



LUND UNIVERSITY

Non-negative least squares fitting of multi-exponential T2 decay data: Are we able to accurately measure the fraction of myelin water?

Wiggermann, Vanessa; Vavasour, Irene M; Hernandez-Torres, Ernedino; Helms, Gunther; MacKay, Alex L; Rauscher, Alexander

Published in:

Non-negative least squares fitting of multi-exponential T2 decay data: Are we able to accurately measure the fraction of myelin water?

2019

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Wiggermann, V., Vavasour, I. M., Hernandez-Torres, E., Helms, G., MacKay, A. L., & Rauscher, A. (2019). Non-negative least squares fitting of multi-exponential T2 decay data: Are we able to accurately measure the fraction of myelin water? In *Non-negative least squares fitting of multi-exponential T2 decay data: Are we able to accurately measure the fraction of myelin water?* (Vol. 27). Article 4402 (Proc Intl Soc Magn Reson Med; Vol. 27). International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.
<http://indexsmart.mirasmart.com/ISMRM2019/PDFfiles/4402.html>

Total number of authors:

6

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117
221 00 Lund
+46 46-222 00 00

Non-negative least squares fitting of multi-exponential T2 decay data: Are we able to accurately measure the fraction of myelin water?

Vanessa Wiggermann^{1,2,3}, Irene M Vavasour^{3,4}, Enedino Hernandez-Torres^{2,3}, Gunther Helms⁵, Alexander L MacKay^{1,3,4}, and Alexander Rauscher^{1,2,3,4}

¹Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ²Pediatrics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ³UBC MRI Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁴Radiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁵Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Synopsis

The ability to determine the myelin water fraction (MWF) *in vivo* is essential to assessments of neurodevelopmental myelination and myelin damage in neurodegenerative diseases. The analysis of multi-exponential T2 decay data relies on the non-negative-least-squares (NNLS) fitting, which may be sensitive to the chosen fitting parameters. We performed simulations to explore the outcomes of NNLS under different parameter selection. The lowest allowed T2 was found to have the largest effect on correctly estimating the T2 of different water pools as well as the MWF. Lower refocusing FAs led to further underestimation of the MWF.

Introduction

The ability to determine the myelin water fraction (MWF) *in vivo*¹ is essential to assessments of neurodevelopmental myelination and myelin damage in neurodegenerative diseases. Multi-echo spin-echo imaging has been shown to correlate with optical density measurements of myelin lipids^{2,3}. However, as MW imaging has been extended to 48-echoes, applied at higher magnetic fields³ and investigated post-mortem during fixation⁴, selection of post-processing parameters for the non-negative least squares fitting (NNLS) needs to be revisited. We used simulations to explore the outcomes of NNLS fitting for different parameter selections in a given water environment at 3T and drew parallels to *in vivo* data.

Methods

Independent decay data were computed by multi-echo spin-echo simulations of the magnetization of 256x256 spins in with given T₁ and T₂-properties, taken from literature to mimic white matter values^{5,6}. Various resonance frequencies were assigned to the different water compartments⁷, after computing the local magnetic environment from tissue magnetic susceptibilities. All T₁'s, T₂'s and resonance frequencies were assigned to each spin by random sampling from a Gaussian distribution. Finally, Gaussian noise was added to the images. The voxel and its properties are shown in **Figure 1**. The MWF, i.e. the amount of MW relative to all water within a voxel, was 21%. Decay data were computed assuming a signal-to-noise ratio of 300, imperfect refocusing flip angles (FA=30, 150, 170, 180°) and MWT₂-times (5, 10, 15, 20 ms) using a 32-echo sequence with TE/ΔTE/TR=10/10/1000ms. Decay curves were analyzed by fitting the measured decay curve with decay curves estimated by the extended-phase-graph algorithm to estimate FA in the presence of stimulated echoes^{8,9}, while minimizing χ² with respect to FA. Regularized NNLS was employed with varying numbers of T₂-components (nT₂) to fit the decay curves. The estimated parameters, i.e. the FA, the geometric mean T₂ of the intra/extracellular water (GMT₂ IEW), GMT₂ of the MW and the MWF were computed under varying nT₂s (20, 32, 40, 80, 120) and different T₂-ranges for which the shortest T₂ was varied (T_{2,1}=5-15ms, T_{2,end}=2s).

Results

The FA estimation was independent of nT₂. The computed FAs differed from the true FAs by 2.46±1.49, 3.00±0.51, 1.99±0.58 and 2.36±0.51 for 130, 150, 170, 180°, respectively. **Figure 2** shows the estimated GMT₂ IEW values. As FA decreased, the GMT₂ IEW moved further away from the reference: 69.70±0.71ms (180°), 69.74±0.62ms (170°), 68.85±1.08ms (150°), 67.93±1.38ms (130°). For MWT₂ values, lower refocusing FAs resulted in greater deviations from the true GMT₂ IEW. This was explored in more detail in **Figure 3**, by comparing the computed T₂-distributions. For all FAs, the GMT₂ of MW and IEW were well determined when T_{2,1} was less than T₂ of MW. When T₂ MW was shorter than the first allowed T₂, the MW peak was incompletely described and the estimated MWT₂ depended on the value of T_{2,1}. However, the GMT₂ IEW was accurately estimated. Finally, we compared the estimated MWF, with respect to FA, nT₂'s and T_{2,1} (**Figure 4**). Again, MWFs were well estimated if T_{2,1} was less than MWT₂. Note that once the MW peak was fully captured, further shortening of T_{2,1} did not change the MWF. With decreasing FA, the MWF was underestimated. When assessing the impact of changed analysis parameters on *in vivo* data acquired at 3T with the imaging parameters matching the simulation parameters (**Figure 5**), we noted visually an improvement in the assessed MWF when lowering T_{2,1} from 14 to 12ms. Both GMT₂ IEW and MWF were in line with the observations of the simulation, with stronger effects observed for single voxels. FAs in the regions-of-interest were 151.7, 164.3, 154.3° in the internal capsule, white matter and globus pallidus, respectively.

Discussion

Although the true FAs were well captured by the extended-phase-graph algorithm, MWF may be under-estimated, even when the MWT₂ was within the T₂-range. The GMT₂ of IEW and MW shortened slightly at lower FAs if T_{2,1}<MW T₂, but MWT₂ estimation failed if T_{2,1} was chosen too long. FAs at 3T are generally greater than 150, but regions of low FA as well as further T₂-shortening at higher magnetic field strength, or due to fixation, will be problematic for estimating MWF correctly. *In vivo* data showed good correspondence with the simulations. Single-voxel data were affected by the choice of parameters, but averages within regions containing multiple voxels provided stable estimates.

Conclusions

The MWF was robustly estimated with respect to many parameters. Successfully measuring the MWF however depends on the actual MWT₂, which is unknown, and the chosen T₂-range, relative to the MWT₂. By lowering the T₂-range, the MW signal is better captured. Further work should investigate how

underestimations of the MWF at lower, known FAs can be recovered.

Acknowledgements

No acknowledgement found.

References

1. MacKay A, Whittall K, Adler J, et al. In vivo visualization of myelin water in brain by magnetic resonance. *Magn Reson Med* 1994;31(6):673-677.
2. Laule C, Leung E, Li DKB, et al. Myelin water imaging in multiple sclerosis: quantitative correlations with histopathology. *Mult Scler* 2006;12(6):747-753.
3. Laule C, Kozlowski P, Leung E, et al. Myelin water imaging of multiple sclerosis at 7T: correlations with histopathology. *NeuroImage* 2008;40(4):1575-1580.
4. Shatil, AS, Uddin MN, Matsuda KM, et al. Quantitative Ex Vivo MRI Changes due to Progressive Formalin Fixation in Whole Human Brain Specimens: Longitudinal Characterization of Diffusion, Relaxometry, and Myelin Water Fraction Measurements at 3T. *Frontiers in medicine* 2018;5:31.
5. Labadie C, Lee JH, Rooney WD, et al. Myelin water mapping by spatially regularized longitudinal relaxographic imaging at high magnetic fields. *Magnetic resonance in medicine* 2014;71(1):375-387.
6. MacKay A, Laule C, Vavasour I et al. Insights into brain microstructure from the T2 distribution. *Magnetic resonance imaging* 2006;24(4):515-525.
7. Alonso-Ortiz E, Levesque IR, Pike GB. Impact of magnetic susceptibility anisotropy at 3 T and 7 T on T2*-based myelin water fraction imaging. *NeuroImage* 2017;182:370-378.
8. Hennig J. Multiecho imaging sequence with low refocusing flip angles. *JMRI* 1988;78(3):397-407.
9. Prasloski T, Mädler B, Xiang Q-S, et al. Applications of stimulated echo correction to multicomponent T2 analysis. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67(6):1803-1814.

Figures




Figure 1: Assumed 2D-voxel with different compartments of varying, compartment-specific T_1 's, T_2 's and resonance frequencies. (A) shows the model voxel, in which the myelin water fraction, i.e. the fraction of water within the myelin lipid bilayers relative to all water present in the voxel was approximately 21%. (B) and (C) display the respective T_1 and T_2 of the different tissues, all shown in units of [ms]. It was assumed that myelin water has a shorter T_1 and T_2 than other water compartments. (D) The distribution of resonance frequencies across the voxel.




Figure 2: GMT₂ IEW estimation at different FAs, nT₂s, T₂-ranges and MW T₂s. The gray plane represents the true T₂ value of 70ms. The coloured plane corresponds to the FA estimation using 40 nT₂ values, which is the standard used in in vivo analyses. GMT₂ for IEW was well estimated, particularly at higher refocusing FAs. At lower FAs, voxels with longer MW T₂ times showed more deviation from the reference. However, variations were small, with FA=130 still estimating GMT₂ of IEW 2ms lower than the true value.






Figure 3: (A-D) T₂-distributions obtained from different T₂-ranges for varying MWT₂ at optimal refocusing (FA=180) and nT₂=80. The vertical broken lines indicate the true MW and IEW T₂. The MWT₂ was reduced from A to D, corresponding to 20ms, 15ms, 10ms, 5ms. The MWF peak was incompletely displayed when the MWT₂ is lower than allowed by the T₂-range. In this case, all signal attributed of MWT₂-component is assigned to T_{2,1}, thus inaccurately representing the MWT₂. Despite small variations, the IEWT₂ is reliably determined independent of the T₂-range. (E-F) display how lower FAs change the distribution, but preserve the GMT₂ values of the water pools if T_{2,1}>MWT₂.




Figure 4: MWF estimation with respect to the FA, nT₂, T₂ range and MWT₂. Values related to different nT₂s are shown with different symbols and MWF values related to different MWT₂'s are distinguished using different colors. For instance, MWF values assuming a MWT₂ of 5ms (blue) are consistently underestimated even at FA=180, because the T₂-range did not account for values <5ms. In contrast, MWFs of voxels with MWT₂ of 10ms are well captured, if T_{2,1} is <=10ms or just above (12ms). As the FAs reduce, the MWF is underestimated.




Figure 5: Impact of the selection of different T₂-ranges for *in vivo* data analysis. The resulting GMT₂ IEW maps and MWF maps are shown in the upper two rows, and the bottom rows display the average GMT₂ IEW and MWF within small regions ('_reg') of the internal capsule (IC), white matter (WM) and globus pallidus (GM) as well as single voxel ('_sv') data. Visually, the MWF improved when lowering T_{2,1} from 14 to 12ms. This was reflected in the single voxel measurements, which showed an increase in MWF when lowering T_{2,1}. Averages over region-of-interests, however, were much less affected.