LUND UNIVERSITY

Estimating the computational cut-off rate for the Gilbert-Elliott channel

Johannesson, Rolf; Zigangirov, Kamil

Published in:
[Host publication title missing]

1991

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Johannesson, R., & Zigangirov, K. (1991). Estimating the computational cut-off rate for the Gilbert-Elliott channel. In *[Host publication title missing]* (pp. 291) http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel4/557/4025/00695347.pdf

Total number of authors:
2

General rights
Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.
• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

ESTIMATING THE COMPUTATIONAL CUT-OFF RATE FOR THE GILBERT-ELLIOT CHANNEL WITH SHORT INTERLEAVING

Rolf Johannesson
Department of Information Theory
Lund University
Box 118
S-221 00 Lund, Sweden

Kamil Sh Zigangirov
Institute for Problems of Information
Transmission of USSR Academy of Sciences
19 Ermolovoy str.
101447, Moscow GSP-4, USSR

Abstract—In this paper we estimate the computational cut-off rate for sequential decoding when used together with short interleaving to communicate over the Gilbert-Elliot channel.

Although the curse of sequential decoding is that its computational performance deteriorates drastically when errors occur in clusters it has been shown [1] that it is feasible to use sequential decoding together with a short interleaver to exploit the memory of the Gilbert-Elliot channel. In this paper we estimate the computational cut-off rate R_{comp} for our sequential decoder.

The Gilbert-Elliot channel model has two states: 'Good' (G) and 'Bad' (B). Let P and Q denote the transition probabilities $G \to B$ and $B \to G$, respectively. The binary channel crossover probability is ε in G and $\frac{1}{2}$ in B. A rate $R = b/c$ convolutional encoder is followed by an $L \times cr$ interleaver:



The code symbols are written rowwise into the interleaver and read columnwise. After transmission over the Gilbert-Elliot channel and deinterleaving we use a stack algorithm-like sequential decoder.

Suppose that the ith symbol read from the deinterleaver corresponds to state s_i and that the $(i+1)$th symbol corresponds to state s_{i+1}. The conditional probabilities $P(s_{i+1} \mid s_i)$, where $s_i, s_{i+1} \in \{G, B\}$, are given by the following

Lemma 1 *Let $\Phi = P(B \mid G) = 1 - P(G \mid G)$ and $\Psi = P(G \mid B) = 1 - P(B \mid B)$. The transition probabilities $\Phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi_L$ and $\Psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_L$ are the solutions for l=L of*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_l &= (1-\Psi_{l-1})P + \Phi_{l-1}(1-P) \\ \Psi_l &= (1-\Phi_{l-1})Q + \Psi_{l-1}(1-Q), \end{aligned}$$

where $l = 2, 3, \ldots, L$ and $\Phi_1 = P, \Psi_1 = Q$. □

Our sequential decoder should estimate the channel state corresponding to each received symbol. In the ideal case it knows exactly this state (optimistic approach); in worst case it knows nothing about the state (pessimistic approach). We estimate the computational cut-off rate in both cases, viz., $R_{\text{comp}}^{(o)}$ and $R_{\text{comp}}^{(p)}$, respectively. Clearly, $R_{\text{comp}}^{(p)} < R_{\text{comp}}^{(o)}$.

The optimal metric increments in the optimistic case does not depend directly from Φ and Ψ:

State transition	Received symbol equal to code symbol?	Metric increment
$G \to G$	Yes	$a_G = \log 2(1-\varepsilon) - R$
$G \to G$	No	$c_G = \log 2\varepsilon - R$
$G \to B$	-	$b_G = -R$
$B \to B$	-	$a_B = -R$
$B \to G$	Yes	$b_B = \log 2(1-\varepsilon) - R$
$B \to G$	No	$c_B = \log 2\varepsilon - R$

Then we have

Lemma 2 *Let μ denote the cumulative metric along the correct path. In the optimistic case we have $P(\eta \le x) \le A2^{-hx}$, where $A > 1$ does not depend on x and h is the smallest root of*

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} ((1-\Phi)(1-\varepsilon)2^{ha_G} + (1-\Phi)\varepsilon 2^{hc_G} - 1) & \Phi 2^{hb_G} \\ (\Psi(1-\varepsilon)2^{hb_B} + \Psi\varepsilon 2^{hc_B}) & ((1-\Psi)2^{ha_B} - 1) \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

□

We can prove that $R_{\text{comp}}^{(o)}$ corresponds to the root $h = -1/2$.

Theorem 3

$$R_{\text{comp}}^{(o)} = 2\log\frac{(1-\Phi)2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}} + 1 - \Psi - \sqrt{((1-\Phi)2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}} - 1 + \Psi)^2 + 4\Phi\Psi 2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}}}}{2(1-\Phi-\Psi)2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}}},$$

where $R_0 = 1 - \log(1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)})$. □

In the pessimistic case we have the following metric increments:

State transition (hypothetical)	Received symbol equal to code symbol?	Metric increment
$G \to G$	Yes	$a_G = \log 2(1-\Phi)(1-\varepsilon) - R$
$G \to G$	No	$c_G = \log 2(1-\Phi)\varepsilon - R$
$G \to B$	-	$b_G = \log\Phi - R$
$B \to B$	-	$a_B = \log(1-\Psi) - R$
$B \to G$	Yes	$b_B = \log 2\Psi(1-\varepsilon) - R$
$B \to G$	No	$c_B = \log 2\Psi\varepsilon - R$

If we use these metric increments Lemma 2 is valid also in the pessimistic case. Finally, we have

Theorem 4

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\text{comp}}^{(p)} &= 2\log((1-\Phi)^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}} + (1-\Psi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad - \sqrt{((1-\Phi)^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}} + (1-\Psi)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 - 4((1-\Phi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\Psi)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi^{\frac{1}{2}})2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}}}) \\ &\quad - 2\log(2((1-\Phi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\Psi)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi^{\frac{1}{2}})2^{-\frac{R_0}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $R_0 = 1 - \log(1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)})$. □

References

[1] G. Bratt, R. Johannesson, and K. Sh. Zigangirov, "On sequential decoding for the Gilbert-Elliot channel", presented at the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, June 19–24, 1988, Kobe, Japan.