal West Femto Max Sensitivity Substrate (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Short interfering RNA knockdown study

Washed Granta-519 cells were suspended in 100 µL nucleofector solution (Reactionlab, Sweden) at 5x10⁶ cells/sample. Each cuvette was then loaded with 50 pmol of small interfering RNA (siRNA) (Ambion, Austin, USA) consisting of antisense SOX11.1 [pool]UAACGU- ACCAACAUACUUGuu, UGCGUCACG ACAUCUUUAUCCuu, UCUUCGAAGAGCCUUAGAGGuu and AGA- CCGACACGUUCAACAu (or controls using complementary sense oligoRNA), transfected (Amaza Biosystems, Germany), then incubated in R-10 medium at 37°C for 3 h, plated at a density of 0.50-0.75x10⁶ cells/mL and grown for 2-3 days.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Briefly, reverse transcribed RNA template was used in a fluorogenic 5’ nuclease assay to determine Ct values on a Rotorgene cycycler (Corbett Research). Primers and probes for CCND1 and the reference gene TBP and cycling conditions have been published previously. Each sample was run in triplicate with Granta-519 cDNA as a positive control, one negative water control and two no template controls using DNase I-treated RNA.

Gene expressions were calculated to determine the fold increase in normalized CCND1 Ct values relative to a benign node calibrator using the appropriate formulae.

Interphase fluorescent in situ hybridization and chromogenic in situ hybridization

We isolated whole nuclei from thick sections digested in 0.5% pepsin. Filtered nuclei were spread on a glass slide, after-fixed in Carnoy’s fixative, pre-hybridized in 0.1% Triton-100, digested in 0.3 mg/mL pronase, rinsed in glycine/PBS, dehydrated in ethanol and air-dried. A dual-color, dual-fusion translocation probe (Vysis, USA) was hybridized as previously reported. Yellow fusion signals are evidence of t(11;14). For each specimen 50 nuclei were scored for the number of fusion signals using the cut-off value of six, which was based on fusion counts in 350 total nuclei from benign nodes and follicular lymphoma.

Chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH) was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol using a mixture of Texas Red- and fluorescein isothiocyanate.
SOX11 in lymphoid neoplasia

(FITC)-labeled probes (Dako DuoCISH™) which target sequences flanking the CCND1 locus. Overlapping blue and red signals indicate co-localization and a split signal indicates a break at the CCND1 locus. Several MCL were used as positive controls.

### Results

Both antibodies yielded an approximately 60 kDa band on western blots, corresponding to SOX11 (Figure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Lymphoid neoplasias studied for nuclear SOX11 expression.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B-cell lymphoma</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mantle cell</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>CCND1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Anti-SOX11N-term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Anti-SOX11C-term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>CCND1 mRNA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>FISH/CISH for chromosome 11 translocation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Site</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>N.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Anti-SOX11N-term nuclear signal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Anti-SOX11C-term nuclear signal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>(Mean fold increase)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>chromosome 11 translocation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Comments</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### T-cell lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>B-lymphoblastic neoplasia</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B-lymphoblastic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Lymphoblastoid lymphoma</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>T-lymphoblastic lymphoma</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Hodgkin</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Classic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Lymphocyte predominance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>Comments</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLL/SLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma; BL/DLBCL, Burkitt’s lymphoma/diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; NOS, not otherwise specified; ALCL, anaplastic large cell lymphoma; PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; TCL, T-cell lymphoma; TCR, T-cell receptor. Pos: positive; ND: not determined. Three cases had blastoid morphology. Includes a composite CCND1/CCOX11+ MCL with SOX11+ CLL/SLL in the same node. Age range 49 to 82 years (median 76); age range 5 to 56 years (median 11.5) with all but one still alive (median survival 8 years); two of three cases with t(8;14) were SOX11+.**
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SOX11C-term, after which nuclear signals appeared (DAB with hematoxylin counterstain, magnification x125, colors are as noted). Positive nuclear staining was apparent. For example, two MCL showing 22 and 34-fold increases of CCND1 mRNA lacked nuclear SOX11 protein. Both SOX11 and molecular analysis could differentiate CD5+ simulators from MCL (Table 1). Despite a lack of CCND1, there were challenges in distinguishing 29 cases of CD5+ non-MCL, including cases of marginal zone lymphoma, CD23+ chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma, CD5+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and B-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified, from MCL.

Twelve of these were analyzed further and all were negative for t(11;14) by FISH and/or had normal levels of CCND1 transcription. All 12 cases were also immunonegative for nuclear SOX11, whereas all six CCND1+ MCL tested with molecular techniques expressed SOX11. As expected, other typical cases of chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma and follicular, mantle zone and diffuse large B-cell lymphomas also lacked SOX11 in the nuclei. Hodgkin’s lymphoma and T-cell lymphoma subtypes, including NK/T-cell lymphoma, were similarly negative. Most tumors in all categories which lacked nuclear SOX11 showed variably intense cytoplasmic signals, as previously reported.

Unexpectedly, we found strong nuclear SOX11 staining in both childhood Burkitt’s lymphoma and acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, regardless of phenotype (B- or T-cell). Seven of fourteen cases of Burkitt’s lymphoma were positive and this was reconfirmed with SOX11C-term staining (Figure 1D). Importantly, none of six both antibodies, one converted to being positive (Figure 1C) and two became immunonegative.

### Table 2: Expression of CCND1 and SOX11 in cases of hairy cell leukemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Biopsy site</th>
<th>CCND1</th>
<th>SOX11N-term</th>
<th>SOX11C-term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Node</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Marrow</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Clinical, morphological and immunophenotypic (DBA44/annexin-1+) hairy cell leukemia ND: not determined.
high-grade adult B-cell lymphomas intermediate between Burkitt’s lymphoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (see footnote in Table 1) was positive with the SOX11N-term antibody (Figure 1E). Even more strikingly, all ten cases of T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma (Figure 1F) and eight of nine stained B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoblastic lymphomas (Figure 1G) were positive for SOX11N-term. SOX11C-term also confirmed the presence of the protein in three cases of B-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma but was negative in both stained B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemias; four of five tested T-cell lymphoblastic lymphomas were also positive with SOX11C-term. It was notable that two T-cell lymphoblastic lymphomas produced no or weak immunohistochemical signals for terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT), despite their otherwise typical morphological and immunophenotypic features. The apparent slight decrease in sensitivity of SOX11C-term compared with SOX11N-term could not be further evaluated due to limited availability of SOX11C-term.

Hairy cell leukemia typically shows modestly elevated CCND1 transcription with weak immunostaining for the protein. Our previous study showed no upregulation of SOX11 transcription but we nevertheless found very weak SOX11C-term immunostaining in six of 12 (DBA44/annexin-1) cases (Table 2), which generally paralleled the strength of the CCND1 signal, in contrast to the lack of staining covariation noted in MCL. Moreover, in two of three cases of hairy cell leukemia tested the presence of SOX11 protein was confirmed with the SOX11C-term antibody but only a single specimen (case 9 in Table 2) produced a moderately strong signal (Figure 1H-I). The third subtype with frequent modestly upregulated CCND1 transcription was represented by seven cases of CCND1+ myeloma (n=5)/plasmacytoma (n=2) and two cases of CCND1 myeloma (Table 1). Regardless of CCND1 status, the nuclear SOX11 signal was consistently absent.

Discussion

The Sox family of transcription factors is widely distributed in animals and SOX proteins are implicated in fundamental developmental processes such as differentiation of murine embryonic stem cells,13 neurogenesis and chondrogenesis.13 SOX11 is expressed in the developing human nervous system,14 medulloblastoma15 and glioma16 but has no defined role in B-lymphocyte ontogeny. We have previously shown that SOX11 is aberrantly expressed in both MCL17 and epithelial ovarian cancer18 in which SOX11 predicts recurrence-free survival. Although the functional effect and downstream genes activated by SOX11 are yet to be described, it is intriguing that the strong nuclear expression of SOX11 in lymphoid neoplasia appears limited to three disparate categories, which include the two mature B-cell tumors, MCL and true Burkitt’s lymphoma, and immature lymphoblastic neoplasms. Further study of the biology of this cohort may reveal common pathways to neoplasia related to SOX11 expression.

Interestingly, frequent nuclear SOX11 expression in clinically, morphologically and genetically typical Burkitt’s lymphoma was not matched by expression in adult intermediate Burkitt’s lymphoma/diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. The number of cases was too small to draw firm conclusions but the potential difference merits more extensive investigation.

We reconfirmed nuclear SOX11 expression in the vast majority of prospectively studied MCL. Rare clinically and morphologically typical cases of MCL with or without t(11;14)(q13;q32) may fail to stain for CCND1, using a sensitive rabbit monoclonal antibody.19 This study confirms the consistent SOX11 immunonegativity in the nuclei of common MCL simulators, including the problematic CD5+ variants of common peripheral B-cell lymphoma subtypes, for which ancillary molecular techniques may not be available to rule out CCND1–MCL. It remains to be determined whether SOX11 is expressed in MCL variants lacking the t(11;14) translocation and expressing cyclin D2 or cyclin D3, which are said to maintain the MCL gene expression signature.19

The mechanism of SOX11 dysregulation is unclear but our negative immunostaining for nuclear SOX11 in CCND1+ myeloma cells indicates that the protein is not dependent on CCND1. In myeloma, upregulated CCND1 is due to a polysomic chromosome 11 in half of cases, while in about one in six cases it is due to the same translocation as in MCL: t(11;14)(q13;q32).3 Moreover, strong SOX11-specific signals occurred at high frequency in Burkitt’s lymphoma and T and B-lymphoblastic neoplasms, tumors devoid of t(11;14) but which may contain a variety of other translocations, including those involving transcription factors. These facts make it unlikely that any recognized structural or numerical chromosomal changes are a direct cause of elevated SOX11. Hairy cell leukemia differed markedly from all the above neoplasms in that nuclear SOX11 staining, present in about half of the specimens, was generally very weak and paralleled that of weak or negative cyclin D1, the regulation of which is not due to altered gene dosage or t(11;14).4 It should be noted that the presence of SOX11 in lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma introduces an important cause for caution in the use of this marker for MCL given that adult lymphoblastic lymphoma is a rare morphological mimic of MCL.

In conclusion, strong nuclear SOX11 expression in lymphoma is extended to include even lymphoblastic and Burkitt’s lymphomas, indicating a wider role for the protein in lymphomagenesis than previously reported.
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